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Many adults with Asperger syndrome (AS) or symptoms of AS have sought help from clinicians who have failed to diagnose or adequately treat them and have thus experienced years and even decades of suffering. I am one such clinician. The good news is that Valerie Gaus’s book will help me—and other readers—avoid repeating those mistakes.

I am delighted to include this book in the Guides to Individualized Evidence-Based Treatment series. The books in this series aim to translate evidence-based therapies from research and academic communities to the front lines of clinical settings. Does this book belong in a series of guides to individualized evidence-based treatment? Is cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) for AS evidence-based?

As many readers are aware, no psychosocial treatment for AS has yet been developed and shown to be effective in a randomized controlled trial. Nevertheless, although no empirically supported treatment for AS is available, the material presented in this volume relies on several types of empirical data and methods. One is evidence that CBT is effective for treating many of the comorbid difficulties (e.g., depression, anxiety disorders, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) that individuals with AS experience. Another type is evidence that many of the symptoms of AS (e.g., social skills deficits) have been shown in randomized controlled trials to respond to CBT. In addition, Dr. Gaus has translated basic science, especially from social cognition and neuropsychology, into conceptualizations and interventions specific to this population. Finally, the book presents an empirical approach to the treatment of individual cases. All of these findings and methods provide an empirical foundation for the material presented in this volume.

The material presented here also relies on the author’s years of clinical experience working with individuals with AS and on her appreciation of her patients’ strengths and unique qualities. Her respect and caring for individuals with AS shines through and provides an essential foundation for her approach.

Valerie Gaus’s book provides an empirically based, scholarly, thoughtful, clinically skilled, creative, and compassionate approach to a patient population that is urgently in need of clinicians who can identify and provide effective treatment for their difficulties.

JACQUELINE B. PERSONS, PhD
San Francisco Bay Area Center for Cognitive Therapy
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Asperger syndrome (AS) is a relatively new concept to mental health professionals in the United States. As a developmental disorder, it is typically thought of as a childhood problem. However, as more adults are being identified with this syndrome, they are seeking out treatment. Mental health professionals are in need of resources to help them identify, conceptualize, and treat this growing population, but there are few such resources available. Cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) offers a number of interventions shown to be effective for other clinical problems that are commonly comorbid with this syndrome (e.g., mood and anxiety disorders) and that also have potential for addressing the core deficits in AS itself. Despite its potential utility, CBT is rarely made available to adults with AS.

This book offers a description of AS and how it manifests in adulthood (Chapter 1), an evidence-based rationale for applying CBT to the problems that patients with AS encounter (Chapter 2), guidelines for assessing the complex presenting problems seen in this population (Chapter 3), and a model for conceptualizing cases for individualized treatment plan design (Chapter 4). Detailed instructions and case examples for using CBT to build needed skills (Chapter 6) and decrease symptoms of comorbid psychiatric disorders (Chapter 7) in adults with AS are also provided. The necessity for interdisciplinary collaboration is addressed (Chapter 8), as well as strategies for handling obstacles in therapy (Chapter 9) and ending treatment (Chapter 10).

THE BOOK’S INTENDED AUDIENCE

I wrote this book in an effort to increase the number of therapists available to serve this population. Adults with AS have a tremendous need for quality treatment, but they are grossly underserved by the mental health community. Many of the barriers to appropriate therapy will be removed if we are willing to bridge the gaps between separate fields of research and clinical practice. Anecdotally speaking, I have observed that professionals in the “mainstream mental health community” do not consider themselves qualified or interested in serving people with developmental disorders. Therefore they may not market their services or accept referrals from the adult autism spectrum popu-
lation. Likewise, professionals in the “autism spectrum community” tend to focus on children and the behavioral interventions that are most fitting for the younger population. This leaves a very large proverbial “crack” for adults with AS to fall through, as they suffer from various problems in daily living and/or comorbid mental health disorders that warrant effective psychotherapy.

There are many skilled and experienced clinicians in both of these communities who, with a willingness to look outside their respective literatures, could use their existing talents to help adults with AS. They include psychologists, social workers, psychiatrists, speech–language pathologists, and educators. I hope this book can serve as a resource to any of these professionals who are coming into contact with this population. For example, clinicians serving the general adult population in “mainstream” mental health settings are encountering AS incidentally in patients who have sought help for a mood or anxiety disorder. Likewise, specialists in the field of autism spectrum disorders are receiving an increasing number of requests to serve adults with AS. I also wish to raise awareness and interest in graduate students and interns who are just entering their respective fields of research and practice, because the quality of treatment available to the adults of the future is dependent on them.

**TERMINOLOGY**

Asperger’s disorder is one of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*’ (DSM-IV-TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000) collection of disorders called “pervasive developmental disorders” (PDDs), which are often referred to as “autism spectrum disorders” (ASDs) in the literature. These terms are used interchangeably throughout this book. Although called Asperger’s disorder in DSM, the term Asperger syndrome is acknowledged in the DSM text, is used in the International Classification of Diseases–10 (ICD-10; World Health Organization, 1992), and appears in most of the literature published in North America and abroad. To be consistent with the literature, AS is used throughout this book. This book is useful for treating patients who present with “high-functioning autism” (HFA), that is, those diagnosed with autism who have intellectual and verbal ability in the average or above range; or “pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified” (PDD-NOS), that is, people who have many traits of the former but do not quite meet criteria for AS or autism because of atypical or subthreshold symptomatology.

As a side note, I am uncomfortable with the term *high-functioning* when talking about people on the autism spectrum with average or above cognitive ability. I find it misleading because, although these individuals have higher intellectual and verbal abilities than people who are considered “low functioning,” they are not functioning at the level of their potential. As an ironic example, many of these adults are unusually adept at using their sophisticated verbal skills to describe their sense of frustration at failing to turn their talents into a meaningful career or occupation. I prefer the term “cognitively able,” used by Ami Klin and his colleagues (e.g., Klin et al., 2002b), or Lynda Geller’s “with independence potential” (Geller, 2003). In the meantime, it is important for the reader to know that “high-functioning autism” is widely used and probably will be for some years. For practical purposes in this book, the reader should assume I am including all patients on the autism spectrum who are “cognitively able.”
and have “independence potential” when using AS. Finally, when I refer to “normal” people, or those who are not on the autism spectrum, I use the term typical.

MENTAL HEALTH NEEDS OF ADULTS WITH ASPERGER SYNDROME

Adult patients typically come to a therapist for help with social problems that they are attributing to AS, and/or for help with secondary psychiatric disorders, most commonly anxiety or mood symptoms. The mental health problems seen in these individuals are often related to their attempts to fit in with society. Contrary to the popular belief that people with ASDs are aloof and disinterested in others, these individuals are desperate to have friends and lovers. Chronic stress comes with their dramatically uneven profile of strengths and deficits. Generally bright and often successful with academic pursuits, they fail in the interpersonal domain of functioning. They lack the ability to interface successfully with other people because, as Gray (1995, 1998) describes it, they lack “social understanding.” This deficit underlies mistakes (e.g., missing cues, making inappropriate comments, crossing boundaries) that lead others to see them as rude, bizarre, or threatening. Their tendency to focus intensely on one interest area can take on an obsessional quality, because they may be unable to discuss anything outside of that topic (e.g., computers, coin collecting, aviation). Many also have difficulty with judgment and problem solving, which in lay terms would be called “common sense.” These deficits affect both social and occupational arenas because their behavior interferes with healthy social and sexual relationships and causes them to be unemployed or working at jobs far below their academic and intellectual level. The resulting isolation and sense of failure leave them tremendously vulnerable to anxiety and mood disorders.

I conceptualize all of these problems as stemming from a basic information-processing disorder, and I present research that supports that idea in Chapter 2. People with AS have an idiosyncratic way of processing both social and nonsocial information that has been present since birth or early childhood. Their unique perception has adversely affected their development and social experiences, resulting in negative consequences. It causes them to exhibit behavior that is unappealing to others and contributes to the recurrent rejection and ridicule they encounter. It also leads to impairment in nonsocial areas of functioning, such as organization and self-direction, which increases the level of stress in daily living.

Intervention can have the most dramatic impact when it comes early in life, but many of today’s adults with AS were not identified as being on the autism spectrum when they were children, so they did not have the opportunity for early specialized intervention. However, I do not believe these individuals have “missed the boat” for the chance to make improvements in areas of functioning with which they are struggling. Considering a lifespan developmental perspective, it is widely accepted that learning and growth do not stop at age 18 or 21 for typical people, so there is no reason to believe it would cease for people with AS. That a new skill learned at any age can affect development in a positive way from that point on is an assumption that I make throughout this book.

A psychotherapist can help these adults by teaching them to (1) recognize and modify automatic maladaptive thoughts, (2) more accurately “read” the behavior of
others to better understand social interactions, and (3) modify their own behavior in response. This new learning helps the individual with AS improve social functioning, increase coping/stress management skills, and prevent or reduce symptoms of anxiety and depression.

**REMOVING BARRIERS AND BRIDGING GAPS**

A theme that runs though this book is integration. There is not a single literature providing us with evidence-based interventions to treat mental health problems in adults with AS. However, I have discovered that there are more than a few pieces of evidence supporting the use of CBT with this population, if we consider multiple literature sources, such as theory and research on:

- Information-processing dysfunction in AS.
- Social cognition in typical people.
- The risk factors and effects of stress in typical people.
- Cognitive dysfunction in typical people with anxiety or depression.
- The efficacy of CBT for typical people experiencing anxiety and mood disorders.
- The efficacy of CBT for children and teens with AS.

If we combine what we know from these separate areas, we do have enough evidence to offer CBT to adults with AS. I provide a review of these data in Chapter 2, where I highlight the findings that have practical implications for adults with AS and refer the reader to the primary sources and more detailed literature reviews.

**ORIGINS OF MY IDEAS**

I have worked almost exclusively with more cognitively able adults on the autism spectrum for the past 10 years. When I became interested in this population, there was no intervention literature about these adults upon which I could draw. So the biggest challenge I faced was the need, mentioned above, to integrate information from a variety of disparate sources. My current conceptualization of the clinical problems characterizing adults with AS resulted from a process of linking separate literatures and clinical experiences, which began 25 years ago and many years before I met my first patient with AS. I share this background here as a foundation for the treatment approach I describe in the chapters ahead.

**Early Misgivings and Misconceptions**

During the summer after my high school graduation, I had my first encounter with the autism spectrum. I worked as a teacher’s aide in a special education school where one of the students was diagnosed with autistic disorder. This 6-year-old girl was nonverbal, screamed frequently, and did not seem to enjoy any of the classroom activities. As an inexperienced teenager, I grew fearful of her because at least once a day, she would succeed in pulling my hair very hard, despite my efforts to prevent her from doing it. I
finished out that summer figuring I would never see another person with autism again, and that was fine with me.

I had another encounter several years later when working on my undergraduate honors thesis at Hofstra University, entitled *Cross-Situational Assessment of the Behavioral Repertoire of an Autistic Child*, which would also be my first conference presentation (Gaus & Tanaka-Matsumi, 1987). As a budding behaviorist, I was excited about the idea of applying scientific principles to the study of aberrant behavior, and my thesis was based on a school-based naturalistic observation of a 7-year-old boy diagnosed with autistic disorder. He was nonverbal, had severe mental retardation, was socially withdrawn, and exhibited high rates of self-stimulatory behavior; my project focused on measuring the frequency of each of these behaviors across different settings. I had not forgotten about the discomfort I had felt with the girl who pulled my hair a few years before, and although I would not have admitted it at the time, my work was driven by a desire to understand behavior that seemed so bizarre that it repelled me. I wish I could say my interest in autism flourished from that point, but it did not. My thesis had brought me some satisfaction in that I could better explain and predict my subject’s behavior by the end of the study, but I was not particularly drawn to the population. Shamefully, my limited experience with only two cases of autism had left me with the vague and misinformed concept that working with autism meant working with children and that all these children were nonverbal. Even worse, because of my naive and simplistic perspective, I had failed to understand these two young people and their daily struggles.

Although my thesis did not direct me to further autism-related work, it did bring me the good fortune of Junko Tanaka-Matsumi’s mentorship. She sparked my love of empiricism and a desire to become a scientist-practitioner, which landed me in the clinical psychology doctoral program at Stony Brook University, State University of New York. There I was immersed in a stimulating environment where the many facets of clinical psychology were introduced by faculty members who were leaders in their fields. It was a privilege to learn about behavioral parenting intervention from Susan O’Leary, marital discord and therapy from Daniel O’Leary, CBT and psychotherapy integration from Marvin Goldfried, problem-solving therapy from Thomas D’Zurilla, fundamental and applied behavioral principles from Edward (Ted) Carr, adult psychopathology from John Neale, and child psychopathology from Alan O. Ross.

Outside of some brief mention of autism and mental retardation in the psychopathology courses, developmental disorders (DDs) were not a focus during my training. Peers who worked in Ted Carr’s research group had the most exposure to those clinical populations, because their subjects were children with DDs. However, my clinical practicum experiences were shaping my preference for work with adult patients and for psychotherapy as an intervention modality over classroom or parent interventions. In addition, my research was targeting an adult population, as I had joined the research group of Alan O. Ross. Despite his long history as a child psychologist with an interest in child abuse, at the time I came to Stony Brook he was working on research questions about adults; his investigations were aimed toward the identification of factors that could cause an adult to, as he put it, “snap” and injure a child. My objective was to develop and validate a behavioral measure of frustration tolerance, which would be used to study high-risk response styles in adults. A long series of experiments with undergraduate subjects resulted in a reliable instrument and a completed
dissertation, but I graduated before it was ever used on clinical populations. Nevertheless, the work raised my curiosity about a wide variety of adult problems, including anger, aggression, and anxiety.

My predoctoral internship in a VA hospital, where I worked mostly with combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and substance abuse, solidified my interest in CBT and adult psychopathology. It was also during that year that I made the decision to pursue a career as a clinician, not a researcher. When I finished the internship, autism was further from my mind than ever before and Asperger syndrome was a term I would not hear for several years. But I was about to enter a field that would gradually lead me to the work I describe in this book, a field that would force me repeatedly to adjust my thinking because it exposed me to phenomena that were inconsistent with my previous conceptions (or misconceptions). Each discovery would cause me to adopt a new idea and to slightly shift the direction of my practice. Many of the basic assumptions underpinning this book were formed this way, and the experiences that spawned them are presented below in the order in which they occurred.

**Discovery 1: Children with DD Become Adults with DD**

After I completed my degree at Stony Brook, I needed to work in a clinical setting where I could get the supervision required to qualify for a state license to practice. I would have loved to work in the VA where I had interned, but they were not hiring at the time I needed a job. So I took a position at the Young Adult Institute (now called YAI, the National Institute for People with Disabilities) in New York City. As a large and well-established DD service agency, they offered programs for people with mental retardation, autism, and other DDs. I was hired by the adult residential department to design behavioral intervention plans in a group home for people diagnosed with mental retardation and/or autistic disorder and “severe challenging behavior.” It did not seem as interesting to me as the VA, but I did need the supervision and, quite frankly, I also needed to make some money! With my solid behavioral training, research background in frustration/aggression, and clinical interest in adult populations, I was confident that I could do the job. I agreed to the standard YAI requirement of an 18-month commitment.

As I worked in the group home, I found it intriguing to see how DDs manifested in adulthood. I had previously held the belief that DDs were childhood disorders. I quickly learned that, although these problems have their onset at birth or in early childhood, affected individuals are impaired by their symptoms throughout their lives. My fascination was overshadowed, however, by the frequent and severe aggressive behavior demonstrated by these adults, which made my job quite unpleasant. In fact, when a male resident pulled my hair during my first week (a very mild behavior in this setting), I thought back to my experience with the girl in summer school 10 years before and asked myself, “For this I got a PhD?” In some ways it was worse than the earlier experience, despite the fact that I was older and trying to be more objective. When a grown man pulled my hair, it felt much more like an assault than when a 6-year-old girl had done it. I nonetheless resolved to stick it out until I fulfilled my commitment, at which point, I promised myself, I could resign to do something else. I could use the clinical hours toward the eligibility requirements for state licensure, so my time would
not be wasted. I was not willing to cut my hair, so I would simply have to be more clever about keeping it out of harm’s way.

**Discovery 2: Adults with DD Have Similar Mental Health Problems as Typical Adults**

The majority of the individuals I served in residential services would not fit the profile of the patients I describe in this book; almost all residents had mental retardation and fewer than half of those had an autism spectrum disorder. However, most of them had comorbid psychiatric disorders that had never been properly diagnosed, and this omission raised my curiosity. Thus, although I had not received any specialized training in DDs before this job, in some ways my “mainstream mental health” background was a better preparation for the issues I was encountering. This is one of the reasons I remained at YAI for 13 years!

The case that “hooked” me to stay beyond my initial commitment was a woman with moderate mental retardation and a history of “severe aggression.” Peggy could be cooperative and pleasant for up to a year at a time but was subject to month-long episodes of behavior change marked by violent outbursts, erratic sleep, decrease in appetite, and hypersexual behavior toward male staff members. My internship at an inpatient psychiatric facility had exposed me to cases of bipolar mood disorder, and it seemed obvious to me that Peggy was experiencing manic episodes. But the field of DD was dominated at the time by a view that problem behavior in people with DD is the result of their learning history and could be reduced or eliminated by teaching and reinforcing an alternative adaptive behavior. I agreed with that view (and still do), but I did not believe it applied to all the problems a person with a DD could have, and certainly not florid symptoms of mania. This one-dimensional approach failed to take into account the possibility that a mood disorder could affect an adult with intellectual impairments. I was repeatedly asking colleagues the question, “If psychiatric disorders strike a proportion of the nondisabled adult population, why wouldn’t these phenomena be present in a certain proportion of the population of adults with DD?”

I searched for resources and found that, although they were a small minority, other professionals in the DD field were asking the same question, including members of the interdisciplinary organization called the National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (NADD). Because it was such a new line of inquiry, there was no strong evidence-based intervention literature, but there were at least some thoughtful guidelines to draw upon (e.g., Fletcher & Dosen, 1993; Gardner & Sovner, 1994; Matson & Barrett, 1993; Nezu, Nezu, & Gill-Weiss, 1992; and all issues of the Habilitative Mental Healthcare Newsletter), and a special section in the *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* devoted to the topic of mental illness in persons with mental retardation (1994, Volume 62, No. 1). Most of these guidelines included the behavioral approaches that were traditionally applied to this population (assessment and manipulation of environmental antecedents and consequences of aberrant behavior) but also promoted more multidimensional or “biopsychosocial” case formulation models.

I was lucky to have very supportive and forward-thinking administrators at YAI working with me on Peggy’s case. Together we recognized that Peggy’s problem behavior was multidetermined and that she would need a multifaceted treatment plan.
that included medical, psychosocial, and environmental interventions. Although the group home was equipped to provide the psychosocial and environmental components of Peggy’s treatment plan, it was not a medical facility. All residents accessed their medical care from community-based providers, but we had great difficulty getting the appropriate care for Peggy’s bipolar mood disorder. The physicians with whom we worked repeatedly told us to “try a different reward system,” or they prescribed a very high dose of antipsychotic medication in an effort to suppress behavior—all because their perceptions of mental retardation would not allow for the diagnosis of a comorbid mood disorder. It took a year of multiple ineffective pharmacological regimens and inpatient hospitalizations (at one point Peggy was simultaneously on seven psychotropic medications) before we found a physician who was willing to look at our data, conceptualize the problems as we did, and offer the treatment options that would have been prescribed for any typical person with bipolar mood disorder.

As mentioned, the medical treatment was only one component of Peggy’s treatment plan for her mood disorder. Even after it was secured, we continued our psychosocial and environmental interventions with her. She needed ongoing support and a structured learning environment in order to recuperate from a long period of instability and return to the goal of maximizing her independence and quality of life. Although Peggy was not on the autism spectrum, this anecdote is relevant to the topic at hand. The multifaceted, individualized treatment planning approach that is described in this book has its roots in the work we did with Peggy’s case and others like it (see Gaus & Sturiale, 2002, for more details on the group home application). Each component, whether behavioral, cognitive, or pharmacological, is necessary but not sufficient to ameliorate complex problems in adults with multiple disorders.

**Discovery 3: People with DD Can Benefit from Psychotherapy**

As soon as I became a state-licensed psychologist, I started a private practice. My plan was to keep my job at YAI while building a psychotherapy caseload of typical adults. However, when my colleagues in the DD field began to refer patients with disabilities to me, I naturally accepted them. Again I was challenged to try something for which I had never received formal training, and I found it necessary to integrate ideas from separate literatures and experiences. My training at Stony Brook and the VA had given me a solid foundation in CBT, but not in applying it to people with DD. My experience at YAI up to that point had not involved psychotherapy, but did teach me to understand the various forms of cognitive dysfunction present in people with DD. When I tied these two concepts together by offering cognitive-behavioral therapy to people with known cognitive dysfunction, it seemed so fitting to me, yet I was surprised when I could not find very much written about it. In fact, the idea of offering any type of psychotherapy to people with DD was foreign to many professionals in the field at that time. The range of psychological services typically sought for this population was limited to environmental or staff/parent training interventions and was based on a purely behavioral orientation.

Soon thereafter, YAI opened an outpatient clinic that would offer a wide array of rehabilitation and medical services to the DD community. I was very grateful to learn that a psychotherapy department was being developed that would specifically serve
patients with DD. I was eager to join the team and that opportunity became the next “hook” that kept me for a few more years beyond the original commitment I had made to the agency. As I continued to see patients in the clinic as well as privately, publications began to appear that addressed this new trend of offering “talk therapies” to people with DD (Nezu & Nezu, 1994; Strohmer & Prout, 1994), including one that focused on CBT exclusively (Kroese, Dagnan, & Loumidis, 1997). With those publications and my work at YAI came an ever-widening circle of colleagues who were doing similar work with whom I could exchange ideas.

**Discovery 4: Symptoms of Autism Can Present in People Who Have Strong Cognitive and Verbal Abilities**

It was in my private practice that I met Joe, my first patient with AS. Meeting him led to one of my most important revelations and brings me to the subject of this book. The initial phone call came from Joe’s brother, who said, “I want you to see my brother, who I think has Asperger syndrome.” I responded, “What’s that?” I thought he had said, “ass-burger syndrome.” He told me that it was “high-functioning autism,” so I scheduled an appointment, satisfied that the presenting issue was probably somehow related to my DD specialty. As soon as I got off the phone, I grabbed by then-new copy of DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) and went to the section on pervasive developmental disorders. Sure enough, there it was: Asperger’s disorder. I was a little embarrassed that I had not encountered this term on my own (this was early 1995), as the DSM had been sitting on my desk for months at this point. But I got over it quickly as I became enthralled by the research I did on this phenomenon.

I was even more intrigued when I met Joe, whose case is detailed in Chapter 1. This 55-year-old man had a master’s degree from an Ivy League institution and had worked and lived independently for years, but demonstrated odd social behavior and struggled with simple problem solving in daily living. It was during his assessment that I experienced my fourth important revelation. I realized that it was possible for a person to have the social difficulties and behavioral eccentricities of autism (as I had always defined it), but also have normal to superior intelligence and sophisticated verbal abilities. I also assumed that Joe could not be the only person like this, and that others with similar problems may also have reason to seek help from mental health professionals. I shifted the focus of my practice at that point toward the understanding of these often misunderstood individuals.

**Discovery 5: Some Things Are Better Left Unchanged, or Don’t Throw the Baby Out with the Bathwater**

Since I entered the “autism spectrum community” I have come to enjoy the fact that I am indeed part of a community. This field is unique in that there is much productive interaction outside the clinical setting between professionals, individuals on the spectrum, family members of those on the spectrum, support networks, and advocacy groups. This involvement enriches my understanding of this population, keeps me constantly informed, and has brought me some wonderful friends.
With this has come the unpleasant realization that, compared to other fields, there seems to be so much controversy, emotion-laden debate, and division within the community surrounding autism spectrum disorders. There is ongoing controversy about defining autism as an epidemic and to what extent environmental events are causal. There is disagreement about the utility of alternative medicine and holistic approaches to treat autism. There are arguments about separating “high-functioning” from “low-functioning” people on the spectrum, in terms of definition and eligibility for resources and services. Most recently, there has been division between the families of “lower-functioning” individuals on the autism spectrum and “high-functioning” adults over use of the word cure when research funding and treatments are being sought. Families of the lower-functioning cite the pain, suffering, and debilitation they witness in their loved ones as a reason to seek a cure. High-functioning adults argue that being on the autism spectrum has brought them unique qualities and talents, and the idea of a cure is offensive to them because it would mean a sacrifice of their individuality (see individual Internet-based articles by Klin, Singer, and Carley, all 2006, for a balanced description of each side of the issue).

As a clinical psychologist, I remain apolitical in this community. I may have many tasks and roles, but I only have one objective, which I have held since the earliest days of my doctoral training. That is to help patients reduce their psychological distress by using scientifically based interventions. I would apply that principle in my work with any patient, regardless of the form or severity of distress presented. While working with adults with AS, there is a particularly delicate balance between the need to target aspects of their functioning that interfere with a sense of well-being, and the need to preserve those parts of themselves that constitute unique strengths and assets. As I work to maintain that balance with each of my patients, my goals are based on my longstanding clinical objective, not politics.

When treating most Axis I problems in any population, such as depression or anxiety, the ultimate wish of the therapist and patient is to eliminate the problem or drive it into total remission; the disorder is considered to be completely undesirable. However, I find it difficult to view AS in this same way because I do not believe the associated phenomena can be totally eliminated in an adult patient, nor do I believe that should be the goal of treatment. A major assumption behind the material I present in this book is that AS is driven by an idiosyncratic information-processing system that leads to numerous negative consequences for the affected individual. The related problems are what bring patients into treatment and indeed must be addressed using the strategies I describe in this book. With that, I also assume that the idiosyncratic information-processing systems associated with AS are not universally faulty. Unconventional ways of looking at the world can be assets to adults with AS and the people around them. For many, their unique thinking styles have led them to invent effective strategies for coping and adapting to a world that seems strange to them. I agree with Tony Attwood, clinical psychologist and prolific author on AS, when he tells each of his AS patients that “he or she is not mad, bad, or defective, but has a different way of thinking” (Attwood, 2006b, p. 332). This way of thinking, along with a unique interaction style, is intertwined with the individual’s personality. It is part of the essence of each individual and has led to the talents, unique abilities, and appealing qualities of the person. To eliminate the AS would be to eliminate the patient!
A PHILOSOPHY OF CHANGE

As a result of meeting so many adults with AS, I have developed a philosophy of change for my work with them. I maintain a strength-based, lifespan developmental perspective as I collaborate with patients to help them alleviate the distress that they describe. As with any typical patient in CBT for an Axis I disorder, the therapist’s job is to teach the patient to identify and modify the cognitive activity that is causing problems in living, not to change the individual’s entire personality. For adults with AS, this means to:

• Teach new cognitive and behavioral skills that were never learned.
• Teach compensatory strategies for deficits that cannot be changed.
• Facilitate self-acceptance.
• Teach strategies to decrease or prevent symptoms of comorbid mental health problems, such as anxiety disorders and depression.

The Global and Regional Asperger Syndrome Partnership (GRASP), a support, education, and advocacy network for people with AS, has a mission statement in which therapists can find words of wisdom:

We will work . . . to teach ourselves, through education and understanding, to maximize the talents brought on by our condition; to harness the unique capabilities and celebrate the accomplishments inherent in our community . . . [and] to minimize the damage brought on by our condition; to reduce the harm caused when our behavior diverges from non-autistic norms.” (GRASP, 2003).

When people with AS find ways to manage their stress, form satisfying relationships, and achieve occupational goals, they continue to have unique ways of processing information. At that point, their uniqueness is something to celebrate. By providing psychotherapy to these individuals, we have the privilege of sharing in that celebration.
Defining Asperger Syndrome in Adulthood

This chapter provides an introduction to AS, beginning with the definition and diagnostic criteria. That is followed by a description of how AS manifests in adulthood and why affected individuals may seek out a psychotherapist. The factors adding to the complexity of the symptom picture in adult AS are outlined, including differential diagnosis and common myths. To round out the picture of adult AS, the chapter ends with a discussion of the strengths often seen in these adults and how they may serve to make them particularly responsive to CBT.

WHAT IS ASPERGER SYNDROME?

AS was first recognized in the United States in 1994 when it was introduced as one of the pervasive developmental disorders in DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994). Termed Asperger’s disorder in that volume, the general features are very similar to the symptoms seen in the longer-known autistic disorder: that is, “impairment in social interaction” and “restricted repetitive patterns of behavior, interests and activities” (p. 77). In contrast to autistic disorder, however, there are no clinically significant delays in cognitive development, language, development of age-appropriate self-help skills, adaptive behavior, or curiosity about the environment. In other words, people with AS are verbal and do not have comorbid mental retardation, as do many autistic individuals.

Although the concept of AS was new to mental health practitioners in the United States when DSM-IV (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) was published, it was 50 years old in Europe. Hans Asperger, an Austrian pediatrician, first described a set of features common among a group of his patients in 1944 (Asperger, 1944). His German-language manuscript received little attention until 1981, when Lorna Wing connected his descriptions to the cases she was seeing in the United Kingdom (Attwood, 1998; Wing, 1981, 2000). Internationally, there are differing perspectives on the specific criteria to be used when making the diagnosis, but most authors agree that it is on a spec-
trum with autistic disorder and that it involves severe problems in social perception and behavior (Attwood, 1998; Ghaziuddin, 2005; Klin, Volkmar, & Sparrow, 2000; Wing, 2000).

**Current North American Criteria: DSM-IV-TR**

The criticisms of current classification systems for autism spectrum disorders are many, and we are probably going to see significant changes in future versions of DSM and ICD. For example, Wing (2005) describes the problems inherent in using a categorical classification system to define a spectrum of disorders and suggests a dimensional alternative. However, this book is meant to be a clinical manual for use by practitioners who are presently interested in treating adults with AS, HFA, or PDD-NOS, and it would be outside that scope to review the conceptual debates (see Volkmar & Klin, 2005, for an overview of current controversies). For practical purposes, the existing classification system defined by DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), albeit imperfect, is used.

An abbreviated summary of these criteria for Asperger’s disorder is presented in Table 1.1. The reader can refer to DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) for an in-depth description of the symptoms and associated features. A few issues are highlighted here, however, as further guidance when using these criteria to evaluate therapy patients. The first is that the disorder did not appear in any of the versions of DSM before 1994. It is now listed as one of five pervasive developmental disorders (PDD), whereas there were only two in DSM-III-R (American Psychiatric Association, 1987). Using that volume, a clinician was required to choose between autistic disorder and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS). This issue has had a significant effect on adult patients because a person who meets criteria for AS in the current system may not have met criteria for either of the two disorders listed in DSM-III-R. For many patients, this factor contributed to a long history of confusing diagnoses that often did not quite fit the problems they were experiencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1.1. Summary of DSM-IV-TR Criteria for Asperger’s Disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Impairment in social interaction</strong>—at least two of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impairment in the use of nonverbal behaviors to regulate social interaction (e.g., eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body posture, gestures).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Failure to develop appropriate peer relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of spontaneous sharing of enjoyment, interests or achievements.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of social or emotional reciprocity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Restricted, repetitive, and stereotyped behavior, interests, and activities</strong>—at least one of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preoccupation with stereotyped and restricted pattern(s) of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inflexible adherence to nonfunctional routines/rituals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Persistent preoccupation with parts of objects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other domains of functioning.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>No delay in general language development in childhood.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>No delay in the development of cognitive ability, self-help skills, adaptive behavior, or curiosity about the environment in childhood.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A second issue is that all PDDs are now coded on Axis I, whereas in previous versions they were coded on Axis II, along with mental retardation and personality disorders. Although this change has many conceptual implications that are beyond the scope of this book, practical concerns a clinician may face include the way this detail can affect patients' eligibility for various types of third-party reimbursement and access to state and/or federally funded services. Issues around accessing adjunctive therapies and services are covered in Chapter 8.

HOW DOES ASPERGER SYNDROME PRESENT IN ADULTHOOD?

There is a paucity of data on the phenomenon of adult AS, beginning with epidemiology. Prevalence studies are clouded by inconsistencies in how AS is classified, and all are based on child samples. We can only make inferences about the population of adults with AS by looking at child data, a recent estimate being 2.6 per 10,000 children for AS (Fombonne, 2005; Fombonne & Tidmarsh, 2003) and a range of 10–67 per 10,000 children for all ASDs (Merrick, Kandel, & Morad, 2004). The most recent estimate published by the Centers for Disease Control in the United States for all ASDs ranged from 3.3–9.9 per 1,000 children across two multisite studies conducted in 2000 and 2002, or about 1 in 150 children (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007a, 2007b). Our knowledge about the ratio of male-to-female cases is also limited, because the classification problems mentioned above are compounded by questions about gender differences in symptom manifestation (Koenig & Tsatsanis, 2005). No studies have investigated the gender ratio in children with AS (Fombonne & Tidmarsh, 2003; Klin & Volkmar, 2003), but for all the PDDs combined, the male-to-female ratio has been reported as 3.7:1 (Volkmar et al., 1994) in one study and 3.8:1 in another (Fombonne, 1999). Recent studies conducted by the Centers for Disease Control found rates ranging from 2.8–6.5:1 for all ASDs in a child sample (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007a, 2007b). If we cautiously consider these prevalence estimates, with the absence of evidence that these children “grow out of it,” it is easy to hypothesize that there is a significant population of adults living with a PDD, and that clinicians treating adults may see three or four men for every one woman meeting criteria for a diagnosis (see Koenig & Tsatsanis, 2005, for a very thoughtful and comprehensive review of gender considerations and diagnosis).

Since the 1994 introduction of AS into the DSM, the attention paid to it in research and practice has primarily focused on children. It is a developmental disorder and is classified in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) with problems “usually first diagnosed in infancy, childhood or adolescence,” so it makes obvious sense to channel resources into understanding early developmental processes and to intervene in a proactive way early in life. However, people born before the mid-1970s who currently meet criteria for AS were already adults before the syndrome was made known in 1994 to the mental health community in the United States. They are at a particular disadvantage because their problems were not diagnosed and treated properly when they were children, yet they are in need of effective therapeutic supports as much as today’s newly diagnosed youngsters. When these individuals were children, they presented very differently from their counterparts with the more familiar autistic disorder
of the 1950s, 1960s, and 1970s, the latter being mostly nonverbal, unresponsive to other people, and having mental retardation. The children with AS had normal to superior intelligence and advanced verbal skills, were often academically successful, and had intense interests in certain topics (e.g., astronomy, insects, trains).

This presentation (sometimes referred to as the “little professor syndrome”) may have been endearing to parents and some teachers, but these children were typically disliked by their peers. They were plagued by anxiety, subject to anger outbursts, and sometimes classified in the education system as “emotionally disturbed,” but not identified as having any sort of developmental disorder. In fact, their profiles did not clearly fit any diagnostic category during the 40-year span between the 1950s and 1990s in the U.S. classification system. They have therefore lived most of their lives with an array of problems but without a diagnosis, or worse, with the wrong diagnosis. They have missed out on the benefits that educational and therapeutic programs designed to meet their needs would have brought them, and therefore are at a greater risk for problems in adulthood. As suggested by Attwood (1998), the current generation of children receiving quality education and treatment for this disorder may grow up to be less vulnerable to psychiatric illness than their older counterparts. A very large and heterogeneous cohort of people who grew up with AS but without appropriate supports remains in need of effective treatment today.

Psychotherapy is becoming more widely perceived as a viable treatment modality for individuals with AS (Attwood, 2006b; Jacobsen, 2003). To illustrate the multitude of symptom profiles a therapist may encounter and the wide variety of reasons an adult may seek treatment, I describe several case examples of patients as they presented at their intakes in my practice. These individuals are introduced before the theoretical and empirically supported explanations for the adult AS symptom picture are presented, as a way to simulate the order of events in a practical setting. After all, a therapist usually meets and talks with a person who has a name and face before conceptualizing the reasons behind his or her problems. These individuals were selected because their symptom presentation is heterogeneous, and their primary complaints are representative of the common difficulties a therapist will observe in this population. Each case description is followed by a brief discussion of how it illustrates a unique manifestation of DSM-defined symptoms of AS. Please keep in mind that a full evaluation was necessary for each before confirmation of the diagnosis could be made; the information contained in these summaries is insufficient to diagnose AS with certainty. The purpose of this section is to familiarize the reader with some of the clues that can appear during an intake. Details on conducting a comprehensive assessment, obtaining a diagnosis, and providing treatment for individuals with AS are provided later in the book. The descriptions begin with Joe, my very first encounter with AS.

**Joe: Severe Regression in Functioning Triggered by Stressful Life Event**

Joe is a 55-year-old single Latin American man who was referred to the therapist by his brother, who had become concerned about him because he had been suddenly evicted from his apartment. This turn of events raised questions about his mental status and ability to care for himself. His brother had recently read an article about AS and connected the description to Joe.
Joe has a master’s degree in engineering and has worked in that field in one company for more than 25 years. He has lived alone in the same apartment in New York City for the past 18 years without incident. His eviction was a shock to his family because they had seen no warning signs. After checking into the reason for the eviction, his brother found out that Joe’s apartment building had been sold to a new management company many months before. Because the change in management required Joe to write and send his rent check to a new place, he just stopped paying. Numerous notices and warnings that came in the mail were ignored by Joe, who simply stacked them neatly in a drawer.

His brother reported that Joe had always been a “loner,” “a little odd,” and “rigid.” However, he was able to succeed in college and graduate school, get and keep a job, and live on his own without assistance. His siblings were in the habit of checking on him by phone on a weekly basis, although Joe never initiated contact with them. He never complained of any distress, there was no history of psychiatric illness, and no problems at work; he always received positive performance reviews from his supervisors. His family sometimes had minor concerns that his life was “boring,” because he carried out exactly the same routine every day and had no friends or girlfriends. He did have a passionate interest in wild birds and spent his free time pursuing the subject by going to the library or watching documentaries on television. Because he never complained, the family assumed he was content.

At intake, Joe demonstrated flat affect, spoke in a monotonous tone, and avoided eye contact. However, he articulately described feeling distraught about the incident, expressing shame and anger at himself for having handled the situation so poorly. He reported that he had felt very nervous by the change in building management companies, and this nervousness made him avoid writing the rent checks. When he began receiving the warning notices, he became so frightened that he did not know what to do and was afraid to tell his family about the problem. The worse the problem got, the more he avoided taking steps to address it.

Joe’s intake description highlights some of the symptoms of AS as described in the DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). His “inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals” could be seen in his total inability to shift his rent-paying routine and to practice adaptive problem solving when he received eviction warnings. Joe also demonstrated “restricted patterns of interest”: his leisure activities focused solely on wild birds. In the social domain, he demonstrated a “failure to develop peer relationships” and “lack of social or emotional reciprocity” in the way he interacted with his family as well as the therapist.

Joe’s case illustrates one common reason adults with AS are referred to psychotherapy: a regression in functioning triggered by a stressful life event or major change in circumstances. Like Joe, many adults with AS can achieve a high level of education and function adequately in a predictable, structured, and restricted set of circumstances. However, they may demonstrate poor judgment and lack of problem-solving ability when faced with an unexpected change. In lay terms, family members may complain that the individual seems to have “no common sense.” A stressful shift in circumstances may occur in the individual’s environment, as it did in Joe’s case; other times a natural developmental change, such as the transition from adolescence to adulthood, can trigger the regression. The next case, Lorraine, is an example.
Lorraine: Frustration over Lack of Independence

Lorraine is a 22-year-old white Catholic woman who attends a community college on a part-time basis and lives with her mother, father, and one sister. She was diagnosed with PDD-NOS when she was in preschool, but a psychiatrist more recently changed her diagnosis to AS. Lorraine was referred to therapy by her parents, who had growing concerns about her low frustration tolerance and anger outbursts. She agreed to meet the therapist because she wanted to learn to be more assertive and less dependent on her parents.

Lorraine’s frustration had been increasing around her schoolwork. For all college classes she has taken to date, she has had to rely on a scribe, a person assigned to take notes in class and take dictation from her on written assignments. This special education accommodation was necessary because she had fine motor problems that significantly impaired her handwriting capacity. In recent months, her mother was acting as the scribe because her school had not been able to find one for her. This level of interaction was increasing the tension between them; she would often end study sessions by screaming, and during one episode she pinched her mother. She frequently stated that she was “tired of needing help” and concerned that the college credits earned thus far were not legitimate but really “belong to my mother.”

Lorraine’s parents reported that she had had significant “autistic signs” since preschool, including unusual use of language, social detachment, severe tantrums, distress with changes, and hyperactivity. She steadily improved as she developed, and her parents attribute her success to the special education supports she received, such as intensive speech–language therapy. They have always considered her education a high priority, and they often had to legally challenge their school district for specialized services that were not readily offered to Lorraine. Her parents personally funded additional supports outside of school, most importantly by enrolling her in a therapeutic horseback riding program when she was 6 years old. Not only did this activity help her develop gross motor skills, ability to focus, and self-confidence, but she enjoyed it more than any other. As an adolescent, she began to ride in competitions, and at the time of intake, had been the sole owner of a horse for 3 years.

Lorraine’s intake took place across two sessions. She came to the first with her parents and chose to have them remain with her for the entire session. Lorraine presented as a very pretty woman who was well groomed and dressed neatly in an athletic outfit. She made eye contact when she shook the therapist’s hand, but avoided it for the rest of the session, and her affect appeared consistently flat. Lorraine played a passive role as she looked to her parents to answer many of the therapist’s questions. When she returned for a second interview by herself, her affect and expressions were as flat as before. She spoke very slowly with a low volume but clear articulation. She did not look at the therapist and there was a long delay between each question and her answer, but she appeared to carefully consider each one, and her answers were appropriately related. She reported that she felt appreciative of the support her parents had given her over the years but frustrated that she was still so reliant on them. She was in a 1-year-long relationship with a boyfriend (whom she described as “on the autism spectrum, too”) and was
enjoying the time spent with him outside her parents’ home. She wanted therapy to help her become more independent and more “in control” of her anger, because her “yelling” was starting to bother her boyfriend. Throughout the session she looked down, as she sat with one foot on her knee and repeatedly ran her hand back and forth over the tread on the bottom of her sneaker. Twice during the interview she directed the therapist’s attention to the shoe and pointed out all of the special features in the design. She was slow to return to the topic when the therapist redirected her, because she was intent on describing the sneaker, its unique qualities, and where it was manufactured. As she was exiting the office at the end of the session, she very suddenly turned around and hugged the therapist, but with a flat facial expression and no eye contact.

Although Lorraine’s parent-reported history indicated that she had had more severe problems with social interaction and behavior as a child, she continued to demonstrate clinically significant symptoms of AS as an adult. Some of the DSM-defined symptoms that were present in her current life, as per her and the parents’ report, were observable during the intake sessions. Her poor eye contact and flat affect were examples of “impairment in the use of multiple nonverbal behaviors . . . to regulate social interaction.” The delayed responses to the therapist’s questions, difficulty returning to the topic when prompted, and the spontaneous hug (mood-incongruent and socially out of context) were examples of “lack of social or emotional reciprocity.” Her intense focus on the details of her sneakers was an example of “persistent preoccupation with parts of objects” (p. 84). Poor handwriting skills represented motor coordination problems that are commonly associated features of AS.

Many adults with AS share Lorraine’s frustration over lack of independence. Their symptoms interfere with the ability to achieve occupational and financial independence, so they must rely on others to complete many activities of daily living. Young adulthood can be a particularly painful time for individuals with AS and their families, because the transition brings about changes that highlight the individual’s disability. Typically developing people begin to take steps to leave the family home and pursue an occupation during the late teens and early 20s. Individuals with AS who have had academic success in the structure of a high school environment, with or without special education supports, are often presumed to be ready for college or work at the same point as their typical peers. However, the changes in environment, schedule, and task demands that come with campus or work life often prove too drastic for individuals with AS. When they find themselves struggling with tasks that they assumed would come easy, they and their families suffer confusion, disappointment, and frustration. Lorraine faced disappointment in the realm of academics and independence; in the next case, Andrew illustrates frustration in the social domain.

**Andrew: Social Problems and Loneliness**

Andrew is a 32-year-old single Protestant white man who has a degree in culinary arts and works as a manager in a gourmet food shop. He was referred by his parents for an assessment of his social functioning in hopes of clarifying his mental health diagnoses; they had encountered some literature about AS and thought it described some of their son’s problems. Andrew also wanted to explore the possi-
Andrew’s case description illustrates several DSM-defined symptoms for AS. Across his life he had failed “to develop peer relationships,” had demonstrated a “lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment” (previously viewed by his family as “shyness”), and “repetitive motor mannerisms” (e.g., finger flicking) (p. 84). Like Lorraine, these characteristics were more pronounced in childhood, according to parental report.

Andrew’s reasons for seeking treatment were two of the most common in adult patients with AS: social isolation/loneliness and depression. Andrew’s intelligence and talents, paired with his tendency to be very quiet in social situations, obscured the severity of his social disability. An autism spectrum disorder would not have been obvious to most professionals he encountered during the years he was growing up (1970s and 1980s), and this phenomenon can be seen in many adult patients. Contrary to the popular belief that people with ASDs are indifferent to people, many of them, like Andrew, are very motivated to have friends and lovers, but they do not have the skills to initiate or maintain fulfilling relationships. Andrew’s social difficulties were marked by with-
drawal and avoidance. The next case, Salvador, had interpersonal difficulties that, in contrast to Andrew, were marked by angry and aggressive approaches to other people.

**Salvador: Anger Control Problems and Loneliness**

Salvador is 33-year-old Catholic Italian American single man who is self-employed as a foreign language instructor. He came to therapy for help with anger control problems and ongoing disappointments with his relationships. Salvador had recently been diagnosed with AS by a psychiatrist. Since then he had been seeking different types of supports and services in relation to the diagnosis, which is how he found the therapist’s name. He has an associate’s degree in liberal arts and currently lives with his parents in a suburb about 40 miles outside of New York City.

Salvador identified anger as his biggest problem, especially since he was still very distressed by a recent large-scale disagreement he had had with his aunt. She had hired him to come to her house in Florida for a 6-week stay to provide tutoring in Spanish to her teenage son (Salvador’s cousin). He fulfilled his end of the agreement and stayed 6 weeks, but there were many sources of familial tension in the home, and eventually Salvador had an outburst in which he screamed at his aunt and caused damage to some of her property (by punching walls and throwing objects). This behavior frightened and angered his aunt, and Salvador’s visit ended in shame and disappointment for him. He reports that these types of outbursts are a pattern for him, and he does not know how to control the anger he feels toward people when they act “in certain ways.” He also feels very frustrated because he does not believe he is using his talents enough to support himself and thinks he should be more independent than he is at his age.

Salvador has had a passionate interest in foreign languages and foreign culture since he was an adolescent. Although raised in an English-speaking blue-collar household, Salvador managed to teach himself three additional languages (Spanish, Italian, and French), with very little formal training. He reports having had no friends in high school (a trend that has continued through the present), because he could never find anyone with his level of enthusiasm for foreign languages and cultures. He acknowledged that he has never been interested in talking to people about anything but his own passion. He is fluent and proficient enough to teach others, and also teaches foreigners English as a second language. He makes very little money, because he works only sporadically for continuing education programs in local high schools, or arranges private tutoring sessions. He does not have the credentials to make teaching a career; he tried to pursue a bachelor’s degree, but reported that learning difficulties made him stop. He describes himself as having an excellent memory for details and can memorize large volumes of material, but has great difficulty interpreting things that are abstract; as a result, he did well in learning the mechanics of a language (vocabulary, grammar, and sentence production), but not in literature courses, where he had to infer meaning from the creative writing of others.

Salvador presents as a handsome, impeccably dressed, well-spoken and gregarious man. At the initial meeting, he greeted the therapist in a very polite and appropriate fashion, making good eye contact while shaking her hand. After entering the consultation room and taking his seat, he seemed unresponsive to the ther-
apist for several minutes as he “set up” for the session, carrying out a series of preparatory tasks. He slowly took out his glasses case, put it down by his side with his car keys, opened a folder containing several sheets of loose-leaf paper, removed a sheet with a list of prepared notes on it, closed the folder, and put the sheet on top of the folder on his lap. Then he took out a pen and finally looked up at the therapist. She had made one or two remarks already, which he had not answered. He smiled and said, “OK, I’m ready.” He began to present the items he had written in advance on his note page. Several times during the interview, he would rock his upper body for a minute or two, then suddenly stop and say angrily, “Damn it. I hate that. I have to stop rocking like that.” He also asked the therapist to repeat many of the questions, as he would seem to lose focus partway through each one. Other times, he would interrupt the flow of his own speech, stop abruptly and say, “What was I saying?” and while appearing frustrated as he tried to remember. At these times he would refer back to his notes.

Salvador’s history and behavior during the intake are consistent with several DSM-defined symptoms of AS. He demonstrated a “failure to develop peer relationships,” and his lack of interest in the activities or ideas of other people was an example of “lack of social or emotional reciprocity,” as was his lack of response to the therapist while he set up his items in preparation for the session. His talent with foreign languages was an asset in many ways, but his inability to relate to people in any other realm made it “an encompassing preoccupation” with a pattern of interest that is “abnormal in intensity.” Finally, the upper-body rocking that he exhibited during the intake is a “repetitive motor mannerism” (p. 84).

Andrew’s problem with severe anger outbursts is indicative of a commonly associated feature of AS, which is difficulty regulating emotion. His loneliness arises from the isolation that is likely a consequence of his lack of interest in others (which may be interpreted as arrogance by some) paired with his dramatic expressions of anger (which are intimidating to others). His frustration is fueled by the fact that he has very little insight into the way his behavior affects other people, a poor perspective-taking ability that is an associated feature of AS. Salvador’s disappointment in the underutilization of his talents and abilities manifests as significant underemployment, which is one of the most pervasive problems faced by adults with AS. The next case, Rose, is another illustration of this problem.

**Rose: Frustration over Occupational Problems**

Rose is a 37-year-old single Irish American Catholic woman who was referred to therapy by her case manager because of a recent increase in angry outbursts and anxiety. Rose also has a long history of socially inappropriate behavior that has interfered with her occupational functioning. She lives with five other people in a group home for adults with developmental disabilities. The most recent psychological evaluation completed for Rose listed her diagnosis as PDD-NOS. She is unemployed and attends a full-time prevocational training program.

Rose’s expressions of anger, which involve frequent episodes of screaming and occasionally include physical aggression toward others (shoving, punching), began approximately 6 months ago, soon after she was asked to resign from her
job. She was employed in the clothing fitting room of a department store for 1 year. Her work was overseen by a job coach who periodically visited the store, interacted with the employer, and provided her with guidance and feedback. Her performance was hindered by a number of repetitive behaviors about which her employer expressed concern. She tended to leave her work area without permission, walk around the store conversing with coworkers and customers, often using intrusive methods to initiate conversations (e.g., loudly interrupting, asking personal questions). Despite the support and direction provided by her job coach around these issues, she continued to demonstrate the interfering behaviors, and the employer asked her to resign. This was the second retail job she had held within the past 5 years; she had previously been asked to resign after 2 years in another department store for similar reasons. Because of this pattern, she was not set up with another job. Instead she was referred to a prevocational day program, with the goal of teaching her social skills and strategies for impulse control. She has been attending regularly for the past 6 months, but she expresses great dissatisfaction with the program and wishes to return to work.

Rose’s mother reported that Rose had had developmental problems since infancy, including swallowing problems, difficulty reciprocating affection, delayed language development, problems developing peer relationships, and difficulty with changes in routines or schedules. She was diagnosed with “minimal brain damage” by a neurologist and later classified with “mental retardation” by her school district, because she was placed in special education when she entered kindergarten. Her education through 12th grade was marked by numerous changes in school and classroom settings because she did not appear to “fit in” anywhere. For example, she functioned at a higher intellectual level than her peers when placed in classes for students with mental retardation, and she would become easily bored by the work. When placed in classes for “emotionally disturbed” students, she was given academic work more suited to her cognitive ability, but her lack of social skills contributed to poor relationships with peers and she was constantly “picked on.” After graduating from high school, she continued to live with her parents and attended various vocational training programs, succeeding occasionally at temporary or seasonal retail jobs. She had had numerous psychological evaluations throughout adulthood, all of which reported Rose’s Full Scale IQ to be in the borderline range of intellectual functioning, with a significant difference (> 20 points) between Verbal (low-average range) and Performance (mild mental retardation range) IQ scores. When she was 27, it was finally suggested by one psychologist that her symptoms and social history were consistent with an autism spectrum disorder and she was diagnosed with PDD-NOS. She moved into her current group home residence when she was 33.

There were two intake sessions for Rose; the first she attended alone and the second with her mother, who came to provide historical information. Rose appeared as a heavy-set woman, neatly dressed in a color-coordinated casual outfit and well groomed. She was very talkative from the outset, making good eye contact and enthusiastically answering the therapist’s questions and comments. She demonstrated a lack of awareness of social boundaries, however, as she walked over to the therapist’s desk on her way in and tried to read some of the documents on it. She also interrupted the therapist frequently as the interview progressed,
although she would stop herself, put her hand over her mouth and say, “Oh. Sorry. I can’t help it sometimes!” She was very articulate as she described her problems and goals for therapy. At times she would make an odd hand gesture to emphasize a point; she would raise one hand and splay her fingers stiffly and wave the hand back and forth in that position. She reported that she “hated” the day program she was attending because it is for “lower-functioning” people; she whispered when she said, “I’m sorry, but it is for people who are retarded. I am not retarded.” She also demonstrated some insight in that she voluntarily reported problems controlling her anger, that she was “too hyper,” “stressed out,” and wanted to learn how to focus better on her work.

Because Rose’s developmental history was marked by some language and cognitive delays, she does not meet the DSM criteria for AS, but her profile is consistent with PDD-NOS (autistic disorder was ruled out because, although she does have some communication problems, they are not severe enough to meet the criterion for “qualitative impairments in communication,” as described in DSM). She was included here because, like the other cases, her independence potential is much higher than her current level of functioning in daily living. Her presentation at intake included several difficulties with social interaction, including “impairment in the use of multiple nonverbal behaviors . . . to regulate social interaction” (failure to read nonverbal cues and boundaries communicated by others), “lack of social reciprocity” (poor turn taking in conversation), and “stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms” (odd hand gestures) (p. 89).

Rose’s impairment in social interaction had manifested most dramatically in her work life; occupational problems are typical for adults with autism spectrum disorders. Many of her intellectual abilities were significantly more advanced than her social skill level, leading to unsuccessful experiences in almost any vocational setting she entered. Like many adult patients, her social behavior interfered with her performance whenever she was given a chance to work at a job that was suitable for her cognitive ability. On the other hand, when placed in a training program on par with her social functioning level, she quickly became bored and frustrated because the tasks were not intellectually challenging enough. As part of a vicious cycle, her social functioning regressed further because she expressed her anger in very disruptive ways due to poor impulse control and difficulty with emotion regulation. The following description of Seth is also marked by vocational underachievement due to deficits in social functioning and extreme stress reactions.

**Seth: Occupational Problems and Maladaptive Stress Responses**

Seth is a 44-year-old single Jewish unemployed man who was referred by his vocational counselor to address problems with interpersonal behavior. Seth lives with a roommate in an apartment about 15 miles outside New York City and receives weekly visits from a staff member of an assisted living program for adults with developmental disabilities. He is pursuing an associate’s degree and takes one course per semester. He is financially supported by Social Security disability benefits.
Seth’s vocational counselor had been working with him for several months as part of a supportive employment program for people with disabilities. The counselor reported that Seth’s options for job placement were limited by his poor interaction skills and high anxiety. Seth talked incessantly, asked intrusive questions, and became overwhelmed by minor demands (e.g., could not manage more than one college course at a time, despite the fact he was not working). The staff from both his employment and residential programs reported that Seth was developmentally disabled, but they were unsure of his diagnosis because he did not appear to have mental retardation.

Seth had had social and emotional problems from early childhood. His developmental milestones were achieved on time, and he appeared to be intellectually above average in many areas. However, he always preferred to play alone and engaged in behaviors that annoyed other children. As a consequence he had no friends. He was identified as “emotionally disturbed” by his school and by the time he reached high school, he was placed in a special vocational program for students with learning and behavioral problems, where he remained until he turned 21. There he developed an interest in computers, which was encouraged by his teachers. In his early 20s he was able to apply the skills he had learned when he was hired by a large aerospace company as a computer operator. His job involved data entry; he worked there full-time for 12 years, while living with his parents and taking courses toward his associate’s degree on a part-time basis. Long shifts and interpersonal difficulties caused him to feel pressured constantly on the job. In his last year there, at the age of 35, he had begun to engage in self-injurious behavior (scratching and picking the skin on his hands and forearms). He performed the behavior in private, but the scabs and skin marks, along with other odd behaviors at work, drew the attention of coworkers. Eventually a counselor with the employee assistance program at the company urged him to go into the hospital. He voluntarily entered a private psychiatric hospital, where he stayed for 2 months. He was given the diagnosis of psychotic disorder–NOS. After discharge he returned to work for several months, but he continued to have difficulty coping, so he left the position and went on long-term disability. Seth remained at home, continuing his coursework for 7 years, until he moved into the apartment where he was living at the time of intake.

There were three intake sessions, two with Seth alone and one during which his parents joined him. Seth came to the sessions neatly dressed and groomed. He was slightly overweight, with thinning gray hair. He avoided eye contact but spoke freely. He had excellent articulation, to the point of sounding pedantic, and he spoke in a monotone. He appeared enthusiastic about the interview and seemed to enjoy sharing information about his life with the therapist. Several times when he smiled, he flapped his hands at the same time. His focus on details made transitions from one topic to the next slow, because he would not shift until he had exhausted all of the information on a subject. For all sessions, he had some difficulty terminating the discussion when the time was up, and he appeared to ignore both the verbal and nonverbal cues the therapist was giving him to indicate that it was time to stop.
Seth’s historical and current behavior was marked by several signs of social impairment. His childhood years were characterized by a “failure to develop peer relationships,” which had continued into adulthood and manifested as poor relationships with his coworkers. During the interview, he demonstrated signs of other interactional difficulties, such as lack of “use of eye-to-eye gaze” and “social reciprocity” in conversation, when he failed to respond to the therapist’s cues to switch topics or end the session. Throughout his life he had demonstrated “inflexible adherence to . . . nonfunctional routines” and became highly stressed when routines were unpredictable. Indeed, unpredictability seemed to constitute a major precipitating event for his self-injurious behavior in childhood as well as adulthood. He also demonstrated “repetitive motor mannerisms” (p. 84) during the interview when he flapped his hands.

Like many adults with AS, Seth was unemployed, despite his experience and talent in working with computers. Although he was taking college courses, he was making relatively slow progress toward a higher education, considering his intellectual abilities. It is common for adults like Seth to have a low frustration tolerance and maladaptive reactions to stress. Sometimes the behavioral manifestations of these maladaptations can lead others to see these individuals as bizarre or dangerous, such as what occurred in response to the self-injury in Seth’s case. Frequently the extreme responses to stress lead to the development of comorbid psychiatric disorders, as illustrated by the case of Bob.

**Bob: Severe Anxiety and Depressive Symptoms**

Bob is a 29-year-old single Jewish man. He holds a bachelor’s degree in communication arts but is unemployed, lives with his parents, collects Social Security Disability benefits, and attends a part-time psychiatric day treatment program. Bob also has diabetes. He was referred to treatment by an evaluating psychologist in October of 2001 to address acute symptoms of anxiety and depression triggered by the World Trade Center disaster the month before.

Bob’s parents reported that his current episode of severe anxiety and depression began a few days after 9/11. Bob was at home on Long Island, about 10 miles outside of New York City, when his mother phoned him and told him about the attack. He then watched the news coverage on TV throughout most of the day. He began questioning his family members repeatedly about the event, how it could have happened, and if it would happen again. He reported that he could not sleep soundly and would lie in bed thinking about it over and over again. When he questioned family members about it, their answers would temporarily alleviate his anxiety, but then he would feel compelled to start questioning them again shortly thereafter. These episodes happened 10–20 times a day and were straining his relationships with his family members. His parents also reported frequent angry outbursts, which included verbal aggression toward them.

Bob has a long history of learning, social, and emotional problems. In elementary and middle school he received special education services because of learning disabilities. He had problems making friends in all grades and had been to see mental health professionals on and off. His parents could not recall any diagnosis, but he was said to exhibit “behavior problems” and social difficulties. They reported that he became extremely upset whenever there were unexpected
changes in routine, appeared “bothered” by wearing certain types of clothing (e.g.,
would “act itchy”), had some facial tics, and appeared indifferent to his peers. In
high school he no longer received special services, but his social adjustment con-
tinued to be poor. He went away to college but believes his parents forced him to
do so. Socially he improved slightly while at college, and he was able to make
some friends on campus. Although he kept in touch with them after graduation,
he described them as “mentally impaired.” When he was 21 he was diagnosed
with diabetes, which was a shock to him and his parents. When he was 26, he
experienced a severe decompensation marked by symptoms of anxiety (obsessions
and compulsive behavior) and depression, triggered by a major social disappoint-
ment (a former high school female peer rejected him). A psychiatrist at the time
diagnosed him with major depressive disorder and obsessive–compulsive disor-
der. He has been treated by a psychiatrist since that time.

Bob and his parents came to the intake together. During the interview he
made no eye contact and displayed psychomotor retardation, sitting in a slumped
posture and looking steadily toward the floor. However, he appeared to attend to
the interview, in that he responded to each question and interchange—albeit in a
very negative and defensive fashion, with a constant scowl on his face and expres-
sions of anger and hostility toward the therapist and his parents throughout the
session.

Bob was manifesting many acute mood and anxiety symptoms at the time of
intake, leading to differential diagnosis challenges when considering the presence of
AS. Some of the clues that were present in the above description, however, include his
historical “failure to form peer relationships” and his rigid “adherence to routines.”
The “failure to use eye-to-eye gaze” (p. 84) demonstrated during the intake could easily
have been attributed to his depression, but his parents reported that he had had poor
eye contact during social interactions ever since he was a very young child.

Bob’s case illustrates a very common phenomenon seen in adults with AS: The
inability to cope with stress and change contributes to the development of comorbid
mental illness. Like many patients with AS, Bob’s symptoms met criteria for a comorbid
anxiety disorder (obsessive–compulsive disorder) and mood disorder (major depres-
sive disorder). Because his case is the most complex of the examples given, we will
revisit it the most throughout the book. His detailed case formulation and individu-
ialized treatment plan are presented in Chapter 4.

These seven cases constitute a heterogeneous sample of adult patients in terms of
age, gender, level of intellectual functioning, level of independence, academic achieve-
ment, and severity of symptoms. Their presenting problems varied, as they came into
therapy asking for help with AS specifically and/or because of some other mental
health issue, such as depression, anxiety, or anger. Certain features tie them together:
They all have of some type of impairment in social functioning that was evident early
in life, and they all demonstrate behavioral eccentricities that would be considered, in
DSM terminology, “restricted repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behavior, interests
or activities” (p. 84). For all of them, their symptoms have the consequences of isolation
and poor social support systems, a sense of failure in attaining interpersonal or occupational
goals, chronic stress in daily living, and a lack of coping abilities resulting in maladaptive
responses to stress.
As these cases illustrate, the symptom picture is complex for adults with AS. Their problems can be difficult to conceptualize and accurately diagnose because they are longstanding and driven by multiple causes. The rest of this chapter attempts to provide a clearer understanding of the origins of complaints and behaviors typically seen in adult patients at intake. To achieve greater clarity, it is not only important to understand what AS is, but also to understand what it isn’t. The next two sections address the latter point by discussing areas of possible confusion and misconception that involve differential diagnosis and common myths. A general conceptualization of the problems faced by adults with AS when they seek psychotherapy follows, including core problems and comorbid conditions.

**Differential Diagnostic Issues**

The symptoms of adult AS manifest in a wide variety of ways, as illustrated, so there may be a lack of clarity in mental health practitioners about how to diagnose the syndrome, particularly in adults. At times symptoms mimic other disorders, including anxiety and mood disorders; at other times, adults with AS experience true symptoms of comorbid disorders while also meeting full criteria for AS. First I focus on differentiating AS from other conditions, specifically autistic disorder, psychotic disorders, ADHD, anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and personality disorders.

**Autistic Disorder**

Table 1.2 juxtaposes an abbreviated summary of DSM-IV-TR criteria for autistic disorder with a summary of the criteria for AS. The first and second symptom categories for AS are positioned directly across from the symptom categories of autistic disorder that are identical, at least according to the DSM. The major difference between the disorders is that AS is not thought to involve any impairment in communication. Also, the developmental delays in language and cognitive or adaptive ability that are present in autistic disorder are rule-outs for AS.

As mentioned earlier, there is still much debate about the validity of the DSM system. For example, there is a controversy about whether AS is simply “high-IQ autism,” or if it really is qualitatively different from autism. Ozonoff and Griffith (2000) provide a comprehensive review of the evidence on both sides of this argument, which is outside the scope of this book. However, from a practical (and anecdotal) point of view, I have found more similarities than differences when comparing adults who meet criteria for AS with those who meet criteria for autistic disorder with independence potential (HFA). Furthermore, there is great variability of the symptom profiles within each category of patients. Being able to recognize the presence of an ASD, regardless of whether it is AS or HFA, is crucial during the assessment process, but it is equally important to conceptualize the presenting problems on a case-by-case basis. This book emphasizes an individualized case conceptualization approach, in which the unique causal factors behind each of the patient’s difficulties are delineated. If the therapist adopts this approach in an adult psychotherapy setting, it makes very little practical difference to him or her if the patient has AS or HFA.
Some of the symptoms and associated features of AS can be erroneously confused with psychosis. Sometimes adults with AS may show an intense preoccupation with a particular area of interest and build an elaborate internal life around it (e.g., a specific video game series, comic book, or anime character). They may have problems organizing themselves and their environment (executive function deficits). Difficulties with stereotyped motor mannerisms and rigid adherence to routines or ideas may look like

### Psychotic Disorders

Some of the symptoms and associated features of AS can be erroneously confused with psychosis. Sometimes adults with AS may show an intense preoccupation with a particular area of interest and build an elaborate internal life around it (e.g., a specific video game series, comic book, or anime character). They may have problems organizing themselves and their environment (executive function deficits). Difficulties with stereotyped motor mannerisms and rigid adherence to routines or ideas may look like...
positive symptoms of schizophrenia. They may have a suspicious and untrusting attitude toward people that can be mistaken as paranoia but which has actually emerged in response to lifelong histories of being bullied, ridiculed, and rejected by others, as well as their processing deficits, which cause social misperceptions. Likewise, there is a lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, lack of social reciprocity, and flat or inappropriate affect, all mimicking negative symptoms. In addition, when facing extreme stress, individuals with AS may show a marked deterioration in functioning that is not clearly linked to an episode of another Axis I disorder. Professionals working with individuals with autism spectrum disorders have nicknamed these incidents as “meltdowns,” and they are often extreme anxiety reactions to “sensory overload.” Dramatic, bizarre, and destructive behaviors may emerge during these episodes, such as sudden withdrawal (“shut down”), incoherent speech, screaming, destroying property or self-injury (banging head on wall, punching, scratching, or cutting self). However, these signs of distress tend to disappear once the stressful factors are removed or resolved, and the individual can quickly return to his or her previous level of functioning. This “bounce back” effect is not usually observed in persons experiencing a true psychotic episode. Other guidelines for differentiating among disorders are offered by DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) and Ghaziuddin (2005). Age of onset for AS is early childhood, but usually late adolescence or later for schizophrenia. Hallucinations and delusions are absent in AS. However, a careful interview is needed to differentiate delusions from the overvalued ideas and rich fantasy life that can be seen in AS, and also from the literal ways these patients interpret the interviewers’ questions (Chapter 3 covers this area in more detail).

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder

Problems with attention and motor control are commonly associated features of AS. Some studies have shown high rates of overlap in the symptom pictures of ASDs and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). In a sample of children with ADHD Gillberg and Gillberg (1989) found that 21% met criteria for AS and another 36% had some “autistic traits.” Conversely, in a series of 35 clinic patients who met criteria for AS, 28% also met criteria for ADHD (Ghaziuddin, Weidmer-Mikhail, & Ghaziuddin, 1998). Many adults with AS with whom I have worked had previously been diagnosed with ADHD before it was determined that they instead had a PDD.

DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) specifies that a diagnosis of ADD or ADHD should not be made if the symptoms are better accounted for by a PDD. Clinicians who follow this guideline do not list ADHD as a comorbid condition with AS. However, Ghaziuddin (2005) expresses his concern that excluding ADHD from the profile of a person with AS who has attention or motor control problems may lead the clinician to neglect the patient’s need for efficacious therapies for those issues, be they pharmacological or behavioral interventions. When using the individualized case conceptualization approach outlined in this book, it is important to focus on symptoms of inattention or impulsivity if they are present, and to ensure that the best empirically supported therapies are included in the treatment plan for these types of problems.
Anxiety Disorders

Every adult patient with AS I have met has struggled with anxiety in one form or another. The high rate of anxiety disorders comorbid with AS makes differential diagnosis a complex task (Tsai, 2006). However, some crude guidelines can be followed for at least the two disorders that appear to overlap with AS in the most obvious ways: obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) and social phobia.

AS symptoms under “restricted, repetitive, and stereotyped patterns of behavior” (p. 84) can, at least superficially, look like symptoms of OCD. The intense focus on an interest area can take on an obsessional quality, for instance. The overreliance on nonfunctional routines and rituals as well as repetitive motor mannerisms can appear to be compulsions. One Swedish study found that 20% of the patients seeking treatment for OCD showed traits of AS (Bejerat, Nylander, & Lindstrom, 2001); however, there is very little data on the etiology of the overlapping behaviors seen in AS versus OCD. In one case-controlled study, the investigators administered the Yale–Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale to clinical samples of 50 adults on the autistic spectrum and 50 age- and sex-matched adults with OCD (McDougle et al., 1995). Although repetitive thoughts and behaviors were reported by all patients, qualitative differences in the content of obsessive thoughts and types of behaviors were reported between the two groups. For example, patients with OCD reported more thoughts with aggressive, contamination, sexual, religious, symmetry, and somatic content than patients with autism. However patients with autism reported more compulsions around repetitive ordering, hoarding, telling/asking, touching, tapping, rubbing, self-damaging/self-mutilation than patients with OCD, who reported more cleaning, checking, and counting compulsions. This comparison sheds little light on differential diagnosis, however, because the different forms of symptoms do not necessarily prove different etiology. Ghaziuddin (2005) suggests that in patients with OCD, the obsessions and compulsions are “egodystonic”—that is, perceived as intrusive and unwanted by the sufferer. In ASDs the ritualistic behavior does not seem to cause distress and the preoccupation with a narrow interest can actually be a source of pleasure for these individuals.

With little data to guide practitioners, a reliance on clinical judgment is necessary. If obsessional interests and ritualistic behavior are present along with the social deficits described for AS, and the symptoms have been present since early childhood, then they are likely to be part of that syndrome. The topic of comorbidity is addressed in later sections, but for the purposes of this discussion, I suggest that an additional diagnosis of OCD can be made when the preoccupations and ritualistic behavior increase, in a marked departure from the individual’s baseline level of functioning, and are causing marked distress. Bob’s case illustrates this phenomenon.

Social phobia is the other disorder that can be hard to differentiate from AS. Avoidance of social situations is not necessarily seen in all cases of AS. Some adults with AS are quite gregarious and seek to engage people on a regular basis, because they enjoy the company of others and seem oblivious to the consequences of their social mistakes. However, for those who do engage in avoidance behavior, their clinical presentation can mimic social phobia. There have been no systematic studies of the incidence or phenomenology of social phobia in the autism spectrum population.

The adults I have treated with AS rarely meet criteria for social phobia. The primary reason is that criterion C for social phobia in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric
Association, 2000) states that “the person recognizes that the fear is excessive or unreasonable” (p. 456). For adults with AS, some measure of fear about social encounters is arguably reasonable. Any adult who meets criteria for AS, by definition, lacks the skills necessary to have successful social interactions. DSM-IV-TR notes in criterion A that for “children, there must be evidence of the capacity for age-appropriate social relationship with familiar people” (p. 456) in order for a diagnosis of social phobia to be considered. In other words, if the child lacks social skills, then he or she should not be considered phobic if he or she is fearful or avoidant. It is my opinion that this requirement should also be applied to adults. It is not excessive or unreasonable for someone to fear social situations if the individual is not skilled enough to handle them. Most of the adults who present for therapy with this problem are painfully aware of their lack of skill and have learned avoidance as an adaptive strategy. These individuals are more likely to be depressed (discussed in later sections of the book), but less likely than their gregarious counterparts to offend people or have repeated conflicts and unpleasant encounters because of social mistakes. A social phobia diagnosis can be made along with AS only when the anxiety is out of proportion to the skill deficit; for example, in cases where the social skill deficits are mild and the anxiety is affecting the individual’s ability to perform the skills he or she does possess.

Mood Disorders

As with anxiety disorders, there is a high incidence of mood disorders comorbid with AS. Hence this topic is addressed frequently throughout this book. It is difficult to ascertain whether some features seen in patients where AS is suspected are part of the autism spectrum disorder, part of a mood disorder, or both. At times the presence of a known developmental disability can obscure a clinician’s view, and a mood disorder can be missed. This phenomenon has been called “diagnostic overshadowing” (Reiss & Szyszko, 1983, p. 396) in the literature on mental retardation. With higher-functioning adults, where an autism spectrum disorder is less obvious, the overshadowing can happen in the opposite way: A person who presents for treatment of a depressive episode may have an underlying PDD that is unmasked only after the depression is successfully treated (Ghaziuddin, 2005). The typical overlapping features are discussed here with general guidelines for differentiating the source of the problem.

The impairments in social interaction that are hallmark features of AS can make an individual appear aloof or socially withdrawn. Paired with the tendency of some individuals with AS to demonstrate flat affect, these patients can appear similar to the way a person experiencing a major depressive episode may exhibit loss of interest in pleasurable activities. The preoccupation or intense focus on one interest area often presents as talking incessantly about a topic, and the individual has difficulty conversing about anything else. This symptom can be mistaken as the pressured speech seen during a hypomanic or manic episode of a bipolar mood disorder. Difficulty in regulating emotion, which is an associated feature of AS, can manifest as irritability, explosive outbursts, or lability—and all can be symptoms of depressive or bipolar mood disorders. Finally, it has been documented that people with autism spectrum disorders are vulnerable to disordered sleep (Richdale & Prior, 1995; Tani et al., 2004), which can also be a symptom of either a depressive or manic episode of a mood disorder. There has not yet been a study that demonstrates whether disordered sleep in adults with ASD exists independent of a
comorbid mood disorder; however, it is another feature that can make differential diagnosis challenging for the practitioner.

When considering mood disorders versus AS during the diagnostic process, the clinician must consider developmental history and course of symptom development—guidelines that were mentioned previously for psychotic and anxiety disorders. If the social withdrawal, intense interest in one topic, irritability/anger outbursts, or disordered sleep have been present since early childhood and have appeared as stable problems accompanying the social skill deficits described earlier, then they are likely to be connected with AS. However, if these problems present suddenly or involve a worsening of preexisting problems, they are more likely due to a mood disorder, with or without comorbid AS.

**Personality Disorders**

Adults with AS who have previously sought mental health treatment commonly have received a diagnosis of a personality disorder at some point in the past. I have most often encountered the diagnoses of *schizoid personality disorder*, *schizotypal personality disorder*, and *borderline personality disorder* (especially in females) in patient histories. For practical purposes one could argue that AS is a personality disorder, even though it is not classified as such in DSM-IV-TR. In fact, Wolff (1998) points out that Hans Asperger himself described the condition as a “lifelong, genetically based, personality disorder” (p. 127). Table 1.3 presents an abbreviated list of the general diagnostic criteria for personality disorder from DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Every patient I have treated with AS has met all four criteria. However, we must carefully consider the DSM statement that the “pattern is not better accounted for as a manifestation or consequence of another mental disorder” (p. 689). In fact, the criteria for both schizoid personality disorder and schizotypal personality disorder specify that a PDD takes precedence if the criteria are met for one.

The issue is complicated when assessing adults, however. For example, take a hypothetical “snapshot” of two 45-year-old men, one with schizotypal personality dis-

---

**TABLE 1.3. Summary of DSM-IV-TR General Diagnostic Criteria for Personality Disorder**

A personality disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that . . .

1. *Deviates significantly from the expectations of the individual’s culture* in terms of at least two of the following:
   - Cognition
   - Affectivity
   - Interpersonal functioning
   - Impulse control

2. *Is inflexible and pervasive across a broad range of personal and social situations.*

3. *Leads to distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other areas of functioning.*

4. *Is stable and with a long history that can be traced back to adolescence or early childhood.*
order and the other with AS. Their presenting problems and current patterns of behavior could be identical. Both will have odd beliefs and mannerisms, both will have few or no friendships, both will have social anxiety. So how can a clinician tell the difference? Unfortunately, there is little data to guide us on this issue. Sula Wolff (1998, 2000) offers the most comprehensive discussion of this differential diagnosis question for children. In her estimation, schizoid and schizotypal personality disorders are highly overlapping conditions in the samples of children she has studied and these problems “lie at one extreme end of the autistic spectrum, where it shades into normal personality variation” (Wolff, 1998, p. 138).

Chapter 3 presents more details on assessment. However, it should be mentioned here that I rely heavily on developmental histories when making a diagnosis. Even in older adults, gaining access to a family member who can give details about early childhood development is invaluable. I lean toward PDD as opposed to schizotypal or schizoid personality if there is strong evidence for very early (preschool age) problems with social development.

Common Myths

As a bridge between the differential diagnosis issues addressed above and the conceptualization of AS that is coming next, I make a few more points about what AS is not. As noted, the concept of AS is relatively new to the mental health community. The DSM-IV formulation (American Psychiatric Association, 1994) represented a radical change in the way ASDs were defined. The 13 years that have passed since then and the time of this writing is not long enough for such a dramatic shift to “catch on.” The diagnosis is still easy to miss in adults, for many reasons. In addition to the differential diagnosis dilemmas already discussed, obstacles are created by a number of myths that many people, including professionals, continue to believe. Most are based on the old definition of “classic” autism that practitioners may have learned about in their training, or to which the public was exposed in the movie Rain Man, for instance. Table 1.4 lists some of the misconceptions that I have heard expressed as recently as the year of this writing. Following each myth is a related quote, paraphrased from what practitioners have said to me when trying to rule out AS erroneously.

People with AS Are Always Aloof and Uninterested in Others

“He can’t have AS because he is very talkative and engaging.” By definition, people with AS struggle with the complex skills required to interface successfully with others. However, many have a normal desire to be with others, to belong to a social network.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1.4. Myths about AS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• People with AS are always aloof and uninterested in others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People with AS have no relationships.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People with AS do not make any eye contact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People with AS lack empathy for others.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• People with AS are intellectual geniuses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and to get along with others. Some are so determined to talk to people that they will do so incessantly, despite signs that they are making social mistakes.

**People with AS Have No Relationships**

“She can’t have AS; she is married.” Some people have the basic skills to make a small number of friends and maintain those relationships in adulthood, even to the point of being married or in long-term romantic partnerships. They may complain that they do not feel confident or satisfied with these relationships, a report that is consistent with the AS definition. However, a total absence of relationships is not necessary for the diagnosis of AS.

**People with AS Do Not Make Any Eye Contact**

“He can’t have AS. He made a lot of eye contact with me during the interview.” Some people with AS do exhibit complete avoidance of eye contact, especially when first meeting someone. Others cannot maintain eye contact and listen at the same time and must look away in order to process what is being said. However, many individuals do make eye contact consistently, even if there are some unique features. Just as described in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), the impairment is in “the use of eye-to-eye gaze . . . to regulate social interaction” (p. 84). Some people may show sporadic glances, whereas others may make too much eye contact to the point of staring. It is important to remember that the failure to utilize eye contact appropriately does not mean that eye contact is missing completely. Also, it is only one of the symptoms in a category and does not have to be present at all for the diagnosis to be made.

**People with AS Lack Empathy for Others**

“She can’t have AS. She seems to really care about her ailing mother.” People with AS have difficulty with social reciprocity, as specified in the DSM criteria. This means they do not show “turn taking” in conversation and the spontaneous “give and take” of information and experiences that characterize socially satisfying interactions. They also may have difficulty with perspective taking—that is, imagining what another person might be thinking or feeling. In my clinical cases, I have found that these problems are often rooted in an impaired ability to show cognitive shifting. This issue is addressed in Chapter 2, where core deficits are described in more detail. What appears to be a lack of empathy may sometimes be a failure to “shift gears” at the rapid pace required by a social situation. With a history that is void of opportunities to practice reciprocal relating, a self-absorbed style may develop. Anecdotally speaking, when given the appropriate information and enough time to process it, patients with AS can show as much empathy and concern for another person as the rest of the population. (Recent data supporting this clinical observation are presented in Chapters 2 and 6.)

**People with AS Are Intellectual Geniuses**

“He can’t have AS. He does not have any kind of special talent or ‘savant’ qualities.” It is true that people with AS have scattered profiles of skills and deficits. There is great
variability within each individual in terms of abilities versus disabilities. For example, a person with AS may have superior mathematical abilities but extremely poor visual–motor coordination. However, there is also great variability across AS patients. Some indeed have an area of superior ability that far exceeds the average person or their own ability in other areas. Others do not have such an exceptional talent, and it is not necessary for this to be present in order to make the diagnosis.

**STRENGTHS AND ASSETS**

Ironically, the characteristics that can put adults with AS at odds with others or at risk for problems are the very same characteristics that contribute to their talents and abilities. When therapy goals are being set, it is important not only to identify the problems that are targeted for reduction, but also to highlight the assets and coping strategies that the adult patient has already developed before coming into treatment. Although this chapter has highlighted the vulnerabilities of these individuals, I have found that they are also incredibly resourceful and clever in designing strategies, often without any help, to negotiate their way through a world that is, to them, very confusing and threatening. The individualized treatment plan should always include interventions geared toward helping the patient recognize the things he or she has already done to successfully adapt and to build upon those self-taught skills. Some of the common strengths are listed below. These are also the reasons I so thoroughly enjoy working with this population.

**Creativity and “Unconventional” View of the World**

Because these individuals have idiosyncratic perspectives, they often think of ideas that others would not. This resource can be useful in therapy during treatment planning problem solving.

**Honesty**

Due to a lack of understanding about “social boundaries,” some individuals with AS will not censor their thoughts when speaking. Although this absence of self-monitoring can get them into trouble socially, it can be useful in sessions because the therapist can often assess thoughts more readily than with patients who do not have AS.

**Sense of Humor**

People with AS often complain that they cannot understand humor and struggle to “get” what other people are laughing about. On the other hand, they will use humor effectively when they do “get it” and can be quite clever. Along with social skill building, these patients benefit from learning to utilize their humor to enhance their interactions with others.

Take Laura, for example, a woman who did not learn of her AS diagnosis until she was in her mid-30s, but had always been aware of her difficulty understanding the
nuances of social interactions. Even though she did not know why she was confused by the behavior of other people, sometimes she used cartoon-drawing as a way of expressing the humor she saw in her struggle. Figure 1.1 is a cartoon she had drawn approximately 10 years before her diagnosis, after she learned what the term *brainstorming* meant. The cartoon depicts a typical meeting she would have to attend while working as a clerk in a large bureaucratic institution, a job that had been quite stressful for her. In it, she is making fun of her own overly literal interpretation of brainstorming by drawing actual brains whirling around the room, and her own need to protect herself from the apparent chaos, as suggested by the woman with the kerchief on her head who is trying to “keep her brain in her head.” Not only was it helpful for her to laugh about this issue, but she was also creating comedic common ground between herself and typical people. By illustrating how nonsensical some meetings can be, she connects with all of us who have been in similar situations at our jobs.

**Responsiveness to Structure**

Because the world can be confusing to individuals with AS, they tend to adhere, sometimes rigidly, to any set of rules that does make sense to them. They rely on structured and predictable routines and are drawn to using logic to solve problems. For these reasons, many of these individuals find it easy to understand the cognitive model and the rationale behind the use of CBT.

**Willingness to Observe and Evaluate Self**

I admittedly work with a self-selected and motivated population of patients. With that, by the time the typical adult with AS seeks outpatient treatment, he or she is acutely
aware of “doing something wrong” in social situations. The patient is usually very open to feedback and willing to engage in self-observation exercises. Although some patients are not so compliant (discussed further in Chapter 9), on average these individuals make excellent candidates for CBT.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this chapter the reader was introduced to AS and what it looks like in adult psychotherapy cases. The symptom complexity and areas of potential confusion were clarified through discussions of differential diagnosis and common myths. Strengths and assets were also highlighted, because therapists will find these characteristics crucial during the assessment and treatment planning phases of therapy. The next chapter presents the research evidence for core problems, a description of how they serve as risk factors for secondary emotional disorders, and a rationale for using CBT with these patients.
TWO

Conceptualization of Mental Health Problems in Adult Asperger Syndrome

There is a growing body of evidence indicating that individuals with AS, despite normal to superior intelligence, show cognitive dysfunction in several specific areas. In this chapter I present those data and propose a general conceptual model that links basic research on core cognitive dysfunction to the clinical presentation of adults with AS who seek treatment. The model is used to hypothesize how core information-processing differences are responsible for the struggles faced by individuals with AS in their daily lives. These struggles, in turn, put them at risk for the development of a variety of mental health problems, and, indeed, patients with AS often meet criteria for comorbid DSM-defined disorders. Finally, the cognitive dysfunction of AS is considered within Beck’s (1976) cognitive theory of emotional disturbance in typical people and serves as a rationale for using CBT to treat adults with AS.

I recommend that therapists use an evidence-based formulation-driven model to understand and treat adult cases of AS. As described by Haynes, Kaholokula, and Nelson (1999) and Persons, Davidson, and Tompkins (2000), clinicians need to refer to a general or nomothetic evidence base regarding the presenting problem, (e.g., research literature on depression) and translate that information into an individualized or idiographic set of hypotheses that encompasses the unique factors affecting a particular patient. The general conceptual model presented in this chapter serves as a nomothetic model for understanding the presenting problems of adult cases of AS, using the literature on cognitive dysfunction as the evidence base. Clinicians can use this foundation to generate an idiographic formulation of a specific case by assessing the unique way cognitive dysfunction and environmental factors interact in the life of an individual patient; strategies for conducting this type of assessment are then described in Chapters 3 and 4.

GENERAL CONCEPTUAL MODEL

I assume that AS is a neurologically based set of information-processing problems. Affected individuals have unique ways of processing information from all sources, and
these unique sources influence the way they learn about their world. This view is consistent with the theory of Klin and his colleagues (Klin, Jones, Schultz, Volkmar, & Cohen, 2002a), who propose that, although AS is a complex syndrome including an array of communication, learning, and behavioral symptoms, all of the problems can be traced to a “core social disorder” (p. 895). These authors contend that individuals with AS have dysfunctional “enactive mind[s]” (Klin, Jones, Shultz, & Volkmar, 2005, p. 686) that produce a continuous interaction between idiosyncratic attention to social cues, erroneous interpretation of social information, and maladaptive behavioral responses—all which affect childhood development as well as current adult functioning. I find this process-oriented model useful when conceptualizing an individual adult psychotherapy case, as I consider the role of the core factors, or dysfunctional “enactive mind,” in the development and maintenance of the presenting problems for which the patient is seeking help. Each patient presents very differently, and no two have the same profile of these deficits. Nevertheless, practitioners need to be familiar with all of these possible areas of dysfunction in order to formulate a comprehensive conceptualization and treatment plan.

Figure 2.1 illustrates my conceptualization of the problems commonly reported by adults with AS in clinical settings. It is by no means a solid theory, but is based on evidence about cognitive dysfunction in AS. The model is meant to provide a framework for the upcoming research review and a working understanding of the variables that may influence a person with AS to seek psychotherapy. I hope that this model can guide clinicians to consider a wide range of factors during the individualized assessment and treatment planning process.

There is empirical support establishing that people with AS process information in an idiosyncratic fashion. The types of information that people with AS process erroneously can be classified into three major categories, and these appear at the top of Figure 2.1: information about others, information about self, and nonsocial information. These concepts are briefly introduced here, and supporting research is reviewed in the next section. Processing of information about others, or social cognition, is dysfunctional in people with AS in that they demonstrate impairments in the ability to (1) formulate ideas about what other people are thinking or feeling (“theory of mind”), (2) use nonverbal cues to understand social interactions, and (3) make adaptive use of social language (“pragmatics”). Processing of information about themselves is dysfunctional in terms of the internal feedback loops involved in self-perception and self-regulation. People with AS appear to have difficulty perceiving and regulating their own emotional experiences and have atypical sensation and motor experiences (hyper- or hyporeactivity to stimulation of any of the sensory systems). Processing of nonsocial information is dysfunctional in individuals with AS who have problems managing input that is not necessarily related to other people. These problems include deficits in planning, organization, goal setting, and cognitive flexibility (“executive functions”) as well as difficulty processing incoming pieces of information within a context (“gist” or “central coherence” or “seeing the big picture”).

Dividing these information-processing issues into three separate categories is admittedly arbitrary, in that these phenomena probably occur in a dimensional way and interact with each other in a multidirectional fashion. Figure 2.2 represents the overlap that exists between the categories. Although researchers are still years away from establishing these connections or evidence for the direction of causality, a clinician
FIGURE 2.1. Core problems in AS and pathways to mental health problems.
can find these concepts helpful in understanding the history and development of an individual client’s presenting problems.

Going back to Figure 2.1, the diagram illustrates how the core problems combine and lead to difficulties when these individuals interact with their environments. The social skill deficits noted in the mid-left box are well documented in patients with AS and are hypothesized to be the behavioral outcome of a combination of erroneous social inferences, self-perception problems, and a lack of typical social learning during critical periods of development. Because these individuals misperceive many social situations, they do not know how to respond to others or what others expect from them. Their odd mannerisms, poor language pragmatics, and “rude” behavior lead others to become frustrated or angry with them, resulting in negative social consequences. They experience being ignored, rejected, and ridiculed, without knowing why. The people in their lives who are more compassionate may try to tell them that their behavior is “inappropriate,” but the people giving the feedback may not always understand what is different about the behavior or explain why it is different.

Difficulties in nonsocial domains appear as problems in self-management and activities of daily living, represented by the box on the mid-right side of the diagram. These difficulties are the behavioral outcomes of combined problems with executive function and self-perception. They appear as inefficient task management, procrastination, poor self-direction, and poor basic problem solving. It is common, but often surprising, to see a huge discrepancy between IQ and formal measures of adaptive behavior, such as the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (e.g., Green, Gilchrist, Burton, & Cox, 2000). The folk stereotype of “the absent-minded professor” is fitting in that the person may be brilliant but can barely take care of the tasks necessary to independent living. This
leads to the daily living consequences of frequent hassles as well as a preponderance of stressful events.

The model ends with the hypothesized outcomes of the repeated failures in the domains described above. The negative consequences of dysfunctional information processing and the resultant maladaptive behavior lead to the emotional distress, as reported by adult patients seeking psychotherapy; the social consequences of AS lead to poor social support, and the daily living consequences of AS lead to chronic stress. Because poor social support and chronic stress are known risk factors for mental illness in the typical population (e.g., Cohen & Wills, 1985; Sarason & Sarason, 1985), they are hypothesized to increase the vulnerability in adults with AS to develop comorbid conditions. There have been no systematic studies on the prevalence of comorbid disorders within the adult AS population, but studies have shown higher rates of anxiety and depression in children with AS (e.g., Bolton, Pickles, Murphy, & Rutter, 1998; Kim, Szatmari, Bryson, Streiner, & Wilson, 2000; Piven & Palmer, 1999). If we imagine the chronic stress to which people with AS are subject, it is easy to assume that they would be at risk for many of the anxiety or mood disorders listed in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). In addition, if we conceptualize AS as a neurodevelopmental disorder that causes dysfunctional learning experiences in the interpersonal domain, we could hypothesize a greater risk for personality disorders. These examples and others are discussed in more detail in Chapter 3, when assessment strategies and specific comorbid disorders are described.

**CORE COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION IN ASPERGER SYNDROME**

This section presents the research findings that demonstrate the core cognitive dysfunction in AS that was briefly introduced within the general conceptual model. Evidence that people with AS have difficulty processing information about others, themselves, and nonsocial domains is highlighted.

**Dysfunctional Processing of Information about Others: Social Cognition**

Perhaps the largest body of research regarding cognitive dysfunction in AS is in the area of social cognition. As mentioned in Chapter 1, social cognition is the study of how people process social information. This field is not rooted in clinical psychology because historically the interest has focused on documenting how normal, typical people understand others and themselves in the context of their social world. Research on attribution processes, social schema development, attention to social stimuli, person memory, and social inference are just some of the areas of basic social psychology that have cross-fertilized experimental cognitive science (Fiske & Taylor, 1984) to produce this literature over the past 50 years. Whereas social-cognitive science has provided information about how typical people generally process social information, clinical researchers have used those findings to begin investigating the role that dysfunctional social cognition may play in psychopathology. The following sections outline the research on social cognition and AS; the sub-areas reviewed are social inference and social language.
Social Inference

Fiske and Taylor (1984) define social inference as a process by which typical people in social situations (1) decide what information to gather; (2) collect that information; (3) combine it in some form (interpret); and (4) make a judgment (about how to behave). The product is the outcome of the judgment made at the end of the process and leads to the action taken by the individual. In practical terms, when a person enters a social situation (any moment he or she must interface with one or more other human beings), that person must go through several cognitive steps in order to decide what to do or how to act. The decision is an inference because the person must “guess” what is going on to some extent; most situations a person encounters are not identical to any previous one in every regard, and in most situations a person is not provided with any explicit instructions on what to think and do. However, most people guess correctly most of the time because they are using educated guesses. Their decisions are based on information they gather and analyze very quickly, while referring to their preexisting fund of knowledge about social rules and norms.

Using a simple example, a man on a business trip enters a crowded deli in an American city he has never before visited. Even though he is solely engaging in the task of grabbing a quick sandwich, he must go through a social inference process in order to successfully get his lunch. Using the steps outlined above, upon entering the store:

1. He must decide what information to gather (“I must find out where the line starts”).
2. He must collect the right information, which requires him to know where to look (“I will look at the other customers and see where they are standing and which way they are facing”). To carry out this step, he must have the capacity to recognize other customers, differentiate them from employees, and see them as a group.
3. He must interpret the information. He has to assume the right meaning in what he observes. If he sees several customers standing one behind the other, all facing the same way, he must be able to recognize and label that group as “the line.”
4. He must make a judgment about what he should do based on how he interpreted the information (“I think I should go and stand behind the last person in the line”). This judgment is based on the information at hand as well as his prior fund of knowledge about the social norms of his culture.
5. The product is the behavioral outcome of the judgment made at the end of the process. In this case the outcome is seen when he acts on his judgment and stands in the correct place in the line.

Every step the man took in this example was based on his own information gathering and interpretation. Although he probably relied on some environmental cues (e.g., position of the deli counter, location of the cash register), it was necessary for him to be able to “read” the people in the store, as well, in order to have a successful outcome. He had never been in that deli before, yet he could make accurate guesses about the other people there, what they expected of him, and how he should behave. He made these accurate guesses without ever having met them and without speaking to anyone before deciding what he should do. It is also likely that he went through this process in a matter of seconds and without very much conscious thought.
People must make several hundred of these judgments every day. This example was a very simple challenge because the man only needed to focus on body language. Most scenarios are far more complex and involve additional sources of social information, such as the facial expression, voice tone, and social language used by other people. There is evidence that people with AS may have impairments in their ability to perform any or all of the steps of this social inference process. Theoretically, these deficits may be the reason for the maladaptive outcomes that are observed clinically in the social domain (Winner, 2000, 2002), often called “social skill deficits” by clinicians and educators.

Let us consider a man with AS in the deli scenario. This time, we will start at Step 5, the behavioral outcome. The man with AS enters the deli, proceeds to stand in front of the first person in the line and begins to order his sandwich. When the employee ignores him because she is serving another customer, the man repeats his request in a louder voice. This behavior would be called, according to the social norms of his culture, “cutting the line” and would be considered rude. It would also be met by any number of unpleasant and hostile comments from other customers. By working through the steps of social inference, there are several points at which this man could have made an error, each resulting in the same unfortunate ending.

1. He may have made the wrong decision about what information to gather. He might have decided to look only for the location of the deli counter and not at the other customers.
2. He may have known what information to gather but did not know how to collect it. He may have looked for other customers but did not know to look at their body language (standing position).
3. He may have gotten the first two steps right but then did not interpret the information correctly. In other words, he may have seen the way they were standing but did not cluster them together as a group and/or did not recognize them as a “line.”
4. He may have looked for, collected, and interpreted the information correctly, but made a poor judgment about what he should do. That is, he may have located the customer line and identified it as such, but he may not know the social rule about waiting at the back of a line, or, more realistically, may not understand its importance; he may know the rule but think it does not apply to him if he is in a hurry, for instance.

When clinicians are working with adult patients with AS, a common presenting problem is “lack of social skills.” If social skill development becomes a therapy goal, it is important to consider that any number of these social inference errors may be present (Winner, 2000, 2002). The research supporting this hypothesis is outlined below. Only studies that use adolescent or adult subjects are included in the discussion, because they are most relevant to the clinical population that is the focus of this book.

SOCIAL PERCEPTION AND USE OF CUES

The first two steps of social inference, as defined above (deciding what social information to gather and collecting it) have been shown to be dysfunctional in persons with AS. Klin, Jones, Schultz, Volkmar, and Cohen (2002a, 2002b), through a series of seminal
eye-tracking studies, asked how people with HFA gather visual-social information. They designed a methodology that allowed them to observe the process by which subjects visually scan a naturalistic, dynamic social scenario. In one study (Klin et al., 2002b), they recruited 15 male adolescents with HFA (whom they described as “cognitively able males with autism”) and 15 matched controls. The social competence and severity of autism symptoms were measured. Using an eye-tracking device, the researchers were able to record the precise movements of subjects’ eyes while they watched an emotionally charged set of movie scenes, depicting several adult characters in conversations that involved interpersonal conflict, tension, and strong negative emotions. The device then superimposed the actual pathways the eyes had followed directly onto the movie scene; the subjects had thereby left a set of digital “eye tracks” for the researchers to view. Tracking patterns were analyzed and significant group differences were observed in the time spent looking at four types of visual information in the movie scenes, on or around the main characters of the story: mouths, eyes, body, objects (e.g., objects hanging on the walls of the movie set). The subjects with autism spent significantly more time looking at mouths, bodies, and objects, but significantly less time looking at the eye region than the control subjects. Interestingly, within the autistic group, the amount of time spent looking at eyes did not correlate with social adjustment. However, time spent looking at mouths predicted better adjustment and time looking at objects predicted poorer adjustment.

There have been many previous accounts of eye gaze avoidance in this population, and, before this study, people tended to jump to the conclusion that individuals with AS miss the social meaning of exchanges simply because they do not look at peoples’ eyes. Based on this conclusion, the clinical solution would be to simply train them to make more eye contact. The data from Klin et al. (2002b), however, showed that that social perception is not that simple in older teens and adults. In their study, the more socially competent subjects with HFA did not necessarily look at the eyes during the movie any longer than the more poorly adjusted subjects. Of course, these data do not tell us how these subjects may be using eye contact in real-life situations as opposed to watching a movie, or what factors contribute to their relative social competence. They do, nevertheless, show evidence that these individuals are failing to look at the one cue source (other peoples’ eyes) on which typical people heavily rely during social exchanges.

MIND READING

The work of Baron-Cohen, Jolliffe, Mortimore, and Robertson (1997) suggests that, even if adults with AS are directed to look at other people’s eyes, they are not very skilled at reading them. In other words, they show problems with the third step of social inference, interpreting the information gathered from other people. The ability to formulate ideas about the mental states of others, or theory of mind, is a crucial part of the social inference process. It is easy to imagine that any person who comes to the wrong conclusion about another person’s thoughts, feelings, or intentions is apt to respond in a maladaptive way both emotionally and behaviorally. Simon Baron-Cohen and his colleagues first raised the question over 20 years ago about the usefulness of theory of mind (ToM) as a construct in explaining the social dysfunction of people with autism (Baron-Cohen, Leslie, & Frith, 1985). His group has investigated
this question through dozens of studies in the years since then, and has demonstrated repeatedly that people with ASDs have some difficulty with intersubjectivity, that is, with formulating a theory of mind about others, and this deficit has come to be termed “mindblindness” (e.g., Baron-Cohen, 1995).

In one study, while developing a test called “Reading the Mind in the Eyes,” Baron-Cohen et al. (1997) showed a series of photographs to adults diagnosed with either AS or HFA, as well as a sample of typical adults. These were photos of actors portraying different emotions and mental states. Some pictures showed the whole face, some the mouth region only, and some the eye region only. Subjects were asked to choose a mental state word from a pair of multiple choice items that was presented with each photo. Compared to typical control subjects, subjects with AS performed significantly more poorly when looking at all three types of pictures, but the effect was most pronounced when they looked at the eye region alone. It is as if they relied on aspects of the face other than the eyes to attribute mental states. Visual cues that may be found in people’s eyes seem to be relatively useless to the person with AS.

To find out if these deficits in the ability to infer mental states is specific to visual information, Rutherford, Baron-Cohen, and Wheelwright (2002) presented to a group of adults with AS and HFA, as well as typical controls, an expanded version of the “Reading the Mind in the Eyes” test that included auditory information. In one part of the test, subjects were asked to listen to actors’ voices portraying different emotions and mental states. The results showed that the subjects with AS and HFA had significant deficits compared to typical controls in their ability to accurately infer the mental states conveyed by the actors from the vocalizations. This finding suggests that even nonvisual emotional information is not utilized effectively by people with AS.

These research results lead to the question of whether these adults have a general deficit in recognizing and identifying faces or an impaired ability to read emotional information, regardless of its source. Hefter, Manoach, and Barton (2005) set out to answer this question by studying a group of 26 adults defined as having social developmental disorders (SDDs) of different types, including AS, HFA, or “socioemotional processing disorder” (normal language and cognitive development with neurological evidence of right-hemispheric dysfunction). Through a series of tasks, they separately tested subjects’ abilities to (1) identify faces, (2) recognize emotional expression from faces, and (3) recognize emotional expression from nonfacial cues (voice and body language). Diagnostic label did not predict ability on any particular test, and 10 of the 26 subjects performed within the normal range on face identification. The ability to recognize faces was not correlated with the ability to perceive facial expressions (emotions) or to perceive emotion in nonfacial cues. However, there was a correlation between the ability to read both facial and nonfacial emotional expressions. These findings support the idea that individuals with social developmental disorders, including AS and HFA, show a deficit in processing nonverbal emotional information that is not related to the ability to recognize or identify faces. Even when they are directed to attend to faces, they fail to utilize cues about the mental states of others, whether that information comes from faces, voices, or body language.

One of the questions that can be raised about this line of research is that the subjects demonstrate these deficits under contrived, static, or artificial circumstances in the laboratory. Although the evidence is convincing for the presence of these deficits in this
population, we still know very little about how these individuals perform in more naturalistic settings, with the exception of documented clinical observations.

In an effort to create a more naturalistic test of social cognition, Dziobek and colleagues (2006) designed the Movie for the Assessment of Social Cognition (MASC). The researchers hoped that the test would be useful in assessing these skills in subjects with AS or schizophrenia. The test requires subjects to watch a 15-minute movie about four adults who get together for a dinner party. The video is paused 46 times and the subjects are asked to answer questions about the characters’ feelings, thoughts, and intentions. The movie was based on the researchers’ operational definition of social cognition and simulated the way it plays out in real life.

Dziobek and her colleagues deliberately selected a group of highly intelligent and educated subjects with AS to test the validity of the MASC in discriminating between them and typical control subjects. This method was employed in response to previous studies that had shown that some tests of social cognition fail to capture deficits in subjects with AS and HFA who have high IQs (Happé, 1994; Ozonoff, Rogers, & Pennington, 1991). In the initial study, the AS group had an average Full Scale IQ of 122 and education level of 16.7 years, and the controls were matched on age, gender, IQ, and education level. As predicted, the AS subjects performed significantly more poorly than controls on the MASC test, and more poorly than their own performance on the other tests of social cognition. This finding supports the presence of an impairment in the ability to infer the mental states of others—an impairment that appears to be most obvious in a naturalistic (complex and unstructured) social situation.

If a person cannot read cues about what another person is thinking or feeling, then certainly he or she cannot engage in the higher-order social inference process that we call empathy. This is a complex cognitive-emotional activity that allows people to be successful in relating to others and enjoy intimacy; indeed, it is “the ‘glue’ of the social world, drawing us to help others and stopping us from hurting others” (Baron-Cohen & Wheelwright, 2004, p. 163). Because it likely involves multiple processes, empathy is very hard to define and measure. Current researchers define it as a two-component process: the cognitive or “intellectual” understanding of another person’s affective experience as well as an emotional response to that experience (Baron-Cohen & Wheelwright, 2004; Rogers, Dziobek, Hassenstab, Wolf, & Convit, 2006).

For example, a woman learned that her coworker, Jim, had lost his mother unexpectedly. The cognitive component of her empathy would be stated as, “I believe Jim is sad,” whereas the emotional component would be stated as, “I feel sad when I imagine what Jim is going through right now.” According to the contemporary definition put forth above, both components must be present for full empathy to be experienced. The cognitive component is necessary but not sufficient; the assessment must be accurate as a prerequisite for the emotional experience to be fitting, but it is not sufficient without the latter.

One of the myths about people with AS, as mentioned in Chapter 1, is that they lack empathy. I shared my anecdotal impression from clinical cases that they do indeed have the capacity for empathy, but may have some information-processing deficits that impede a full experience. Recent research on empathy and AS has supported this notion. Baron-Cohen and Wheelwright (2004) did a critical review of existing measures of empathy and found that none of them measured the construct according to the current definition. Some were not pure enough in that they also captured other concepts.
Other measures did not assess both the cognitive and emotional components of empathy. These authors designed a new measure, the “Empathy Quotient,” to overcome these flaws. It is a 60-item self-report device that asks subjects to rate (on a 4-point scale) the degree to which they agree or disagree with statements such as, “I can easily tell if someone else wants to enter a conversation” and “I get upset if I see people suffering on news programmes.” They administered this test to 90 adults with AS or HFA and 90 age- and sex-matched controls. As predicted, the subjects with AS scored significantly lower on the test than controls. However, as the authors pointed out, the test does not assess emotional empathy separate from cognitive empathy. They conducted clinical interviews with 50 of the clinical subjects after the test and asked them to generate possible reasons for their lower scores. The subjects described a difficulty with judging, explaining, anticipating, and interpreting other people’s behavior (cognitive processes), but that they have no desire to hurt others. In fact, they reported, if it is pointed out to them that their behavior has been hurtful in some way, they will usually express remorse and desire to avoid such hurtful actions in the future.

Although these are anecdotal descriptions, they are consistent with my clinical cases; these individuals appear to make cognitive errors—that is, they fail to glean important information from other people—which then impedes their ability to experience the emotional component of empathy. If given the proper information, however, they will experience the feeling and show a desire to correct any errors they have made that may have offended others. They are failing to show an emotional response not because they are incapable, but because they never get that far. For example, how can a person experience an emotional reaction to the sadness of a friend if he does not even know that the friend is sad?

In an effort to isolate the different empathic components in adults with AS, Rogers, Dziobek, Hassenstab, Wolf, and Convit (2007) sampled 21 adults with AS and 21 age-matched controls. They administered four tests of social cognition and one test of empathy, the Interpersonal Reactivity Index (IRI; Davis, 1980), which has four subscales representing subdomains of empathy. Two are assumed to measure the cognitive component of empathy: Perspective-Taking (tendency to spontaneously adopt the point of view of others) and Fantasy (tendency to identify with fictional characters). The other two are assumed to measure the emotional component of empathy: Empathic Concern (tendency to experience feelings of sympathy and compassion for unfortunate others) and Personal Distress (tendency to experience distress and discomfort in response to the extreme distress in others). Results showed significant group differences on the social cognition tests, with the subjects with AS performing more poorly on all of those tasks. They also received significantly lower scores on the cognitive empathy subscales. However, the pattern of results was quite different on the emotional empathy subscales. On the Empathic Concern subtest, they performed similarly to the typical controls; there were no group differences on these scores. On the Personal Distress subtest, they scored significantly higher than the typical controls, suggesting that they become more upset than typical people when faced with the extreme distress of others. The authors are careful to point out that the Personal Distress subtest also taps into anxiety, and although the high scores could be a demonstration of empathy, they may also reflect the overall levels of generalized anxiety reported in the AS population. Either way, the finding is inconsistent with the popular belief that people with AS are uncaring.
Social Language

This topic was included as a subsection of social cognition because language plays such an integral role in social understanding. When a clinician works with a patient, it is almost impossible to find the dividing line between dysfunctional cognition and dysfunctional understanding and use of social language. As mentioned in Chapter 1, people with AS tend to score within the normal range on standardized language tests (Landa, 2000), which means they do not have problems in the formal use of language, such as word or sentence production. On IQ tests, they often exhibit relative strengths on verbal subtests. However, as described by Landa (2000) and Twachtman-Cullen (1998), they have great difficulty understanding and using language in the flexible way necessary to meet social demands. These skills are referred to as the pragmatics of communication by speech–language pathologists. The social-cognition deficits outlined in the previous section are thought to play a major role in these language issues (Landa, 2000; Twachtman-Cullen, 1998; Winner, 2000, 2002), and vice versa.

Interventions that are designed to directly target social language use are best implemented by speech–language pathologists, not by psychotherapists. Nevertheless, it is important for psychotherapists to be familiar with these deficits for several reasons. One is that psychotherapy is a highly verbal activity. Because patients with AS have a unique way of using language, a therapist must learn each patient’s idiosyncrasies in this domain in order to effectively communicate with him or her. Individuals with AS often appear superficially to have great command of the language, but their formal verbal strengths tend to mask the deficits outlined here, which are subtler and may "fly under the radar." Another reason a therapist must be familiar with these factors is that they often play a contributing role in the presenting mental health problems for which the patient is seeking treatment. At times a referral to a speech–language pathologist is necessary once the assessment and initial case conceptualization are complete so that speech therapy can be integrated into the overall treatment plan.

The reader is referred to Dianne Twachtman-Cullen (1998) and Rebecca Landa (2000) for reviews of the deficits in language pragmatics found in AS, and to Winner (2000, 2002) for the role social cognition plays in these problems. These speech–language pathologists have done an excellent job in describing these complex issues in a way that is easy for non-speech–language pathologists to understand. Some of these descriptions are briefly outlined below.

Twachtman-Cullen (1998) defines the “the communication system” on which human beings rely and suggests that there are three components. These distinct processes, which professionals often confuse, are:

- **Speech**—the neuromuscular motor behavior that purveys verbal utterances; the mechanical transmission of the sounds.
- **Language**—the code that is agreed upon by a group of people, which specifies how concepts are represented by symbols (words) and includes rules for form (syntax/grammar) and content (semantics).
- **Communication**—the use of speech and language for the purpose of exchanging messages between people.

People with AS do not have difficulty with speech or language but do struggle with communication, or pragmatics. A typically developing person learns the rules for
tailoring language to fit social demands through childhood and adolescence, and these rules are dictated by the culture in which the individual is raised (Landa, 2000). However, people with AS fail to learn these rules in the way their peers do, so by the time they are adults, they are struggling in the social domain, largely because of their problems with communicative intentions, presupposition, and discourse. These three processes of pragmatic language use are described below. The overlap between this area of speech-language pathology and the social cognition dysfunction outlined in the previous section is obvious in the following descriptions.

COMMUNICATIVE INTENTIONS

To be a successful communicator, one must be able to convey and read the intentions behind the words used in a sentence. People often say things that are not literal representations of what they mean. However, they still convey what they mean because the words they use are modulated through the social situation (context), intonation (voice pitch and loudness variation), facial expression, gestures, and environmental cues. People with AS have difficulty using any or all of these tools to convey their intentions clearly to others. They also have difficulty perceiving intention from others that is conveyed in these ways.

For example, if your boss asks you to do a task that you do not want to do, you may say out loud to her, “Sure, I would be happy to do it.” Your intention is to convey to her simply that you are willing to do it, not literally that you are happy about it. But the social context (you are responding to an authority figure) may have influenced your choice of words; you chose a phrase that, in your culture, is a polite way to agree to carry out a task. In a different social context, you may also choose those words, but convey a different intention by using facial expression and intonation to modulate the message.

For example, you just finish telling your spouse that your day is overbooked and you are very pressed for time, and your spouse promptly asks you to stop at the dry cleaners to pick up some things on the way home. Because the request annoys you and you are not really willing to do it, you may say, “Sure, I would be happy to do it.” In contrast to how you may have said this to your boss in the earlier example, you hiss the words through clenched teeth, and glare at your spouse while saying it. You are hoping your spouse picks up your intention through your intonation and facial expression.

People with AS cannot flex their strategies for different contexts. They are overly reliant on the literal meaning of words people use and often suffer communication breakdown because of it. If the boss or the spouse in the above examples had AS, that person may have taken the phrase to mean the exact same thing in both situations: that the speaker was literally going to feel happy while carrying out the requested task.

PRESUPPOSITION

A successful communicator can make a sound judgment about the knowledge, expectations, and beliefs that another person already has before formulating a message to deliver to that person. As described by Landa (2000), the speaker takes into consideration how much preexisting information the listener shares with him or her when planning the content and form of the message to be communicated. Landa defines
presuppositional success as depending on an array of different abilities: A person must be able to regulate attention, have a fund of knowledge about social norms and rules, be flexible enough to consider different perspectives on the same situation, and have the language skills to phrase things in alternative ways. On a practical level, it involves knowing what the communication partner is expecting and being able to adjust language accordingly. In the previous example, when you decide to use a polite phrase when agreeing to do the task your boss requested, you were using your presuppositional ability. This ability allowed you to assume that you and your boss share the same expectations about each other’s role; the boss’s job includes assigning tasks, and your job includes complying with reasonable requests.

People with AS show difficulties with adjusting language use in response to the ever-changing contexts within social situations. They do not know when and how to be formal or colloquial, when to elaborate on an idea or give a condensed version, how much background information to provide, how complex or simple the sentences used should be, and what topics are taboo in what situations—all because of a deficiency in the ability to presuppose the needs and expectations of the listener. These individuals also fail to provide signals that would allow others to make good presuppositions about them.

**DISCOURSE**

A successful communicator, last but not least, must be able to participate in an ongoing exchange of utterances that serves to build an agreed-upon hierarchy of topics and subtopics. The abilities that are involved in this process may be called “conversation skills” by a layperson. During successful discourse, people follow shared rules about topic management, conversational repair after a breakdown, and storytelling (narrative discourse). Although there has been no research on these processes in adults with AS, Twachtman-Cullen (1998) presents Grice’s (1975) four maxims (rules) for discourse and describes how they can be broken. All of these rule-breaking behaviors have been described previously in clinical cases of AS and have also been observed in the patients I have treated.

1. *In regard to quantity*, be informative without being verbose. This rule is broken by someone who speaks “nonstop,” without regard to cues the listener conveys disinterest or desire to escape.
2. *In regard to quality*, be truthful. This rule is broken by someone who confabulates or fills in gaps with false information that he or she believes to be true.
3. *In regard to relevance*, contribute only information that is pertinent to the topic and situation. This rule is broken by someone who makes tangential comments.
4. *In regard to clarity*, convey information that is clear and understandable to the listener. This rule is broken by someone who initiates a conversation in the middle of a thought, without providing background information.

To summarize, evidence indicates that people with AS and HFA have problems with both social inference and social language. *Social inference* involves the ability to make accurate, educated guesses about what is required in a social situation, based on information from multiple sources. *Social language* refers to the understanding and use
of language according to the current social context. These two processes are interrelated in the sense that a person cannot perform well in the social language domain if he or she has impaired social cognition, and vice versa. Many of the “social skill deficits” of AS are driven by core problems in social cognition and social language.

**Dysfunctional Information Processing about Self**

This section describes the various difficulties people with AS demonstrate when processing information from and about the self. The decision to separate this area of dysfunction into a separate category from social cognition was somewhat arbitrary because, as I illustrate in Figure 2.2, all of the core deficits of AS overlap and interrelate. This crude definition of categories is meant to illustrate that, not only do people with AS have difficulty “reading” others, but they also have problems with the perception of information that is coming from internal sources. The difficulty in “reading” themselves is detrimental in social interactions, and it can also affect them when they are alone and is therefore worthwhile to explore separately. Two major areas of functioning are affected by dysfunctional internal “feedback loops” of information: (1) the perception and regulation of arousal states, or emotion, and (2) the perception and regulation of sensory–motor processing.

**Emotion Perception and Regulation**

It is almost impossible to separate emotional from social experience. In fact, the dysfunction in the emotional life of people with ASDs has been conceptualized and reported by researchers almost exclusively in a social context. Peter Hobson (2005) discusses the major theme of emotion dysfunction in autism as “impairment in sharing subjective states and coordinating attitudes with other people” (p. 419). Marans, Rubin, and Laurent (2005), lending insight from the fields of speech–language pathology and occupational therapy, outline crucial considerations of emotion regulation factors when evaluating people with AS and AHA. They attribute the poor social communication skills seen in this population partially to their poor emotion regulation skills. According to these authors, in order to attend to the most important aspects of a social situation, one must be able to maintain an “optimal state of arousal” or “steady internal state” (p. 980). Using Tronick’s (1989) conceptualization of emotion regulation skill development in normal infancy, they divide the skill deficits seen in AS and AHA into two categories: mutual regulation and self-regulation. The term mutual regulation skills refers to the ability to use others to regulate arousal states. These skills include abilities to:

- Understand and interpret the emotional state of the self and others.
- Interpret affective cues regarding the intention of others.
- Express emotions in a socially conventional manner as a means of seeking help from others.
- Respond to help that is offered by others.
- Maintain focus on social engagement.

Self regulation skills involve abilities to:
• Recognize and interpret one’s own physiological and emotional state.
• Be aware of one’s own emotional reactivity and variable arousal in response to sensory sensitivities or social overstimulation.
• Attend to information in the social situation that is needed to solve problems.
• Grade reactions to coincide with the expectations of the current social situation.
• Use effective behavioral strategies that are socially acceptable to regulate experience.
• Use cognitive strategies to anticipate and cope with dysregulating events.

It is easy to make the connection between mutual regulation skills and the deficits outlined in the earlier section on social cognition. To avoid redundancy, this section focuses less on the social aspect of emotional functioning and more on the internal and subjective experience of emotion, which is more closely tied to self-regulation skills, as discussed by Marans et al. (2005) and Geller (2005). Unfortunately, very few studies have explored the way people on the autism spectrum experience their own emotions. This omission is not surprising, because these concepts are elusive and difficult to measure. The small body of research literature is reviewed here, however, with implications for conceptualizing adult psychotherapy cases.

ALEXITHYMIA

One of the prerequisite skills for modifying one’s own arousal level is being able to recognize and interpret one’s own emotional state. A deficit in the fund or accessibility of words to describe subjective mental states is called alexithymia and is commonly observed in brain-injured patients. Berthoz and Hill (2005) investigated the presence of alexithymia in a group of adults with HFA. They administered two scales: the Toronto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-20) and the Bermond and Vorst Alexithymia Questionnaire—Form B (BVAQ-B). They also administered the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) to all subjects. Results indicated that the subjects with HFA were more alexithymic than typical controls but also more depressed, as measured by the BDI. This finding leads to the question, how can a person report the presence of depressive symptoms if he or she is alexithymic or has difficulty identifying his or her own mental state? Further analysis of these findings show that alexithymia represents several types of disability that may not be equally prevalent in affected individuals. The TAS-20, which measures the more cognitive aspects of identifying one’s own mental state, discriminated between the groups, but the BVAQ-B, thought to measure the more emotional aspects of alexithymia, did not. This finding suggests that self-evaluation deficits exist only for certain types of internal states.

The study by Rogers et al. (2007) presented earlier in the chapter is relevant to this discussion. To briefly review, Rogers et al. administered the IRI (Davis, 1980) to adults with AS and to a group of matched controls. The purpose of the study was to investigate the social concept of empathy. However, self-reporting of one’s own emotional reactions was a critical method of responding to the items on the IRI. The pattern of results mirrors what was found in the alexithymia study. The subscales that measure the cognitive component of empathy—Perspective-Taking and Fantasy—seemed to reflect an underreactivity in AS compared to typical people, whereas the subscales assumed to measure the emotional component of empathy—Empathic Concern and Per-
sonal Distress—reflected similar reactivity (empathic concern) or overreactivity (personal distress) compared to typical people.

Neither of these studies tells us how individuals with AS actually experience their emotions, only how they report these experiences. Nevertheless, these studies provide preliminary information suggesting that people with AS report less emotional arousal than typical people when test questions have cognitive elements, but more intense emotional experiences than typical people if questions are more purely directed toward emotion (e.g., BDI, and the Personal Distress subscale of the IRI). Obviously, many more controlled investigations are needed before conclusions can be drawn. However, these data give a practitioner some sense that people with AS report on their emotions in ways that are different from typical people. These differences have important assessment considerations that are discussed in Chapter 3.

**Sensory–Motor Processing**

People with AS appear to demonstrate dysfunctional processing of information about their own bodies. Ever since Kanner (1943) first described his cases of autism, it has been well documented that children with autism have atypical sensory–motor development (see Baranek, Parham, & Bodfish, 2005, for a review). These issues have been less well studied in adults with AS or HFA. Anecdotally speaking, patients often report problems with one or more sensory system in the form of hypersensitivity or hypo-sensitivity, and these problems can be a source of stress (Groden, Baron, & Groden, 2006).

Table 2.1 provides a summary of the sensory problems seen in AS, adapted from Myles et al. (2005). Because they are processing sensory information so differently from the typical person, they may have extreme reactions to situations that seem unremarkable to others. Some sounds that are universally aversive, such as fingernails being raked across a chalkboard, may not bother a person with AS, but a sound that would be considered mundane by most people, such as someone chewing gum very quietly, may be considered highly aversive to him or her. These individuals may be prone to irritability when affected by particular stimuli. Often an unusual behavior may be the only way a person has been able to regulate or manage an overwhelming sensory experience (e.g., squinting, body rocking, restricted clothing choices). Another coping strategy many individuals adopt is avoidance, which can be mistaken as oppositional behavior, stubbornness, or procrastination by loved ones and other supporters. Because they sometimes have difficulty reporting their internal states to others (i.e., due to alexithymia and social language deficits), they may not let others know what they are experiencing and what their reason is for avoiding a particular situation. For example, one man with AS who had tactile sensitivities dreaded large family gatherings at his house (he was living with his parents). He actually enjoyed seeing his relatives and was not intimidated by the social demands. Rather, he was bothered by the fact that the house would be crowded, with a lot of movement and close physical contact with others (e.g., people literally bumping into him or brushing by him). His parents would get offended and tell him later that he was rude because he would withdraw to his bedroom within an hour after guests arrived.

An example of how a sensory issue arose in therapy is the case of a 52-year-old man who was seeking treatment for AS-related problems and reported an extreme sen-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Location of receptor cells</th>
<th>Hypersensitivity problems</th>
<th>Hyposensitivity problems</th>
<th>Practical impact on the individual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tactile</td>
<td>Touch</td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Light touch, deep pressure, fabric textures, clothing labels, clothing fasteners, temperature changes, low pain tolerance</td>
<td>Indifference to temperature extremes, high pain tolerance, sensation seeking (odd gestures or self-injury)</td>
<td>Physical discomfort when coming into contact with someone or something that might not bother a typical person. Will take drastic measures to avoid certain experiences, may ignore threats to health or safety, may experience social rejection because of odd, sensation-seeking behaviors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vestibular</td>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>Inner ear</td>
<td>Low tolerance to movement, difficulty changing speed and direction, gravitational insecurity</td>
<td>Difficulty staying still, sensation seeking (rocking, crashing into things)</td>
<td>Clumsiness, hyperactivity, and difficulty “shifting gears” make group games or sports an unpleasant experience, thereby reducing opportunities for positive social experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proprioceptive</td>
<td>Movement</td>
<td>Joints and muscles</td>
<td>Inaccurate perception of the position of body parts, lack of coordination</td>
<td>Difficulty inhibiting movement, odd gestures, tic-like mannerisms</td>
<td>Poor posture, difficulty carrying multiple objects, uneven gait, clumsiness. Poor coordination between visual and proprioceptive stimuli contributes to gross motor (e.g., sports) and fine motor (handwriting) problems. May exert too little or too much force when moving things or touching a person (e.g., during a handshake).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual</td>
<td>Sight</td>
<td>Retina</td>
<td>Low tolerance for certain lights or patterns</td>
<td>Poor depth perception, poor visual–motor coordination, poor visual tracking and convergence</td>
<td>May avoid certain lighting conditions (e.g., fluorescent) or patterns (e.g., looking at other people’s eyes). Visual–motor problems (also mentioned above) affect enjoyment of physical activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory</td>
<td>Hearing</td>
<td>Inner ear</td>
<td>Low tolerance for certain sounds, exaggerated startle responses to noise, difficulty filtering out background noise</td>
<td>Lack of response or indifference to auditory cues</td>
<td>May avoid circumstances that involve specific sounds (e.g., bells, buzzers, high-pitched sounds), may have difficulty engaging in conversation in noisy settings (e.g., parties). May miss important auditory cues that signal danger or that are crucial in social interaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustatory</td>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>Taste buds and lining of nose</td>
<td>Strong food aversions</td>
<td>Lack of interest in some foods</td>
<td>May rigidly stick to a very circumscribed diet. Although connected to the tactile problems described above, some food aversions seem to involve the texture of the food and not just the flavor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olfactory</td>
<td>Smell</td>
<td>Lining of nose</td>
<td>Strong aversions to some smells</td>
<td>Failure to notice strong smells.</td>
<td>May have difficulty in the workplace, for example, if others wear fragrances that are too strong for the individual or cleaning products are being used that are aversive.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Adapted from Myles et al. (2005). Copyright 2005 by the Organization for Autism Research. Adapted by permission.
sitivity to the sound of wind. He was often preoccupied with weather predictions, and the therapist initially thought that this symptom was part of a comorbid anxiety disorder. While the therapist was assessing the source of his anxiety, the man was finally able to describe how excruciating the sound of the wind was to him. The problem was exacerbated by the fact that his apartment had old windows that whistled on windy days, making it unbearable for him to be at home. This information was important for treatment planning. If the therapist had focused solely on his anxiety about the weather, it would have been insufficient to help this patient, because he also needed some problem-solving and environmental modification components in the treatment plan to help him minimize the impact of this noise intrusion.

Table 2.1 also makes reference to some of the motor problems observed in AS. DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) lists one motor symptom: “stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms” (p. 84; hand flapping, finger flapping/twisting or complex whole-body movements), and also mentions associated motor features (clumsiness, overactivity). I have observed that repetitive motor mannerisms are not usually pronounced in adults with AS. If they are present, they are usually observed only in short spurts while the person seems to be experiencing an intense emotion. For example, one patient of mine exhibits hand flapping and body rocking, but only while he is laughing; I never observed these behaviors at any other time. The same man engages in an unusual finger-splaying gesture while he is expressing frustration. He holds up both hands, spreads the fingers far apart, and moves them in a writhing fashion while he utters a sentence expressing his concern, such as, “I am never going to get my computer fixed!” As soon as he stops speaking, his hands return to a normal position on his lap. Again, this gesture does not appear at any other time.

Other associated motor features include fine motor deficits (e.g., poor handwriting). As Baranek et al. (2005) point out in their review of these problems in children with autism, it is difficult to distinguish between voluntary and involuntary movement problems. There are also issues of differential diagnosis because problems with movement can be symptoms of several different conditions, including a wide variety of medical problems, neurological disorders, DSM-IV-defined movement disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 1994; e.g., complex motor tics), OCD, and ADHD. At times, a psychotherapist may decide to send a patient to an occupational therapist for a thorough evaluation of sensory–motor problems and possible intervention.

Dysfunctional Processing of Information in Nonsocial Domains

This last section describes some of the problems people with AS have in processing information that is not social in nature. Some types of information about nonsocial aspects of the environment are typically processed erroneously by people with AS, and these deficits can affect their functioning in clinically significant ways. Although most of the evidence for these deficits is found in the neuropsychological literature, it is important for psychotherapists to be aware of them even if they have not received formal training in neuropsychology. For example, I am not a neuropsychologist and therefore not trained to remediate neuropsychological deficits—but I do need to understand how my patients process information at a basic level because it has implications for how I conceptualize their cognitive styles and related behaviors. In many cases, the nomothetic evidence base that is described below is sufficient for a therapist to gener-
ate hypotheses about an individual patient. In other cases, a practitioner may choose to refer a patient to a neuropsychologist for a comprehensive battery in order to understand better the unique way that person is processing information.

Neuropsychological research in AS has been challenged because the population of people with ASDs is so heterogeneous. Inconsistencies in subject selection and group assignment in the literature make it impossible, at this time, to describe a single neuropsychological profile or phenotype for AS (Tsatsanis, 2005). Despite these constraints, the following section outlines some of the findings that are most relevant to a practitioner who is serving adult patients with AS.

Ozonoff, South, and Provencal (2005) provide a current review of the research that demonstrates that people with ASDs, including AS, have some impaired abilities in flexibility, planning, organization, goal setting, and use of working memory. These problems are not specific to ASDs; they were first described as “executive functions” (EFs) in patients with frontal lobe damage (Duncan, 1986) and later in patients with ADHD, OCD, schizophrenia, and various forms of dementia (as reviewed by Ozonoff & Griffith, 2000). Standard IQ tests are not sufficiently sensitive to capture many of these deficits, but neuropsychological tests can provide the type of information that is outlined below.

**Flexibility**

The earliest studies of EFs in autism were conducted using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST), a neuropsychological test designed to measure cognitive flexibility by administering a series of card-sorting tasks. Compared to age-matched controls, adults with HFA show a tendency to perseverate, or continue to sort cards using a previously learned set of rules, even when they are given feedback indicating that the rules are no longer correct (Rumsey, 1985). Such errors are significant even when the control subjects have other types of learning disabilities (e.g., dyslexia, as in Rumsey & Hamburger, 1990).

Although these studies, and others like them, have been offered as evidence of impaired set-shifting capacity in AS and HFA, the WCST is not a “clean” measure of that concept. In other words, performance on the test is also reliant on other EF operations, such as organization skills, working memory, inhibition, selective attention, and encoding of verbal feedback (Ozonoff et al., 2005). Even though all of these functions have been assumed to be impaired in AS and HFA, Ozonoff and her colleagues have taken a component process analysis approach to tease out the specific areas of dysfunction in this population. For example, through a series of tests they designed, they were able to isolate flexibility operations from inhibition operations and provided evidence that people with HFA can inhibit responses as well as controls, but show specific problems in set shifting (Ozonoff & McEvoy, 1994). Further testing of inhibition ability has shown that subjects with HFA are *unimpaired* in this operation (Ozonoff & Strayer, 1997; Hughes, Russell, & Robbins, 1994).

Studies that tested attention-shifting ability have demonstrated that adults with HFA have difficulty making rapid alternations of attention between two different sensory modalities (Courchesene, Akshoomoff, & Ciesielski, 1990), and are slow to disengage from one visual cue in order to attend to another (Wainwright-Sharp & Bryson, 1993). Taken with the set-shifting problems described above, it is safe to conclude that
people with AS and HFA have a variety of problems with cognitive flexibility, which may explain why they are so often referred to as “rigid” in clinical descriptions and by their loved ones.

**Planning**

The Tower of Hanoi is another neuropsychological test that has been used frequently in studies of EF in AS and HFA. This instrument, which is designed to test planning ability, requires the subject to solve a problem by identifying subgoals before acting to reach a target goal. In a meta-analysis of the EF literature in autism, where neuropsychological tests were used to discriminate between people with ASDs and controls, the most powerful effect size was found for the Tower of Hanoi, compared to other tests (Pennington & Ozonoff, 1996).

Similar to the WCST, however, the Tower of Hanoi is not a pure measure. In addition to planning ability, it may tap the use of working memory, for example—that is, “the ability to maintain information in an active, online state to guide cognitive processing” (Baddeley, 1996, as cited by Ozonoff et al., 2005, p. 611). However, when more isolated tests of working memory are applied to people with HFA, the results are mixed. For example, Bennetto, Pennington, and Rogers (1996) demonstrated a working memory deficit in people with HFA, but a study by Ozonoff and Strayer (2001) did not. However, a task analysis of the Tower of Hanoi test (Goel & Grafman, 1995) suggested that it specifically measures a subject’s ability to resolve conflicts between the target goal and a subgoal. In other words, a move that may seem to be wrong in the immediate sense may actually be correct in relation to the greater goal. This finding raises confidence that that test is indeed capturing planning deficits in people with HFA and is also conceptually linked to flexibility (Ozonoff et al., 2005).

**Central Coherence**

Frith (1989) was the first to use the term “central coherence” to describe a typical person’s tendency to process pieces of incoming information within a context and to try to find the “gist” of a collection of details, rather than focus intently on each detail by itself. She proposed that people with autism have a weakness in this area, noting their tendency to demonstrate a detail-focused processing that is unable to extract “the big picture”; a focus and memory for the parts but not the whole.

Happé (2005) offers a review of the literature supporting this notion. For example, on tests of memory for words and sentences, people with autism can recall individual words as well or better than typical controls. However, the recall of typical controls is aided when the words are presented in a sentence (context), but this effect is not seen in people with autism (e.g., Tager-Flusberg, 1991). On nonverbal visual tests, people with autism excel at detecting details. On the Embedded Figures Test, for example, subjects with autism outperform typical controls in finding a small shape within a larger design (Joliffe & Baron-Cohen, 1997; Shah & Frith, 1983). However, they show poor integration of object parts (Joliffe & Baron-Cohen, 2001).

Because the information-processing tendencies of people with AS can account for their talents as well as their difficulties, Happé (2005) suggests that we consider the pattern of focusing on discrete details as a cognitive style rather than a deficit. She reviews
several studies (e.g., Snowling & Frith, 1986) showing that some subjects with autism can extract meaning and gist when given explicit instructions to do so, but will not do it spontaneously in open-ended tasks. The idea that this pattern is a preference but not an unchangeable deficit is encouraging for clinicians who are working with adult patients on modifying their cognitions in specific situations.

In summary, neuropsychological studies of people with AS and HFA have demonstrated a general problem with processing that shows up even when these individuals are exposed to nonsocial information. Specifically, studies have repeatedly shown various difficulties with cognitive flexibility, planning, and perceiving the gist or “big picture” in a collection of related pieces of information.

COGNITIVE DYSFUNCTION AND RISK FOR MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS

The core cognitive dysfunction described above causes individuals with AS to engage in a multitude of maladaptive behaviors that lead to negative consequences for them, further compound their problems, and cause emotional distress. When one of these adults presents for psychotherapy, it is rare that he or she seeks help with an isolated core AS problem, as illustrated by the seven cases described in Chapter 1. Usually the patient’s AS symptoms have become interwoven in a complex web of environmental stressors and comorbid mental health problems, and this web leads the therapist to a broader conceptualization of the individual’s presenting problems. Another case is used to illustrate how this interweaving occurs for one individual, Pam, by referring to the terms used in the conceptual model in Figure 2.1.

Pam is a 46-year-old woman with AS who works as an office clerk. Her core information-processing problems involve poor task organization and extreme sensitivity to fluorescent lights. She has a large fluorescent fixture hanging over her desk, which significantly affects her concentration and exacerbates her organizational problem. She also has a “social skills” problem with pragmatic expressive language, and she cannot formulate a phrase to request a change in her light fixture (although the reader can easily imagine how anxiety may also be playing a role, for the sake of this example, we explore only the factors listed). Because of these three deficits, she continued to work under the light (suffering in silence) and also continued to perform her job poorly. This undesirable situation then led to the daily living consequence of poor work productivity and the social consequence of her boss criticizing her.

As mentioned, each person with AS does not struggle with all of the deficits outlined in the model. However most adults with AS, each with a unique profile, face enough of these issues in daily life to lead them into two of the biggest and most well-established risk factors for mental health problems (presented in the conceptual model depicted by Figure 2.1): poor social support and chronic stress, with the former contributing to the latter. Sarason and Sarason (1985) demonstrated that people who report higher levels of social support are less vulnerable to the effects of negative life events than those reporting low levels of social support. They also showed a positive correlation between self-report of social support and levels of social competence. In their study, people who reported less social support also demonstrated poorer social skills and were perceived by others as less interesting, less dependable, less friendly, and less
considerate than people who had reported high levels of social support. Of course, this correlational data is plagued with “chicken and egg” questions. However, it is not necessary to prove the direction of causality between these variables in order to consider how people with AS are at risk on all of the dimensions measured by Sarason et al.

Cohen and Wills (1985) conducted a meta-analysis of studies that investigated the relationship between stress, social support, and well-being (physical and mental health). They concluded that there was sufficient evidence to support the hypothesis that perceived availability of interpersonal resources can act as a buffer against stressful events. The extent to which people are integrated into a social network is also associated with improved well-being, even in the absence of stressful life events.

If this research on stress in the typical population is considered when examining the lives of people with AS, it is easy to imagine the risks they face. They have low social support, low social competence, and therefore higher vulnerability to stress and related consequences. With the exception of Groden and colleagues (e.g., Groden, Cautela, Prince, & Berryman, 1994), researchers and clinicians have largely ignored the role of stress in the lives of people with ASDs (Groden, Baron, & Groden, 2006). Thankfully, there has been more recent interest in this subject; for example, Baron, Groden, Groden, and Lipsitt (2006) edited a volume of relevant theory and research on stress and the autism spectrum. Attwood (2006a), in that same volume, provides a clinical conceptualization of stress factors faced by children with AS and later (Attwood, 2006b) offers a description of stress factors in adults with AS who are in college, on the job, or in a marriage.

In my practice, I have observed that the difficult life circumstances faced by adults with AS bring various types of stressors. Daily hassles are prevalent because executive function problems make self-management so difficult. Poor organization, for example, often leads to losing things (e.g., car keys, wallet, important papers). Poor planning makes it difficult to complete tasks or maintain a schedule. Sensory problems make normal environmental events (e.g., light, noise) stressful. Chronic stressors, other than the loneliness and isolation mentioned above, include unemployment or underemployment (i.e., working at a job that does not utilize talent or intellectual ability), financial struggles, and legal problems.

Adding to the example of Pam, consider that she has a master’s degree in English literature, has a Full Scale IQ of 135, and is forced to work as an office clerk, performing poorly, no less, because she cannot manage the multiple demands of being a university faculty member. Teaching was always her dream, and she is intellectually and academically qualified to do it; however, she experiences the chronic stressor of underemployment.

It is not surprising that comorbid mental illness and risk for suicide are so prevalent in the adult AS population. In a recent British survey on the life experiences of adults with ASDs, 32% of the sample reported having mental health problems of some kind (Barnard, Harvey, Potter, & Prior, 2001), and approximately 8% of that subsample had experienced suicidal thoughts or attempts. They also reported that in a clinic sample of adults with AS or HFA, 15% had planned or attempted suicide. Isager, Mouridsen, and Rich (1999) reviewed the death records of a sample of 341 people born in Denmark between 1945 and 1980 who had been diagnosed with a PDD and found that two of them had committed suicide by 1993, which is 0.6% (approximately 1 in 170) of the overall group. Though these studies were done on European populations, if
Compared to the suicide rates in the general U.S. population (approximately 1 in 7,800), the rate of suicide among people on the autism spectrum is considerably higher.

**Conceptualizing Problems of AS within a Traditional CBT Framework**

CBT refers to a set of strategies for dealing with mental health problems that has existed for over 40 years and has a huge empirical literature supporting its validity as a psychotherapy approach with typical patients. This large collection of therapeutic approaches is based on the assumption that cognitive activity affects emotions and behavior and that people can learn to monitor and alter that activity in order to bring about changes in mood and behavior.

Butler, Chapman, Forman, and Beck (2006) provide a recent review of the meta-analytic studies that have been conducted around the efficacy of CBT for a wide variety of mental health problems (the reader is referred there for the details of those findings). Most relevant to the present discussion are the robust effects noted across outcome studies for CBT used with adults for unipolar depression, GAD, panic disorder, agoraphobia, social phobia, or PTSD. Although adults with AS were not included in any of the studies cited, they are at great risk for all of the mental health problems that have been treated successfully using CBT, and these comorbid disorders have been reported in clinical descriptions of AS (e.g., Attwood, 1998, 2006b; Gha Zuddin, 2005). This reality warrants a closer look at the utility of CBT for these patients. I believe that people with AS who seek treatment should be offered the same state-of-the-art interventions that are available to any typical person suffering from mood or anxiety disorders.

**Beck’s Cognitive Model**

The foundation for CBT, the cognitive model, was introduced in the early 1960s, with slightly different versions being offered by Ellis (1962) and Beck (1963). Because Beck’s model has served as the basis for many empirically validated adult psychotherapy protocols (Butler et al., 2006), it is used to guide the discussion of CBT throughout this book. Conceptualizing adult AS cases in this fashion leads a practitioner to a wide variety of evidence-based interventions in the CBT literature.

Figure 2.3 depicts a visual representation of Beck’s (1976) model for emotional disturbance, as illustrated by Persons et al. (2000). Beck proposed that people process information according to schemas, which are cognitive structures that guide and organize the perception of events and experiences. Schemas are based on core beliefs that are learned, beginning early in life, through interactions with the environment and the groups of people to which they belong (e.g., family, peers, culture, religious community). They influence the way a person thinks, feels, and behaves in response to the environment. An event will activate a related schema, which in turn triggers a cycle of cognitions influencing emotion/mood, which then influences behavior, which again influences cognitions, and so forth. This feedback cycle loops back and further influences the schema by reinforcing or modifying it. At times schemas cause a person to distort events and, in that sense, they can become maladaptive. Beck (1976) proposed that emotional problems or disorders are driven by a preponderance of such distortions. Throughout life, schemas are continuously changing and evolving as new infor-
Information is taken in, necessitating a modification of rules and beliefs. This process can also be maladaptive if a person fails to take in new information and “hangs onto” a previously functional schema that no longer fits with current life circumstances. Another problem can arise if there is a disproportionate amount of negative over positive beliefs about the self, others, the world, or the future. Such negative schemas may lead a person to focus selectively only on information that fits with those belief systems and ignore information that could possibly refute them.

Schemas and AS

Considering the cognitive deficits that have been found in people with AS, these individuals are at risk for developing a whole host of maladaptive schemas. The cognitive model assumes that fellow human beings are a great source of teaching, modeling, and reinforcing the beliefs that comprise schemas. However, social cognition deficits make it much harder for persons with AS to infer and make use of information that comes from other people in a social context. They therefore miss out on a rich source of input for developing and evolving healthy schemas appropriately over time. Their cognitive inflexibility is also a risk factor in that they may hold on too strongly to a schema that is nonfunctional. Their frequent experiences of negative life events, such as social rejection and repeated employment failures, are likely to reinforce negative beliefs about self, others, the world, and the future.

Figure 2.4 is a duplicate of the core problems conceptual model shown earlier, with the added schema symbols, illustrating the points at which negative beliefs may develop or be reinforced. The struggles with social skills and self-management could easily give rise to negative schemas about the self. The social consequences of being ignored, rejected, or ridiculed typically foster the development of negative schemas about others and the self. The daily living consequences of daily hassles and stressful events may contribute to negative beliefs about the world and the self. Ultimately, all of the above can lead to negative ideas about what is to come, that is, to negative schemas about the future.

Figure 2.5 provides one more link between the problems inherent in AS and the rationale for the use of CBT as an intervention to help them. The core problems of AS are superimposed on Beck’s cognitive model for emotional disorders, showing how
FIGURE 2.4. Vulnerability to maladaptive schema development in AS.
these factors increase vulnerability at each stage of the process, which is hypothesized to occur when negative schemas are activated by life events.

**CBT FOR ASPERGER SYNDROME**

CBT, by definition, teaches people to monitor their own thoughts and perceptions with the hopes that they will become more aware of their interpretive errors. There is no reason to believe that people with AS cannot learn to do this within a psychotherapy context. I presume that these adults can learn to reconceptualize social interactions and become better able to more accurately “read” the behavior of others. Once they understand others’ motives and the rationale for the “codes of conduct” that exist in various social situations, they can more easily monitor their own behavior and adjust their responses to other people and situations. They can also be taught to recognize and modify the maladaptive patterns of information processing that contribute to their stress, anxiety, and depression.

Although several authors have recommended the use of CBT for AS (Attwood, 1998, 2004, 2006b; Gaus, 2000; Tsai, 2006; Hare & Paine, 1997), there are very few published studies supporting its efficacy. To date there are four known case examples written about the use of CBT for people with AS, and only two were adult cases (Cardaciotto & Herbert, 2004; Hare, 1997). Of the others, one was description of a child case (Reaven & Hepburn, 2003) and one an adolescent (Beebe & Risi, 2003).

The only controlled investigations of CBT for individuals with AS were conducted with child and adolescent subjects and delivered through a group therapy modality. Sofronoff, Attwood, and Hinton (2005) applied a CBT-based group treatment protocol.
to subjects with AS ages 10–12 years. The objective of the intervention was to reduce anxiety symptoms across six 2-hour sessions. The protocol included two primary components: (1) affective education (teaching the children about the function of emotions and how they are connected to thoughts, as well as behavioral strategies for managing emotions such as relaxation tools); and (2) cognitive restructuring (teaching the children to recognize and correct distorted or dysfunctional beliefs, establish and examine the evidence for and against each belief, create a new perception of specific events). Parents also participated in their own parallel sessions, and were taught all of the strategies in the same way. Compared to wait-list controls, the participants showed significant improvement in the ability to generate coping responses to a hypothetical scenario, as well as a reduction in parent-reported measures of anxiety symptoms. In another study (Sofronoff, Attwood, Hinton, & Lewis, 2006), a similar CBT protocol was applied to a group of 10- to 14-year-old subjects diagnosed with AS; the objective was to reduce anger symptoms. Compared to wait-list controls, these children showed a significant decrease in the frequency of parent-reported anger episodes and improvement in their ability to generate coping responses in a hypothetical scenario.

These studies are very relevant to this book because, not only are they among the first controlled investigations of a CBT approach for AS, they also represent the first systematic attempts to intervene directly on the emotional and mental health aspects of AS. Granted, they do not allow us to pinpoint which therapy components were the agents of change, because the protocol was multifaceted. There is also a question about whether similar outcomes would be found for adults in individual therapy, given that these child studies included a significant parent intervention component. Nevertheless, these data provide important preliminary support for the rationale behind exploring the use of CBT for individuals with AS. It is hoped that by providing a framework for conceptualizing adult cases of AS and designing treatment plans that include empirically based CBT interventions, this book will encourage therapists and practicing scientists to work with, and study, this population further.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter presented research findings supporting the existence of core dysfunction in people with AS, in the form of problems processing information about others (theory of mind, use of social cues, language pragmatics), information about the self (perception and regulation of emotional and sensory–motor processes), and nonsocial information (planning, organizing, cognitive flexibility, and central coherence). A conceptual model was proposed that described how the core problems of AS in adult patients serve as risk factors for the development of problems in living and comorbid mental health disorders. That conceptualization was then used to demonstrate how Beck’s cognitive model for emotional disorders is a useful way to explain and address the anxiety and depression that are so often reported by patients with AS. Finally, preliminary studies that support the use of CBT for patients with AS were described. The next chapter discusses how the therapist can assess these problems in individual patients.
This chapter is meant to guide psychotherapists through the process of assessing an adult case where AS is known or suspected. Cases such as these can appear in many forms and from a variety of referral sources. Typical scenarios include:

- A diagnostician who establishes AS refers the patient for psychotherapy.
- A patient suspects AS after being exposed to literature about it and refers self for treatment.
- A patient’s child is diagnosed with AS, leading to self-examination and consideration of diagnosis for self.
- A family member suspects AS after being exposed to literature about it and refers a loved one for treatment.
- An inpatient psychiatry team suspects or establishes AS for a patient who was hospitalized to treat a mental health crisis and refers for outpatient treatment upon discharge.
- A psychotherapist who is already treating an individual for a period of time realizes AS may be present; that therapist may decide to continue working with a new conceptualization, or may refer out for a more specialized approach.

This chapter is divided into two major sections. The first addresses intake issues, including a more detailed presentation of the reasons adults with AS seek treatment, and the special considerations involved in interviewing these patients. The second focuses on the information gathering that is necessary for diagnosis and definition of target problems. Guidelines are offered for establishing an AS diagnosis, assessing comorbid conditions, highlighting patient strengths, and creating a problem list.

**INTAKE ISSUES**

**Reasons for Seeking Treatment**

Regardless of the referral source, patients with AS come to psychotherapy with a wide variety of complaints about their life circumstances or sense of well-being. Two lists
appear below. The first outlines the most common problems these patients report at intake and is framed in terms that represent their perspective. The second list presents the problems as described by referring family members, who may see the issues from a slightly different perspective.

**Self-Reported Problems**

**LONELINESS**

Most patients report some dissatisfaction with the number or quality of the relationships they have in their lives. Frustrated with the repeated failures they have experienced in the dating world, many of the single patients come to treatment hoping that the therapist will give them a shortcut to finding a romantic partner. These individuals have a healthy desire to be in a sexual relationship, if not a marriage. Some patients are also looking to increase the number of friendships in their lives.

**DISCOMFORT IN SOCIAL SITUATIONS**

Most patients are anxious in some or all types of social situations. They have all had a whole host of negative experiences with others, ranging from being ignored, at best, to being physically or sexually assaulted, at worst. A number of them have gained some insight into the role their own anxiety and/or lack of skill may be playing in the outcome of their social interactions. As a poignant example, in a recent case of a 25-year-old college student, the young man came to his intake session with a list of written questions he had prepared in advance. They were:

1. What questions can I ask when I meet a person?
2. What are the questions I should not ask when I meet a person?
3. What are the topics that I cannot talk to a person about?
4. What are the things that I can do in a group conversation?
5. What are the things that I cannot do in a group conversation?
6. How many questions should I ask a person at a time?
7. When is it the wrong time to talk to a person?
8. What shouldn’t I do in a conversation altogether?
9. What are some of the activities that I can do to meet some friends?

**DEPRESSION**

Most patients report some level of sadness, “feeling down,” or depression, which they are experiencing at intake or have experienced in their prior histories. They also report a sense of helplessness about improving their lives and hopelessness about their futures. Some also report suicidal ideation.

**INTERPERSONAL CONFLICTS/ANGER CONTROL PROBLEMS**

In addition to the discomfort and anxiety that patients report, some complain of not being able to “get along” with others; they have repeated arguments and fights with other people. These are the individuals who are more outgoing and less avoidant, but more likely to display behavior deemed offensive or belligerent by the people in their
lives. The conflicts may occur with family members, peers at college or work, bosses, or strangers in public places.

EMPLOYMENT DISSATISFACTION

Most adults with AS report employment problems. A large portion of them are unemployed or employed part-time while relying on Social Security Disability benefits, despite the high level of education many of them have. Others are working full-time, but their jobs do not relate to their talents, education, or interests. Workplace problems result in stress because of task demands that exceed a patient’s capacity to manage or difficulty understanding the social domains of the job (e.g., interfacing with coworkers, bosses, customers). There may be a sense of not being recognized for achievements or being passed over for promotion because the individual has difficulty understanding the subtle nuances of workplace culture and politics. In other cases an individual may be pressured to take on job roles for which he or she is not socially equipped (e.g., management) because performance was excellent in a circumscribed set of responsibilities (e.g., computer programming).

FRUSTRATION WITH LIVING SITUATION

Many patients complain at intake about their living situations because they have not been able to achieve independence. Many of them are dependent on their family of origin or housing programs (e.g., group home, supportive apartment). The problem of sustaining employment has obvious financial implications that are exacerbated in large metropolitan areas, where even typical young people struggle to support themselves after graduating from college. The living arrangements of persons with AS often involve an infringement on their rights to privacy and choice making. This is a powerful stressor contributing to the feelings of helplessness and hopelessness reported by these individuals.

Family-Reported Problems

ANGER OUTBURSTS

When family members are involved in the intake process, a common complaint surrounds patients’ expressions of anger. They are described as having “meltdowns” that include explosive, unpredictable, or violent displays of rage entailing screaming, cursing, threatening others, stomping feet, destroying property (throwing and breaking items, punching holes in walls), self-injury (slapping or hitting self, banging head on hard surface), and, less frequently, physical aggression toward others (shoving, kicking, punching, choking). Parents who found a way to cope with these behaviors as their child was growing up may be finding the adult-sized version of a tantrum quite a bit more intimidating, frightening, and even dangerous.

OBSESSIONS/INTENSE AND NARROW INTERESTS

Family members often express concern about the patient’s “obsession” with a particular topic or activity (e.g., astronomy, sports, transit systems, aviation, cinema, meteorology). Although the activity itself is not maladaptive, there is a problem with the inordi-
nate amount of time that the individual spends engaged in it, to the exclusion of other adaptive activities. Excessive computer use is the most common complaint; the person with AS may be searching the Internet for items regarding his or her topic of interest, posting in news groups, or participating in chat rooms. Other time-consuming activities may involve watching TV programs or reading about a narrowly defined topic.

COMPULSIVE BEHAVIOR

The narrow interests discussed above often lead to repetitive, maladaptive behaviors that family members see as self-destructive. These individuals may make judgment errors about health, safety, or money because they are so immersed in their interest. One young man had spent thousands of dollars on mail order dietary supplements because his interest was in nutrition and health. Not only was his mother concerned about the financial implications, but she worried that he was taking too many of these vitamins and minerals without consideration for the health consequences. Another man had raised his mother’s concern because he had become preoccupied with horse racing and was gambling his small income away at off-track betting facilities.

WITHDRAWAL/DEPRESSION

Family members are often concerned at intake about the individual’s isolation and depressed mood. Sometimes a dramatic change in mood is what triggers a family member to refer a patient to therapy, because it is usually accompanied by a change in the person’s usual way of functioning (e.g., regression in self-care skills, less social engagement than usual).

LACK OF MOTIVATION/PROCRASTINATION

Perhaps the most frustrating issue for parents of adult children is the apparent lack of motivation to take responsibility for life decisions. The high level of intellectual functioning leads parents to say “He should know better” or “She should be more interested in her budget.” For example, the father of a young woman, a college senior at an Ivy League institution who had superior mathematical ability, was furious with her because, according to him, her procrastination had caused her to miss all of her graduate school application deadlines.

POOR ADL SKILLS/SELF-CARE AND ORGANIZATION

Another source of frustration for family members is the patient’s inability to take care of basic grooming and housekeeping responsibilities. The discrepancy between intellectual and adaptive functioning is puzzling to family members; it seems as though self-care should come more naturally. For example, it is easy to ask, how can a person with an IQ in the superior range have difficulty brushing his or her teeth every day? Even parents who have a good understanding of their adult child’s disability become impatient over this issue if they are living together.

ODD BEHAVIOR IN THE COMMUNITY/LEGAL PROBLEMS

Sometimes a referral to treatment is triggered by an incident in which the patient gets “in trouble” with members of the community and/or the legal system. Unusual behav-
iors and poor social judgment often lead others to misconstrue the intentions of a person with AS. For example, one 30-year-old man was arrested after being pulled over for a minor traffic violation. After a brief interchange, the police officer asked him to step out of his car while he did a complete search of the vehicle. The man with AS became so anxious that he began pacing and muttering under his breath, causing the officer to become more suspicious of him and more confrontational. The muttering escalated to yelling and threatening of the police officer, and he was taken to the station for further questioning. Although he was eventually released, the experience led him to become fearful of police. His mother, who was not with him at the time, later assumed that his eye gaze aversion and nervous tics had triggered the vehicle search, which marked the beginning of the unfortunate chain of events.

**Sexual Problems**

An additional category of problems involves patients’ sexuality. These problems are listed separately because they are rarely reported at intake, even if the therapist asks about this area of social functioning. Individuals on the autism spectrum are vulnerable to sexual problems because do not have the educational or social experiences in adolescence though which typical people develop a healthy sexual self (Aston, 2003; Attwood, 2006b; Hénault, 2005; Koller, 2000). Patients and their families are often inhibited about the topic, and those with sexual problems usually do not initiate or engage in discussions about them until trust is built with the therapist. Nevertheless, it is important for the therapist to be mindful of the following issues during intake and prepare to assess them further in later sessions, after rapport has been established.

**Lack of Sexual Information**

Most adults with AS have accurate factual knowledge about the anatomical and biological aspects of sexual functioning. However, by asking direct questions and/or displaying maladaptive behavior, adults with AS demonstrate deficient or erroneous information about the social aspects of sexuality. Examples are societal norms and laws regarding privacy, rules for dating, reciprocity in relationships, choosing partners, and consent.

**Preoccupation with Sexual Material**

Some adults seek the information they are missing by turning to pornographic material (e.g., magazines, phone lines, Internet), and the tendency to focus intensely on an interest area leads them to appear “obsessed” with the topic, which can be a concern for loved ones. Using pornography as a source of facts about sexuality can also perpetuate the problem of erroneous information.

**Paraphilias**

Some adult patients have developed atypical means of achieving sexual arousal via unusual objects or rituals. This behavior is a likely result of missing typical social-sexual experiences through adolescence. The problem is intensified for some because inadequate understanding of social norms leads to a failure to keep these ideas and behaviors private.
CONFUSION ABOUT SEXUAL IDENTITY/ORIENTATION

The deprivation of typical social-sexual experiences in adolescence leads many individuals to report confusion about gender roles and sexual orientation. Many of the questions these individuals ask are normal but are seen in typical people at a much younger age; this lag reflects a significant developmental delay for sexual identity. Gender role confusion is reflected in questions such as “Men are supposed to be able to fix things around the house, but I’m really clumsy with tools. Does this mean I’m gay?” or “I always loved to draw superheroes when I was a kid, but my mother told me girls shouldn’t do that. She said it isn’t feminine. What does that mean?”

ANXIETY ABOUT INTERACTING WITH POTENTIAL DATING PARTNERS

It is common for patients to report social anxiety that is specific to interacting with potential dating partners. Their past failed experiences and insight into their own skill deficits lead them to feel inhibited when opportunities arise.

AVERSION TO TOUCH

Tactile sensitivity may impair the enjoyment of intimacy for some individuals and/or their partners.

Interviewing Strategies

When a person with AS comes for an intake, the goals are similar to the goals a therapist has for any new patient. Many of the points made in this section will seem like “common sense” to experienced clinicians and are not specific to this population. However, there are some special considerations and related modifications a therapist may need to make when interviewing a patient with AS (Jacobsen, 2003). The goals at intake are to:

- Secure accurate information about current symptoms and history.
- Begin building a rapport with the patient.
- Begin learning the communication style of the patient.

Kingdon and Turkington (2005) offer useful guidelines for establishing a therapeutic relationship with adult patients who have schizophrenia. Although there are major differences between the needs of patients with AS and those with schizophrenia, there are also some things they have in common. Both groups of patients are subject to problems with social cognition, idiosyncratic use of language, unusual beliefs, and trusting people. I share Kingdon and Turkington’s commitment to maintaining flexibility in the use of CBT because of the perceptual problems with which these patients struggle. Kingdon and Turkington’s concepts of pacing, nonconfrontation, using “word-perfect” accuracy, and tactical withdrawal are mentioned in the sections below, which outline basic guidelines for interviewing.

Pace Yourself

The first adjustment a therapist needs to make is to be willing to tolerate a slow rate of progress. Brenda Smith Myles has authored many psychoeducational materials for
teachers and therapists to use with adolescents with AS, and she has coined a term to help professionals set realistic expectations for progress with this population. She reminds service providers to work on “Asperger time,” which means if you get “half as much done in twice as much time” than you would expect for any other patient, then you are successful (Myles et al., 2005, p. 13). Kingdon and Turkington also stress the importance of pacing so that the interaction does not overwhelm the patient. This means your initial interview may not be completed after only one session. You may need multiple meetings to gather all necessary information and to establish a working relationship with the patient.

When scheduling an initial session by telephone, I always tell new patients that there will be only one meeting, at the end of which we will make a mutual decision about meeting again. If at the end of the first session, the patient and therapist do agree to set up a second session, the therapist asks the patient if he or she is willing to meet three more times before deciding whether or not to embark on the therapy process, explaining that it typically takes a total of four sessions to decide what the treatment plan will look like. This approach serves two purposes. First, it truly does take that many sessions to get a solid understanding of the case, sometimes even longer. However, pacing and structuring the intake in this way is also a rapport-building strategy. These patients often feel anxiety when entering a new relationship, and emphasizing their control over the process can minimize the pressure.

Learn to Speak the Patient’s Language

People with AS have verbal strengths and are usually quite articulate. However, they may use language in an unusual way and also may interpret what you say very literally. Therefore, a therapist must be cautious about his or her own use of language so as not to confuse or mislead the patient. Kingdon and Turkington discuss the importance of using “word-perfect” accuracy and honesty with patients who have psychosis. This means the therapist must be extra mindful of the words he or she is using, ensuring precision to the point of pedanticism. This degree of clarity is crucial for patients with AS because they take words at face value. Our language is rich with idioms and phrases that do not really mean what they say, and we are not usually aware of our literal inaccuracy. Because these patients are so bright and articulate, even I, who supposedly know how important this is, still make mistakes in this regard. Take the following example from an interview I was conducting with a 38-year-old male patient with AS and an average IQ.

**Therapist:** Comparing your life now to 10 years ago, it sounds like you have really grown.

**Patient:** (Eyes widen, face flushes.) No, I haven’t. I have been the same height since I was 18 years old: 5 feet, 9½ inches!

Despite this man’s intellectual functioning level, he took the word grown to mean that he had physically grown taller. A better way for the therapist to phrase this idea would have been:

“It sounds like you have achieved many of the things you wanted to over the last 10 years.”
During the initial intake meetings, the therapist should take note of the words and phrases the patient uses to describe experiences, and should use those same words doing further inquiry. Not only will the therapist sound clearer to the patient, he or she will also convey the desire to meet the individual on a common ground that has been partially designed by him or her. This is another way to give the patient control over the process and thereby reduce anxiety. Here is an example of the therapist using the patient’s idiosyncratic phrase (italicized) to ask for more information.

**PATIENT:** I have things I have to do a certain way. I can’t control it. Even if I want to change it really, really bad, I can’t. I have *mental habits* that won’t let me change.

**THERAPIST:** Which *mental habit* is bothering you the most lately?

**PATIENT:** Like starting my diet. I have a *mental habit* that says I can only start a diet on a Tuesday. I don’t know why my mind thinks that because it doesn’t make any sense. But I can’t change it. I can’t start on a Wednesday or a Thursday, even if I really, really want to.

**THERAPIST:** Do you have any other *mental habits* that are bothering you as much as the diet one?

At this stage of the interview it is more important to encourage the patient to continue sharing information with the therapist than for terminology to be technically correct. In a much later session, the therapist may choose to provide psychoeducation to the patient about “mental habits” and what they might be.

One final note about language: People with AS sometimes have difficulty initiating a report of a distressing situation. Things that typical adult patients would spontaneously share with a therapist when seeking help are not reported by some patients with AS, unless they are specifically asked about distressing situations. Their literal interpretation of social interactions leads some patients to believe that they should only answer questions the therapist asks. Also, they may not pick up on the general theme of a line of questioning or might fail to connect one topic to a similar one that might be relevant. In the following example, the patient is describing a situation that was distressing to her, but the therapist needed to ask a very specific question before she could connect the situation to a possible pattern in her life. Typical adult patients who do not have AS are able to make these connections more spontaneously.

**PATIENT:** The other day in class the professor was going on and on, and I couldn’t follow him. I kept staring at the board and I kept watching him, but he might as well have been speaking Chinese. I wanted to run out of the room, but I was afraid it would be inappropriate.

**THERAPIST:** Have you ever had anything like that happen to you before?

**PATIENT:** Never.

**THERAPIST:** Have you ever tried to listen to a person who did not seem to make any sense? Or have you ever wanted to run out of a room when things were not making sense to you?

**PATIENT:** Oh, yeah. Last year at my father’s retirement party, the speeches were like that. On and on and on and no sense. I get so freaked out when that happens because I’m the only one who can’t understand it.
When the therapist asked the first follow-up question, the patient took it literally. She probably thought the therapist was asking if that exact situation had happened before with a professor in a classroom. When the therapist realized the question was not specific enough, she used elements of the scenario to help the patient generalize to other similar circumstances. Without the follow-up questions, the therapist was risking an oversight about possible anxiety symptoms. She also might have missed the preliminary information about dysfunctional automatic thoughts and schemas, which was revealed in the patient’s last line, “. . . I’m the only one who can’t understand it.”

**Convey Respect**

It may seem obvious that the therapist should be respectful toward the patient. It is worth discussing briefly, however, because there are subtle ways that therapists can appear judgmental, usually if corrective feedback is given too early in the development of the relationship. Because these patients may display socially inappropriate behavior during the initial meetings, the therapist has to be able to strike a balance between the need to set appropriate boundaries and parameters within the relationship, while also giving the patient some latitude in terms of his or her unusual mannerisms and unique style of communicating.

One strategy is to define as many boundaries as possible in advance. For example, it will alleviate some anxiety if the patient is given clear explanations of the therapist’s “office rules.” These are things that typical patients might be able to infer, but people with AS need explained to them with more specificity. Such rules include, but are not limited to, what door to use when coming into the office, where the bathroom is, where to sit while waiting, whether there is a sign-in procedure, whether or not to knock, when to make payments, and how long the session lasts. Giving these rules to the patient proactively minimizes the chances that he or she will make errors, which the therapist will need to “correct,” thereby reducing unnecessary embarrassment for the patient. Even though these individuals have difficulty inferring the expectations others may have, they will usually comply once they know what they are.

In the same spirit, it is useful to give patients some warning that the session is nearing the end. Because of the problems with cognitive shifting, many people with AS have difficulty stopping an interchange, even when there are clear signals that it is over. They may keep talking and stay seated, even after the therapist stands up and moves toward the door, for instance. To make ending seem less abrupt to patients, it is helpful to them to begin the wind-down process anywhere from 5 to 15 minutes earlier than the actual end. Here is an example:

“We are 5 minutes from the end of the time, now. Let’s start getting ready to bring it to a close.”

One benefit of the boundaries around the session being clear to the patient is that the therapist can more easily be flexible within sessions in accepting some unusual behaviors. It is a priority to help the patient minimize anxiety in the initial sessions, as high arousal levels only hinder the process of the intake interview. Minimizing stress means that the therapist must allow the patient to use his or her own odd methods of
coping with anxiety. Kingdon and Turkington’s guideline of being nonconfrontational applies here. The intake sessions are the wrong time for the therapist to teach social skills and give corrective feedback (unless the patient is doing something blatantly self-injurious or destructive to the therapist’s property). So, for example, many patients do not make eye contact at first, and therapists should not prompt them to look at their eyes. Some patients may want to manipulate items in their hands or to “fiddle” with something while talking; this behavior is totally acceptable because it may actually help them to focus better on the interview. Some will even get out of their seats and walk around the room, inspecting the bookshelves or pictures on the walls. Again, this behavior should not be discouraged during initial sessions. Paradoxically, these actions help patients regulate their arousal and allow them to participate more fully in the interview, even though they would be deemed rude in a social situation.

As a therapist is getting to know a patient, he or she may unintentionally agitate the patient with a particular question or comment. Due to idiosyncratic perceptions and a history of negative experiences, a seemingly mundane question may suddenly trigger a panic-like or angry response. For example, one 22-year-old patient who appeared to be calm suddenly began to yell and slap his hand on the chair arm after I asked him how he liked to spend his leisure time. Kingdon and Turkington’s tactical withdrawal is useful here. It is best to give up that line of questioning and move to something that is already known to be a safe topic.

To reiterate, it is counterproductive to insist that a patient comply with the therapist’s agenda or strict code of conduct. The first reason is that the patient will experience the process as very negative and will not trust the therapist; treatment is not likely to proceed past intake. The second reason is that the therapist will fail to get the information needed because the patient will be too aroused to concentrate on the task at hand. Therapists who find it difficult to refrain from commenting on socially inappropriate behavior can remind themselves that they will be addressing these issues as therapy goals at a later date.

Use Caution When Including Family Members

About half of the adult patients I see are fully independent in the therapy process; they schedule and attend their sessions by themselves, like typical adult patients. However, parents or siblings initiate the onset of treatment for the other half of the patients, making the initial phone calls to the therapist. It can be useful to include parents or siblings in the intake process because they can provide information about early childhood development and current functioning, both of which are particularly necessary to an accurate diagnosis. However, this is a practice that, if not done carefully, can jeopardize the dignity of patients as well as their trust of the therapist. Many of these patients assume a passive role when their family members are involved in their lives. They appear to let other people take care of the tasks of daily living for them, yet they often feel angry toward these helpers for infringing on their privacy and decision making. It is important for therapists to make it clear from the outset to everyone involved who the designated patient is, and how that person is in charge of what happens in the therapy process.

Preserving the adult patient’s dignity starts at the point of the initial phone call. If someone other than the patient makes the contact, the therapist should encourage the
caller to have the potential patient make the appointment. If the caller does not believe
the patient will initiate this action, early assessment questions arise about the possible
presence of:

- Low motivation for treatment
- High anxiety (avoidance of phone call)
- EF issues
- Depression
- Maladaptive dependence on family members

No matter which of these factors are contributing, the therapist should ask if the
patient can come to the phone at that moment, or if the caller can arrange to bring the
patient to the phone at another time. A 5- to 10-minute conversation with the patient is
necessary in order to assess his or her own rationale for coming in, which may not be
the same as the family member’s motive. The following example illustrates the
approach the therapist can take when the patient is ultimately available.

**THERAPIST:** Hello, __________, my name is __________. Your dad called me on your
behalf to see if I could be of some assistance. Were you OK with that?

**PATIENT:** Yeah. He told me.

**THERAPIST:** What did he tell you about me?

**PATIENT:** Uh, you are a therapist of some sort.

**THERAPIST:** That’s right. I am a [psychiatrist/psychologist/social worker]. Why did your
dad think you might want to talk to a therapist?

**PATIENT:** He is worried because I don’t go out that much.

**THERAPIST:** Is that something that you are concerned about?

**PATIENT:** It’s not a big deal. It’s always been like that. I’d rather stay home. My aunt is a
nurse and she thinks I have something—Asperger’s disorder. That’s what got my
dad into this. I say, it’s always been like this, and it always will be like this. It’s not
a big deal. It’s better when I stay home.

**THERAPIST:** Well, I do work a lot with adults who have Asperger’s disorder. Maybe that’s
how your dad got my name. I don’t know if that is going on for you, and I wouldn’t
know without meeting you. Let me just clarify something you just said. When I
asked you if you were concerned about the same thing your dad is, you didn’t
really say yes or no. You explained that you believe things will stay the way they
are, but I was not sure if you were content with that or not.

**PATIENT:** Well, I’m not happy about it, but I think we should just accept it. I looked up
this Asperger thing online, and it does sound a little like me. But they said it is a
lifelong thing. There is no cure. And I didn’t know it the whole time, but I have
lived with it for 25 years and I think I am doing OK. I just wish my father would let
me be.

**THERAPIST:** Are you living with your dad?

**PATIENT:** Yes, unfortunately. That is part of the problem. He’s always watching what I
do. He should just worry about his own stuff and leave me alone.
THERAPIST: Well, it does sound like you have some things going on that are frustrating you, whether you have Asperger’s or not. But it also sounds like you are trying to deal with things on your own and trying to gain some independence, you know, get some space between you and your dad. So, there are two things we could do. One is that we can skip making an appointment and you can explain to your dad that we talked just now, and that you have decided that you would prefer to continue coping with these issues on your own. I understand that your dad called me out of concern for you, but there really is no sense in meeting if you want to let things be for now. Or, we could meet just once to talk about it some more, and then you and I, without your dad, could decide if I have anything to offer you to help in what you’re dealing with right now.

PATIENT: I guess I could come in once. I wouldn’t have to bring my dad?

THERAPIST: No. Not unless you need him to drive you. Even if you do, you would come into the session by yourself. Anybody who is with you would have to wait in the waiting room.

The extra time spent on the phone with a patient similar to this is a small investment to make. If an adult patient sees the therapist as an agent of the parent, especially when a desire for independence is an issue, as it was in this example, the therapist will have to work that much harder to establish trust and, in some cases, never will. The treatment will not be successful if the individual does not, on some level, believe he or she made the decision to come into therapy. Although in this example, the patient decided to come, the therapist would have been just as respectful of a different choice. In many of these types of conversations, the person chooses not to come in. Being allowed to exercise choice, in and of itself, can be therapeutic for some individuals, and sometimes such a person will call at a later date when he or she feels more motivated to enter treatment.

Despite the above point, there are times when I do allow a parent to schedule the appointment for their adult son or daughter; for example, if the parent reports that the patient has expressed a willingness or desire to come to therapy but is so impaired by anxiety or related features that he or she is almost incapable of coming to the phone. In those cases, the individual is usually brought to the appointment by a family member. The case of Bob, introduced in Chapter 1, is one such example. The therapist should then use the greeting in the waiting room as the opportunity for the person to exercise some choice. The following illustrates this concept.

“Hello, __________. I am __________. I know your mom made this appointment for you today, but it’s up to you whether you would like her to come in with you right now. You can bring her with you into my office now, or we can ask her to wait out here and we can call her only if we think we need her for information or something like that. What would you like her to do?”

Even if the patient opts to bring the parent(s) in, the therapist still directs all questions toward the patient during the interview. If the patient is withdrawn and avoids engagement, the therapist must work hard not to let the conversation drift into one about the patient, as if he/she were not there.
DIAGNOSIS AND DEFINITION OF TARGET PROBLEMS

Establishing the Autism Spectrum Diagnosis

Sometimes a patient arrives with the formal diagnosis of AS or HFA already having been made by a qualified mental health professional specializing in the assessment and diagnosis of ASDs. In such cases the therapist does not have to spend as much time interviewing the patient about history and symptoms of the ASD per se.

In the other referral scenarios outlined at the beginning of this chapter, more attention has to be paid to this process. Patients who are seeking a diagnosis may have been introduced to information about AS by the media, a family member, or another mental health professional. Other times a therapist who is already working with a patient for other reasons may realize that AS or HFA could be present.

The amount of time a therapist spends on this process depends on how the diagnostic information will be used by the patient. If the patient needs a detailed diagnostic report at the end of the assessment to use as part of an application to a program or service, for example, the assessment process will be more formal and may include more standardized testing. However, if the therapist and patient are only going to be using the information to enrich the conceptualization of the presenting problems in order to design an effective treatment plan for the psychotherapy, the part of the assessment that focuses exclusively on AS will be less formal and therefore less time consuming.

As mentioned previously, diagnosing something that is essentially a developmental disorder is difficult when a person is well into adulthood because the symptom picture is influenced by so many factors. The following guidelines can help a clinician to access information about social functioning, past and present, as well as developmental history.

Interview the Individual

Ask the patient about memories of his or her own developmental history by inquiring about peer relationships, school experiences, and therapeutic services while growing up. Assess current social functioning by asking about the quantity and quality of relationships. For instance, when the patient reports on friends, ask for their first names to get a sense of how many there are. Then ask how often and through what means the patient socializes with those people. One young man said he had about 10 current friends, but further inquiry revealed that he had never met any of them in person, only online through chat rooms, and he did not have any other friends in his life at the time. Table 3.1 provides a list of questions to ask the patient during the interview.

Also pay attention to how the individual relates to you during the interview in terms of interaction skills. Table 3.2 offers guidelines for observing social behavior during the intake.

Interview Parents or Family Members

With the patient’s permission, include people in the interview who knew the individual early in life. Ask about early development, social relationships, and behavior. In addition, supplement the information you obtained from the individual about special educational or therapeutic services by using the same questions with family members. Table 3.3 presents interview questions for family members.
TABLE 3.1. Interview Questions for the Patient during Initial Intake

Questions to ask the patient about developmental and social history
- Who were your friends?
- Were you satisfied with your friendships?
- Were you ever teased or picked on?
- What were your favorite activities/hobbies?
- What caused the most distress for you?
- How would you calm yourself down if you were upset?
- Were you in special education? If so, what for?
- Did you ever receive school-based or private psychotherapy? If so, what for?
- Did you ever receive school-based or private speech–language therapy? If so, what for?
- Did you ever receive school-based or private occupational therapy? If so, what for?
- Were you ever prescribed psychotropic medication? If so, what for?

Questions to ask the patient about current social functioning
- Who are your friends now? Can you tell me their first names?
- How often do you get to see your friends?
- What do you typically do for fun with your friends?
- Do you go out on dates? If so, how do you meet your partners?
- Do you have a boyfriend/girlfriend/romantic partner? If so, are you satisfied with the level of affection and physical intimacy in the relationship?
- If you are not currently dating, have you done so in the past or do you have plans to pursue it in the future? Why or why not?

TABLE 3.2. Observation Guidelines for Social Behavior during the Interview

- How does the patient use nonverbal cues to regulate the exchange (eye contact, gestures, body language)?
- How does the patient use language?
- Does he/she phrase things in unusual ways?
- Does he/she understand your questions the way you intend?
- Is he/she subject to tangential, circumstantial, or pressured speech?
- Is there a paucity of speech?
- How does he/she respond to transitions in the interview (e.g., change of subject, ending session)?
- Imagine yourself meeting the person in a social setting; would anything he/she does seem odd or eccentric?

TABLE 3.3. Interview Questions for Family Members during Initial Intake

- Did he/she have friends in the neighborhood, in preschool, in kindergarten?
- Did he/she have more or fewer friends at different stages (e.g., elementary school, junior high school, high school)?
- What caused him/her to become upset?
- What would calm him/her down after a distressing event?
- What were his/her strengths and talents?
- Did he/she have any significant fears, odd mannerisms, or rituals?
- Did his/her language development seem typical?
- Was his/her motor development typical?
**Review Records**

Ask the patient and/or family to provide copies of any reports of evaluations done on him or her, even if they are very old. These include report cards; teachers’ narrative comments can provide clues about early social functioning. If the family did not keep records, they can sometimes be accessed from the school district. Although this was probably rare luck, I once had a 43-year-old man go back to his home district and get report cards from his elementary school years. The teacher remarks provided helpful evidence for the presence of AS. Other useful documents include, but are not limited to, reports written by pediatricians, neurologists, psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, speech–language therapists, and occupational therapists. For younger adults who received special education, access to their individualized education plan (IEP; a mandated statement of needs and goals that is provided by the school district) can be helpful.

**Speak to Current Treating Professionals**

In the first meeting, ask the patient for authorization to call any current or recent service providers who may be able to share insight into autism spectrum or mental health symptoms. These include, but are not limited to, psychiatrists, case managers, or speech–language therapists. Other psychotherapists may also be involved for different reasons; some may have asked for a second opinion regarding AS, whereas others may provide therapy in different modalities (group, family) or with different goals (supportive counseling).

**Use Formal Testing Instruments and Symptom Checklists**

A number of standardized instruments are available for use in diagnosing ASDs. These instruments vary greatly in terms of experience/training necessary to administer, age range of target subjects, functioning level of target subjects, and format/modality of administration. (See Lord & Corsello, 2005, for a comprehensive review of these instruments.) Although some are not appropriate for adult patients or are impractical to administer in a psychotherapy office, others may be useful for clinicians, with some careful consideration. The most relevant instruments are listed below, with comments about the advantages and disadvantages of each. This information is also summarized in Table 3.4.

**AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW—REVISED**

The Autism Diagnostic Interview—Revised (ADI-R; Lord, Rutter, & Le Couteur, 1994) is a structured interview meant to be carried out with the parent or other caregiver of the individual. It was designed to assess for autism in subjects of a wide range of ages and functioning levels. If used according to the guidelines, it is a reliable instrument to use by itself in order to confirm or rule out an ASD. However, even a seasoned psychotherapist would need experience with ASDs as well as training and practice with the instrument before administering it. The interview is not going to be helpful to clinicians who, for example, are encountering their first cases of AS or HFA and are searching for an instrument they can purchase and begin to use relatively quickly. However, it is worth the time investment for clinicians who intend to specialize in the formal diagnosis of ASDs in adults.
### TABLE 3.4. Assessment Instruments to Aid in the Diagnosis of ASDs in Adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of instrument</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Respondent</th>
<th>Level of training or expertise needed</th>
<th>Usefulness as an isolated instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autism Diagnostic Interview—Revised (ADI-R)</td>
<td>Lord, Rutter, &amp; Le Couteur (1994)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Structured interview</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS)</td>
<td>Lord, Rutter, DiLavore, &amp; Risi (1999)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Behavioral observation</td>
<td>Self</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilliam Asperger’s Disorder Scale (GADS)</td>
<td>Gilliam (2001)</td>
<td>3–22</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asperger Syndrome Diagnostic Scale (ASDS)</td>
<td>Myles, Bock, &amp; Simpson (2001)</td>
<td>5–18</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Scale for Asperger’s Syndrome (ASAS)</td>
<td>Attwood (1998)</td>
<td>3–19</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC OBSERVATION SCHEDULE

The Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS; Lord, Rutter, DiLavore, & Risi, 1999) is a standardized protocol whereby a clinician observes and scores the social and communicative behaviors of the subject in a series of situations. It is appreciable for people of all ages and is appropriate to use by itself, though it may be less sensitive to symptoms in more cognitively able individuals. Like the ADI-R, an extensive amount of training is required for the clinician to administer it competently.

GILLIAM ASPERGER’S DISORDER SCALE

The Gilliam Asperger’s Disorder Scale (GADS; Gilliam, 2001) is a questionnaire for the caregivers of individuals between 3 and 22 years old. The psychometric properties of the test, which support its usefulness as a screening tool, are reported in the examiner’s manual from a study done on 371 individuals. However, it was not tested on people older than 22, so the scores should be interpreted very loosely if used with older adults. This instrument can help clinicians structure their inquiries about the various symptoms of AS across four domains: social interaction, restricted patterns of behavior, cognitive patterns, and pragmatic skills.

ASPERGER SYNDROME DIAGNOSTIC SCALE

The Asperger Syndrome Diagnostic Scale (ASDS; Myles, Bock, & Simpson, 2001) is a questionnaire for caregivers of individuals who are between 5 and 18 years old. The psychometric properties of the test have not been tested extensively on large samples, so it should not be used as a sole source of data for making a definitive diagnosis. The sample on which it was normed did not include people over 18 years old, so its use with people older than that age is not recommended. Like the GADS, this instrument can help structure the clinician’s inquiry about AS symptoms. On this test the symptoms are categorized in five domains of functioning: language, social, maladaptive behavior, cognitive, and sensorimotor.

AUSTRALIAN SCALE FOR ASPERGER’S SYNDROME

The Australian Scale for Asperger’s Syndrome (ASAS; Attwood, 1998), like the GADS and ASDS, is a questionnaire for caregivers. Its psychometric properties have not been rigorously tested, though an assessment of its validity was done on a small sample of people between 3 and 19 years old. By no means should it be used as a sole indicator of the presence of AS. It is included in this discussion because I find it useful when asking parents retrospective questions about the patient’s behaviors in childhood. The items are each scored on a likert scale and explore symptoms across five domains: social and emotional abilities, communication skills, cognitive skills, range of interests, and motor skills.

AUTISM SPECTRUM QUOTIENT

The Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ; Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Skinner, Martin, & Clubley, 2001) is the only self-report questionnaire that has been developed to assess adult autism spectrum symptoms. It is meant to be used only with individuals who
have intellectual and verbal ability in the average or above-average range. Preliminary data support its discriminative validity in a clinic sample. It is only useful as a screening device and not as a definitive diagnostic tool. The test presents the subject with 50 questions, scored on a likert scale, which assess five domains thought to be affected in people with AS or HFA: social skill, attention switching, attention to detail, communication, and imagination.

### Diagnosing Comorbid Mental Health Problems

While the therapist is assessing the patient for the symptoms of AS, he or she must also attend to symptoms of other DSM-defined disorders. It is usually a mental health problem other than the AS, per se, that brings a person into a psychotherapist’s office. Often the individual reports some prior diagnosis(es) he or she has received. These should be considered and investigated. Sometimes these diagnoses are inappropriate for the current situation, especially if arrived at by a clinician in the distant past who was struggling to explain an odd clinical picture that did not fit neatly into any DSM category, given that the diagnosis of AS was not available until 1994. These diagnoses are either nonspecific, such as “atypical mood disorder,” “atypical anxiety disorder,” “psychotic disorder NOS,” or “autistic-like,” or they are specific but do not fit the symptom picture as well as AS or HFA; examples include schizoid personality disorder, schizotypal personality disorder, borderline personality disorder, undifferentiated schizophrenia, or schizoaffective disorder.

The following sections outline strategies for assessing the most common comorbid conditions observed in an outpatient clinical setting. It should be noted that these sections are not meant to provide a comprehensive set of instructions on how to assess anxiety, mood, and personality disorders in general. I assume that readers who are already practicing psychotherapy have developed their own strategies for exploring these issues with their patients. Other resources provide more details about each of these clinical problems, and the reader will be referred to some of them in the appropriate sections. The principles behind assessing mood, anxiety, and personality disorders are the same for people with AS or HFA as they are for any patient. Here I present the special considerations and modifications in technique that one should keep in mind for patients on the autism spectrum.

As you explore each of the areas below, remember the guidelines for interviewing patients with AS that were presented earlier: pace yourself, learn to speak their language, and be respectful in the face of seemingly odd behavior.

### Anxiety Disorders

Individuals with AS are subject to chronic stress because they process information in unique ways and must, as some patients have described, “work harder” just to appear “normal.” Often aware of their processing difficulties, they compensate with a hyper-vigilance directed toward the behavior of others and small changes in their environment. Due to problems with executive functions, they often must put extra energy into the simplest planning and organization tasks, which may lead to excessive worrying or obsessional thinking. A history of failure in the social and sexual domains may lead to avoidance behavior. Traumatic physical assaults and threats are not uncommon in their
backgrounds, because their odd behavior draws unwanted attention from predatory people in schools or communities. A lack of education about sexuality increases the risk of many types of aversive sexual experiences, ranging from embarrassment during a desired encounter all the way to the trauma of an unwanted encounter, such as a rape. It is easy to imagine how all of the above-listed stressors make people with AS more likely to develop any of the anxiety disorders listed in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

The possible presence of a comorbid anxiety disorder must be considered when planning treatment (Tsai, 2006). Administering the Beck Anxiety Inventory (Beck, Epstein, Brown, & Steer, 1988; Beck, 1990) early on can serve as a preliminary screening instrument. Outlined below are the most commonly observed anxiety disorders, as defined by DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), and guiding questions for exploring them with patients on the autism spectrum. Remember that these patients need very literal, specific questions about the factors surrounding their problems. Without that quality type of questioning, they may underreport certain phenomena. The reader is referred to Barlow (2002) for a comprehensive description of anxiety disorders, the theories explaining them, and current treatment approaches.

PANIC DISORDER WITH OR WITHOUT AGORAPHOBIA

Does the patient report intense episodes of anxiety? Does the patient or family report frequent “meltdowns”? This is a term used in the autism spectrum community to refer to episodes of sudden decompensation marked by the onset of high arousal, behavioral detachment, and/or physically aggressive behavior. These episodes are usually preceded by a situation that is experienced as extremely stressful and can have a number of causes and courses, but some are true panic attacks as defined by DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Patients may report classic panic symptoms if asked about them, even if they use idiosyncratic words to describe their feelings. For example, one young man reported that, during such an attack, he felt nauseous, had a pain in his chest, believed he was going crazy, and had “mushy legs.”

AGORAPHOBIA

Is the patient avoiding important activities or responsibilities outside of the home? Do the people around the patient complain about noncompliance with certain expected activities, such as medical appointments, grocery shopping, class attendance, or using particular modes of transportation? Does the patient refrain from going places alone or seem overly reliant on a family member to accompany him or her on outings? Does this problem go beyond the avoidance that might be expected because of AS (e.g., avoidance of social situations) or a comorbid depressive disorder (e.g., loss of motivation)? I was working with one patient, a 20-year-old college student with AS, for a whole year before realizing agoraphobia, as part of a panic disorder, was present. Her repeated “skipping” of classes had appeared to be related to the major depression she was experiencing at one point during the year, but when her depression remitted and she continued to miss classes, a reassessment yielded more information supporting the new diagnosis. Apparently she had been experiencing panic attacks since she was about 15, but I (to my own embarrassment) had never inquired about it, and the patient did not see the relevance to her problem and so never thought to report the experiences to anyone.
SPECIFIC PHOBIA

Is the patient avoiding situations that are more specific than the areas discussed under agoraphobia? Is the avoidance not better accounted for by the sensory problems that can be part of AS? For example, if a person is avoiding the dentist because of hypersensitivity to the noise of the equipment but is not afraid of the dentist or any other part of the procedure, then it is not a phobic response. Theoretically this person would go to the dentist if the aversive noise could be contained. In another example, a man with AS reported an intense fear of flying, which did meet criteria for a specific phobia because it was not related to sensory issues, was recognized as unreasonable by him, and was infringing on his leisure time and social opportunities.

SOCIAL PHOBIA

Is the patient avoiding social situations at a level that is disproportionate to his or her social skill level? As mentioned in Chapter 1, if a person has very poor skills and is avoiding social situations as an attempt to cope, this diagnosis is not appropriate. Although all people with AS struggle at some level with interaction skills, there are different degrees of impairment. So, if a person has some skills and has demonstrated competence to handle a situation that is being avoided exclusively because of anxiety, then the diagnosis could be considered.

OBSESSIVE–COMPULSIVE DISORDER

Does the person have obsessions or compulsions that are not explained by their ASD? For example, does the preoccupation with a particular topic seem to be unwanted by the person? If the individual is enjoying the excessive time spent on the topic and is making no attempt to suppress the thoughts, then he or she does not meet criteria for OCD. However, if the person is experiencing the thoughts as intrusive and feels the related behaviors (compulsions) are driven and distressing, then OCD could be considered. I have observed that when OCD is present as a comorbid condition, it is common for the compulsions to have a social component and involve other people. These include the need to tell, ask, request, or demand information or assurance from others. Observations from a caregiver may be necessary if the individual does not report a concern about this problem but is showing signs of distress while performing rituals (i.e., OCD with the poor insight specifier defined in DSM-IV-TR; American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER

Has the person experienced a traumatic event in his or her history? Begin by asking about the types of situations any patient could have experienced, such as an accident, physical assault, or sexual assault. If the individual denies all of these experiences, consider the likelihood that the patient has suffered repeated mistreatment by others at a far greater frequency than typical people. The school histories of many adults with AS include daily episodes of verbal teasing, taunting, and threatening and/or physical assaults by peers. DSM-IV-TR defines a traumatic event according to the patient’s subjective experience of the event. For many people with AS, the repeated episodes of mistreatment would meet criteria as traumatic events, involving “actual or threatened
death or serious injury” and a response involving “intense fear, helplessness, or horror” (American Psychiatric Association, 2000, p. 467). Their difficulty understanding social norms and expectations affects their knowledge about sexuality, and many have histories of traumatic sexual experiences. Is the person bothered by intrusive memories or images of such events? Is the person avoiding reminders of these events? Although difficult to differentiate from the emotion regulation problems observed in AS, the clinician should still investigate if the person is experiencing problems with overarousal in relation to the other symptoms listed above.

**GENERALIZED ANXIETY DISORDER**

Does the patient suffer from persistent worry? The patient may directly report chronic worrying as a problem, or the therapist may infer it from the patient’s behavior. For example, does the patient perseverate about a problem, bringing it up repeatedly even after it appears to have been solved? Again, the arousal symptoms are difficult to assess in these patients who are so prone to regulation problems. Nevertheless, does the patient’s persistent worries seem to be linked to restlessness, fatigue, difficulty concentrating, irritability, muscle tension, or sleep problems?

**Mood Disorders**

As described in Chapter 2, adults with AS are predisposed to mood disorders from genetic, neurobiological, and psychosocial perspectives. Once the diagnosis of AS or HFA has been established, the therapist should assume high risk for mood symptoms and should include questions in the assessment aimed at eliciting them in case they are present but not being reported clearly by the patient. Special considerations for assessing mood disorders in people with AS are described below. More comprehensive overviews of the theories and treatment of mood disorders can be found in Beck, Rush, Shaw, and Emery (1979), Ingram, Miranda, and Segal (1999), Persons et al. (2000), and Frank (2005).

**MAJOR DEPRESSIVE EPISODE**

A major depressive episode is often the event that motivates a person with AS to seek treatment. The chronic stress described above can “wear down” people to the point where they believe they are helpless. At that point they lose hope that they will achieve one or more of their life goals, and the classic symptoms of depression can set in. At intake they may state that they are experiencing sadness, hopelessness, loneliness, feelings of worthlessness, problems concentrating, fatigue, insomnia, or suicidal ideation. For others, the report is made by family members who have observed withdrawal from activities, irritable mood, sleep difficulties, loss of previously attained skills (e.g., self-care), and suicide threats. Again, keep in mind the unique communication style of the patient and remember that he or she may need to be asked specific and literal questions in order to elicit these types of experiences. Also consider that some of the problems inherent in an ASD can mimic depressive symptoms, such as appearing socially aloof/withdrawn, difficulty regulating affect, and disordered sleep. If the problems have been present and stable since childhood and are not accompanied by an equally stable sad mood, then they are more likely to be part of the core disorder of AS. However, if
the problems have a recent or marked onset and represent a change in the person’s usual way of functioning, then major depression should be pursued further. The Beck Depression Inventory—Second Edition (BDI-II; Beck, 1996) can be a useful screening instrument as well as a tool to measure progress, should depression become a target in the treatment plan. If you are convinced that depressive symptoms are currently or historically at play, the final diagnosis will depend on the severity of the symptoms and the history of other mood episodes in order to arrive at major depressive disorder (with modifiers about severity, chronicity, features, and state of remission) or dysthymia. If the patient is currently experiencing a depressive episode but has a history of manic or hypomanic symptoms, further exploration of a possible bipolar disorder should be conducted, as described in the next section.

MANIC AND HYPOMANIC EPISODES

As mentioned, some features of AS and HFA can mimic mania or hypomania. These features include emotion regulation problems, disordered sleep, and intense goal-directed activity related to a special interest. As specified in DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000), manic or hypomanic symptoms must represent an abnormal display of behavior that is not characteristic of that person’s usual way of functioning. Those criteria help the clinician rule out mania or hypomania as an explanation for stable patterns of behavior that have been present across the lifespan as part of the AS symptom picture. It is rare for a patient to come to my outpatient psychotherapy office in a florid manic state. However, a portion of people with ASDs have relatives with bipolar disorders, and there is some preliminary evidence that people on the autism spectrum may be genetically predisposed to bipolar disorder (DeLong & Nohria, 1994). A history of these symptoms must be explored, even if they are not being displayed at the time of intake. Some patients may come in for treatment after their manic symptoms have been stabilized pharmacologically, in which case the treating psychiatrist will become an important part of the treatment planning process. Only after looking at the severity and course of symptoms with the history of depressive episodes can a final diagnosis of bipolar I, bipolar II, or cyclothymia be made.

Personality Disorders

Assessing for the presence of a personality disorder is complicated when a patient already has a well-established ASD. DSM-IV-TR considers the presence of a PDD as a rule-out for only 2 of the 11 personality disorders listed in that volume: schizoid and schizotypal personality disorders. This leaves the possibility open for diagnosing any of the other 9 personality disorders as comorbid conditions for adult patients with AS. Why would it be necessary to add an Axis II diagnosis to explain problems related to dysfunctional interpersonal behaviors if we already have an explanation in AS? I am prone to argue that it is not. In fact, some patients who have been previously diagnosed with personality disorders demonstrate an “enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that deviates markedly from the expectations of the individual’s culture.” (DSM-IV-TR criterion for personality disorder, American Psychiatric Association, 2000, p. 689), which can be explained better by AS, and the personality disorder can be ruled out.
My reluctance to add a personality disorder diagnosis comes from my strengths-based approach with patients, which is part of a tendency not to want to “over-pathologize” the personalities of people with AS. Despite this bias, I occasionally find it necessary to include a personality disorder on Axis II for a patient with AS. I include this diagnosis when the impairment in the interpersonal functioning of the individual seems out of proportion to the level of social-cognitive impairment (the core deficit in AS) and is interfering with the person’s ability to engage in the learning process of therapy. For example, a person with AS alone may make offensive comments because he or she is simply lacking social information. Once that person receives the correct information about social norms and how to read nonverbal cues, he or she will be motivated to stop the behavior because he or she never wanted to offend. On the other hand, a person with AS who receives the proper information, understands it thoroughly, and continues to choose the offensive behavior, with the intention to offend, is exhibiting interpersonal problems that cannot be explained totally by the AS diagnosis.

The decision to give a personality disorder diagnosis is very subjective, because it is impossible to know with certainty all the etiological pathways leading up to the dysfunctional interpersonal relationships observed in a patient. It is easy to hypothesize that an individual with AS is vulnerable to the very factors thought to be instrumental in the development of personality disorder in the typical population: neurodevelopmental deficits, negative social/emotional experiences, and a failure to learn coping skills. For example, the core deficits of AS, a history of childhood sexual abuse, and institutional placement could combine to shape an adult patient’s current tendency toward demonstrating parasuicidal behavior. In another example, the core deficits of AS could interface with an upbringing by overly permissive parents who set no limits or rules, leaving the adult patient with no self-control skills and a learned set of strategies for getting his or her needs met that includes threats and physical violence toward others. Because these symptom pictures have some commonalities with those of typical patients diagnosed with personality disorders, it takes careful consideration to decide whether a comorbid Axis II diagnosis will add anything to the case conceptualization of an adult patient with AS.

Special considerations for assessing personality disorders in people with AS are presented below. In the interest of space, I present each disorder as part of the cluster to which it belongs. For a more thorough overview of personality disorders, see Young (1999) or Beck, Freeman, and Davis (2004).

CLUSTER A PERSONALITY DISORDERS

Individuals with Cluster A personality disorders—paranoid personality disorder, schizoid personality disorder, and schizotypal personality disorder—appear odd or eccentric. Paranoid personality disorder is the only one that can be considered in this cluster for a patient meeting criteria for AS, because DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) gives a PDD diagnostic precedence over the other two. Adults with AS very often have histories of being mistreated by peers and members of their communities. They may appear paranoid because they have developed a reasonable mistrust of others. A diagnosis of paranoid personality disorder would be appropriate only if the suspicion and mistrust were out of proportion to the actual negative experiences the person has had with others.
CLUSTER B PERSONALITY DISORDERS

Individuals with Cluster B personality disorders—antisocial personality disorder, borderline personality disorder, histrionic personality disorder, and narcissistic personality disorder—appear dramatic, emotional, or erratic. The emotion regulation and social cognition problems that are part of AS can mimic these disorders. A Cluster B disorder should be considered only if, according to the clinical judgment of the therapist, the symptoms go above and beyond what would be expected for AS. One rough way to make this differentiation is by assessing skills and intent. People with AS may have a pattern of doing things that are unkind, uncaring, offensive, or even harmful to other people. However, if these are mistakes made by people who are unable to extract the information they need from their environment in order to act in more considerate ways toward their fellow humans, and are doing these things without the intent to harm, then this behavior can be explained solely by considering the core deficits of AS. However, if a person with AS continually chooses to hurt or offend others even after he or she understands the consequences of his or her actions and has demonstrated the skills necessary to handle things in alternative ways, then a Cluster B personality disorder can be considered.

CLUSTER C PERSONALITY DISORDERS

Individuals with Cluster C personality disorders—avoidant personality disorder, dependent personality disorder, and obsessive–compulsive personality disorder—appear anxious or fearful. All of the Cluster C disorders represent personality styles that are commonly observed in people with AS. These patterns of relating seem to be learned coping strategies that these individual acquire to manage their AS symptoms. Once again, these diagnoses should be considered only if they are so pervasive and extreme that they interfere with the individual’s capacity to learn new information or alternative coping strategies in his or her current life. I have observed the presence of comorbid avoidant and dependent personality disorders in individuals with AS more often than any other personality disorders. The tendencies to avoid interpersonal involvement or to avoid making life decisions are to be expected, to some extent, in individuals with AS because of their core problems and learning histories. However, there are times when those patterns are out of proportion to the patient’s skill deficits and persistent in the face of new learning opportunities.

Assessment of Sexual Problems

As mentioned earlier, adults with AS are prone to a variety of impairments in sexual functioning. These problems are interwoven with the core deficits and other mental health problems discussed in this chapter. The sexuality education and support needs of adolescents with all types of developmental disabilities, including AS, have traditionally been neglected (Aston, 2003; Attwood, 2006b; Hénault, 2005; Koller, 2000; Matich-Maroney, Boyle, & Crocker, 2005; Murphy & Elias, 2006), so individuals enter adulthood ill-prepared to negotiate this complex domain of life. Psychosexual dysfunction leads to a host of painful experiences for the individual with AS.

Any treatment plan needs to address the issues underlying a patient’s report of sexual problems. The assessment will determine the goals and also clarify what type of intervention is needed. In some cases, a referral to a sexuality expert is warranted. It is
helpful to view the sexual problems of adults with AS along a continuum, as illustrated in the model described by Matich-Maroney et al. (2005). These authors discuss developmental disabilities more generically, but their approach to conceptualizing sexual problems is applicable to adults with AS. They define clinical issues along a continuum of five categories, which correspond to five levels of service needs, beginning from the most basic and ending with the most complex and specialized. The needs of adults with AS are framed similarly below.

**Sociosexual Knowledge**
Adults with AS may be lacking factual information about anatomy/physiology, sexually transmitted diseases, abuse prevention, gender definitions, and societal norms about social-sexual conduct. The treatment for these problems involves psychoeducation.

**Interpersonal Skills**
Adults with AS, because of social-cognitive deficits, may lack skills necessary to date, initiate an intimate relationship, or manage the demands of a committed relationship. Treatment would involve the same methods employed to improve all types of interpersonal relationship and are described in Chapter 6 on improving social skills.

**Symptoms of PTSD Related to Sexual Trauma**
Anxiety symptoms presented by adult patients may be related to a history of sexual abuse, assault, or repeated incidents of embarrassment by peers. Treatment would involve CBT for PTSD.

**Sexual Disorders**
Adults with AS may experience any of the DSM-defined sexual dysfunctions (disorders of sexual desire, sexual aversion, sexual arousal, orgasm, sexual pain) and paraphilias (exhibitionism, fetishism, frotteurism, pedophilia, sexual masochism, sexual sadism, voyeurism). When assessing a possible paraphilia in an adult with AS, it is important to consider that the behavior displayed may be “counterfeit deviance.” This term was used first by Hingsburger, Griffiths, and Quinsey (1991) to describe behavior that is topographically similar to a paraphilia but is actually a manifestation of deficient education or experience during sexual development, as seen in adults with developmental disabilities. These factors include lack of information about sexual expression, poor social skills, and limited opportunities to interact in typical ways with same-age peers. Depending on the experience of the individual therapist, specialized treatment for sexual disorders may be integrated into sessions, or the patient may be referred to an expert for concurrent treatment.

**Sexually Offensive Behavior**
When the problems listed above are more severe, adults with AS may demonstrate behavior in the community that is illegal. In rare cases, these offenses cannot be simply
explained as “counterfeit deviance.” The treatment in these cases would be *specialized treatment for sex offenders* carried out by an expert in forensic issues.

**Assessment of Strengths and Resiliency Factors**

Adult patients with AS who have chosen to enter psychotherapy are survivors. The older they are, the longer they have lived with myriad problems in an overwhelming world and without any good explanation as to why the simplest things in life are such a struggle for them. When I hear their stories, I am often surprised by the *persistence* of some to keep trying to improve their lives, despite an immeasurable amount of pain. When I first started working with these patients, I often felt the urge to ask, “How the *hell* did you manage to come this far?”—but I did not necessarily say that out loud. Over the years, however, I have learned that questions like that are a crucial part of the intake interview; the information yielded from this line of inquiry is invaluable in the treatment planning process. These questions serve as an intervention for some patients because while answering them, they view themselves from new perspectives.

**What Strategies Has the Patient Learned to Compensate for Deficits?**

Most patients have made adaptations in their lives to minimize the impact of particular deficits, even if they are not able to articulate them easily. For example, one man had learned that the social demands of his job depleted his energy so much that he could not socialize successfully on Friday nights. For years he had gone out on Friday nights but was withdrawn and tense. However, if he went out on Saturday nights, he was more relaxed and able to focus on the people with whom he was socializing. He had therefore made the wise adaptation to schedule social events on Saturday nights and to keep Friday nights free for a solitary relaxing activity.

**To What Extent Does the Patient Use Natural Self-Observation to Learn?**

Some patients are quite good at telling the therapist what they have noticed about themselves and how they have tried to make changes based on their observations. In the example above, it was the man’s self-observation that had allowed him to realize he was sullen on Friday nights and more socially engaging on Saturday nights. I have noticed that the cliché about wisdom coming with age holds true for adults with AS. The older they are, the more they have managed to learn and change on their own. Some of the most innovative ideas have come from patients who were well into their 40s or 50s when they were first diagnosed with AS. These individuals had to live a long time without any intervention for their problems and were left to figure a lot out on their own. The therapist can elicit these adaptations and use them as building blocks for the treatment plan.

**In What Healthy Lifestyle Practices Does the Patient Engage?**

Is the person attentive to healthy eating? Does the person exercise regularly? Does the person use meditative, spiritual, or religious practices to relax? Any aspects of the per-
son’s lifestyle that are health promoting (but not obsessive, which must be assessed) should be highlighted and reinforced.

**In What Adaptive Ways Does the Patient Cope with Stress?**

How does the person recognize stress? What does he or she do to reduce tension? Some people use their special interest (e.g., aviation, model railroading, astronomy) as a means of reducing stress and increasing relaxation. Although family members are sometimes concerned about the immersion in a subject as being obsessive, rightly so, care should be taken to preserve the activity, even if the amount of time spent on it is reduced in order to make room for other necessary tasks of daily living.

**In What Ways Has the Patient Been Successful In Relationships?**

Many adult patients have made one or two friends, if not more, by the time they enter treatment. These patients should be asked to reflect on aspects of those friendships in order to understand what they are doing right. One 19-year-old man told me about two friends he has had since grade school. As a college student, he and these friends continued to get together every week. When asked what these friends liked about him, he said, “I haven’t the slightest idea.” A full session of exploration led him to finally realize that they liked the same activities he did (the latest video games). He also reported that they appeared to laugh a lot when he made jokes—an observation that had not meant anything to him until I focused on it, leading to the conclusion they must like his sense of humor.

**What Are the Patient’s Talents, Interests, and Hobbies?**

Individuals with AS often have very focused interests in one or more topics or activities. In its most extreme form, this phenomenon is considered a “symptom” (e.g., in DSM-IV-TR, “encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest that is abnormal either in intensity or focus”; American Psychiatric Association, 2000, p. 84). But if expressed in moderation (meaning the person is able to make room for practicing necessary tasks of daily living), the person’s interest can provide him or her with a powerful link to self-sufficiency and life satisfaction. Imagine a successful person whom you really admire. Do you think that person could have achieved what he or she did without intense interest and focus in the area of success? Helping patients with AS preserve the pursuit of their interests and talent is a crucial part in any treatment plan, so the therapist must devote some part of the assessment to learning about that aspect of each patient’s life.

**How Does the Patient Use Humor?**

For reasons already reviewed, many patients report some difficulty understanding other people’s jokes or knowing when someone is joking versus not joking. However, they can be quite adept at making jokes, and their unconventional view of the world contributes to a “quirky” sense of humor that many typical people will enjoy. Once a therapist and patient have achieved a certain level of familiarity with one another,
humor can be used during therapy sessions to reinforce the learning process. Any patient who has a natural, preexisting enjoyment of humor brings an important strength to social situations as he or she gets better at reading other people and practicing the right timing of jokes.

**What Are the Likable Features of the Patient's Personality?**

Therapists should remain objective with all patients, of course. However, with patients who have social skill difficulties, the therapist can use his or her own personal reactions to the patient as a gauge for how others are probably reacting to him or her in the real world. The style of interacting in the therapist's office is a behavioral sample of what might be going on when the individual interacts with peers. Do you like this person? If so, what is it about his or her personality that you find appealing? Where is the patient on the dimensions of extroversion–introversion; optimism–pessimism; humorous–humorless? Whether or not one person likes another is a rather subjective decision. Nevertheless, whatever characteristics you like about the patient, there is a good chance that at least some other people would like those features, too. Therefore, those characteristics should be highlighted. Also, the factors that may be blocking others from seeing these characteristics should be explored so that their reduction can be incorporated into the goals of the treatment plan.

**What Is the Quality of the Patient's Social Support?**

People with AS tend to have less social support than typical people. Nevertheless, there is great variability within the AS population in terms of the quality and quantity of their relationships. Questions about this area should include the following. Remember that quantity does not mean quality; any relationship can be helpful and/or a source of stress for the person.

- Is there a spouse or significant other? Does the patient perceive that person as supportive?
- Does the patient see friends regularly? Does the patient perceive these friends to be supportive?
- Are there parents involved? Does the patient perceive them to be supportive?
- Are there siblings involved? Does the patient perceive them to be supportive?
- Does the patient belong to any community-based groups, such as clubs, sports leagues/teams, religious groups, support groups? How does the patient perceive the relationships with the people in these groups?
- Does the patient have any social service staff involved in his or her life, such as case managers or supportive living staff? Are they viewed as helpful, unhelpful, or intrusive?

**Creating the Problem List and Setting Preliminary Goals**

The goal-oriented approach of CBT is explained to the patient from the very beginning of the intake process. By the end of the assessment phase, the therapist and patient should be able to identify the key areas of the person’s life that are most problematic...
and therefore most in need of change. The patient is asked to generate goals by describing what he or she hopes to change. Some patients with AS are subject to stating expectations that are too concrete or extreme. A tendency toward all-or-nothing thinking and problems with executive functions (e.g., planning) means that the therapist has to be active in helping to phrase the patient’s objectives in attainable terms. Here is an example of a discussion that came from the intake of Salvador, who was introduced in Chapter 1. These types of discussions usually happen at the end of the first or second intake session.

THERAPIST: Just to summarize, now, you have come here to address problems with anger and getting disappointed with other people. You also want to learn more about your recent diagnosis of Asperger syndrome. What would you like to see change? In other words, how would life be different for you in, let’s say, 6 months, if you could change something?

PATIENT: I want to stop people from ruining my day and making me feel like a loser. I also want to stop being hard on myself.

THERAPIST: OK, so you want to see if there is a way for you to be less upset by the interactions you have with other people and also to feel more confident in yourself around other people?

PATIENT: Absolutely.

THERAPIST: Notice I changed the wording a little bit. You said you wanted to stop people from ruining your day and making you feel like a loser. Because those people will not be here with us during our sessions, we won’t have much control over them. But we will have access to your perception of them and your reactions to them, so that is why I suggested that we look for a way for you to manage that differently so that you will not be so upset by them.

PATIENT: Yeah. I don’t want to feel like a loser. If you could help me control that feeling, I would not get so upset, I think.

THERAPIST: We can definitely work on that. You can learn strategies to challenge that “loser” idea about yourself. Do you think that would also help you to be less hard on yourself, which is the other goal you mentioned?

PATIENT: Yes. Yeah, I am extra hard on myself because I am trying not to be a loser. So, yeah. It’s all connected . . . definitely all connected.

Note that the therapist gave an explanation when she changed the wording of the patient’s objective. This is an important way to maintain respect for the patient while building trust.

Once the therapist and patient agree about the most pertinent problems on which to work, they can begin to form hypotheses about the factors contributing to those problems, and those hypotheses will ultimately provide a rationale for the interventions chosen. Gardner and Sovner’s (1994) biopsychosocial case formulation model provides multifactorial way of thinking about patients’ problems. Designed to assess severe, aberrant behavior in mentally retarded individuals, their approach was meant for a population quite different from adults with AS. However, I have found their grid format useful and have adapted it as a worksheet for conceptualizing therapy cases.
(Figure 3.1). The vulnerability model for mental health problems in AS, which was introduced in Chapter 2 (Figure 2.4), serves as the outline for hypothesis generation. By the end of the initial assessment, the therapist should be able to list the problems on this sheet and then apply the vulnerability model to explain the unique way in which factors are interacting to produce the problems for this patient.

**CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

This chapter covered the key strategies for conducting an intake with an adult patient and gathering the necessary information to make a diagnosis and define the target problems for treatment. A thorough assessment lays the foundation for the case conceptualization that will lead to the individualized treatment plan. Chapter 4 outlines this process, illustrating the utility of a case formulation worksheet.
FIGURE 3.1. Case formulation worksheet.
From Valerie L. Gaus (2007). Copyright by The Guilford Press. Permission to photocopy this figure is granted to purchasers of this book for personal use only (see copyright page for details).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schemas</th>
<th>Self</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>World</th>
<th>Future</th>
<th>Origins</th>
<th>Activating Events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antecedents (A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consequences (C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengths and Resiliency Factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential Obstacles to Treatment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prevention Strategies for Obstacles:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 3.1.** (continued)
The purpose of this chapter is to present a case formulation worksheet to help the therapist form hypotheses about presenting problems and choose evidence-based interventions that are driven by the formulation. Hypothesis generation is the most crucial step in the treatment of any problem, and the more complex the problem presentation is, the more important it is to put great effort into this stage. Because AS in adulthood is a complex problem, the formulation process is illustrated in detail in this chapter. If the therapist can make educated guesses about what is causing or maintaining the patient’s problems, then the decisions about which interventions to try first can be made more easily. Persons et al. (2000) discuss the importance of combining nomothetic and idiographic bases when formulating cases. They describe how to generate hypotheses based on empirically supported theories about the presenting problem (nomothetic) and to individualize them according to the unique set of factors that is influencing the patient in his or her life (idiographic). This method allows the therapist to avoid “shot in the dark” approaches that patients with complex problems have often, unfortunately, experienced in their previous treatments. This chapter demonstrates how the therapist can create an individualized (idiographic) model to explain the problems of the adult patient with AS, and then use that model to choose treatment approaches.

CASE FORMULATION

Case Formulation Worksheet

The case formulation worksheet introduced at the end of Chapter 3 (Figure 3.1) serves several purposes. It is more than just a form that needs to be filled out; it is a framework for thinking about the case. It can be viewed as a tool to cue the therapist to ask a wide variety of questions and to consider multiple causes for the presenting problems. The model worksheet was designed to be comprehensive, so it includes many questions about causal factors. Some clinicians may find it complicated or “user-unfriendly” for that reason. While some readers who use it as a training or practice model may use it
exactly as it is presented here, others may streamline it or design their own. Some may keep the form in front of them from the beginning of the intake session on, whereas others may be able to adopt a mental representation of the model. Regardless of therapist style, a multitude of internal and external factors must be considered to explain the problems of adults with AS before a comprehensive treatment plan can be designed.

The worksheet is used throughout the chapters ahead to repeatedly illustrate the multifactorial approach that is encouraged when treating adults with AS. Figure 4.1 presents the worksheet again with added instructions for each section. The general (nomothetic) model of vulnerability to mental health problems in AS was already presented in Chapter 2 and is not reiterated here. However, the “Causal or Maintenance Factors” listed on the left-hand side of the sheet serve as a “cheat sheet” for remembering the major components of that model. Before this sheet can be filled in, a thorough assessment must be completed. As described in Chapter 3, the therapist will use several assessment approaches across multiple sessions before this phase can be completed.

**Using the Worksheet to Formulate Bob’s Case**

The case of Bob, introduced in Chapter 1, is used to illustrate this tool, and his case formulation is shown in Figure 4.2. He was chosen because of the multiple comorbid conditions he had along with his AS, which is typical when treating this population. The intervention, outcome, and goal sections are deliberately left blank to emphasize the need to have a comprehensive set of hypotheses before deciding on interventions.

**Basic Background Information**

The top section of the sheet should be filled in with relevant demographic information, as the example shows for Bob. Psychotropic medications and the physician prescribing them are included as a reminder to consider them while generating hypotheses.

**Problem List**

Underneath the demographic information, the problem list and diagnosis should be written out before hypotheses are generated. Logically, it is important for the therapist and patient to be clear on what the problems are before they can be explained by hypotheses. The problems should also be listed in order of priority, with the first one being the most acutely disruptive to the patient’s life.

To briefly review, Bob came into therapy because of what his family reported as an extreme reaction to the World Trade Center disaster. He lived on the outskirts of New York City and watched TV coverage of the events all day on September 11; he did not suffer tangible loss from the attacks, nor did anyone he knew. Nevertheless, he began to experience extreme anxiety within the first several days following the attacks. He questioned his family members repeatedly about the event, how it could have happened, and if it would happen again. These episodes of grilling questioning occurred between 10–20 times each day and were accompanied by insomnia, frequent angry outbursts, a loss of motivation to take care of personal hygiene and grooming, neglect of diabetes regimen (diet, exercise, daily blood sugar readings, insulin shots), and a sense of hope-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schemas</th>
<th>What strategies will modify maladaptive schemas?</th>
<th>How will the schema-changing interventions help attain the global therapy goals?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>How does schema about self cause/maintain problem(s)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>How does schema about others cause/maintain problem(s)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>How does schema about the world cause/maintain problem(s)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future</td>
<td>How does schema about the future cause/maintain problem(s)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origins</td>
<td>What are the historical origins of schemata?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activating Events</td>
<td>What large- or small-scale events activate distorted thinking?</td>
<td>(Consider role of Axis IV specified stressors here.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior Antecedents (A)</th>
<th>How are the patient’s overt behaviors causing/maintaining problem(s)?</th>
<th>What strategies will modify antecedents and consequences of maladaptive behavior in order to bring about behavioral change?</th>
<th>How will the behavioral interventions help attain the global therapy goals?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consequences (C)</td>
<td>What are the antecedents for these behaviors?</td>
<td>(Consider role of Axis IV specified stressors here again.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>How are consequences causing/maintaining behavior?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Strengths and Resiliency Factors | What strengths and coping strategies of the patient have served as protective or resiliency factors? | How can patient’s strengths and talents be optimized and used as tools for the intervention plan? | How will the utilization of patient strengths and talents help attain the global therapy goals? |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential Obstacles to Treatment</th>
<th>Prevention Strategies for Obstacles:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 4.1. (continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem List</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Obsessions—intrusive thoughts about terrorist attacks, worrying about impending acts of terrorism</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Compulsive behavior—perseverative questioning of family members about terrorism</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Depressed mood (BDI = 51)—extreme irritability, hopelessness, poor self-worth, recurrent thoughts of death</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social isolation—premorbid social skill deficits</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causal or Maintenance Factors</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Hypothesis-Based Interventions</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Unstable blood sugar levels could contribute to mood instability. The rigors of caring for diabetes are chronic stressors. Original diagnosis with the disease at age 21 was traumatic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Problems of AS/HFA</td>
<td>Difficulty attending to nonverbal communication of other people, difficulty with perspective taking, flat affect and poor expression of own mental states and needs. All deficits contribute to misattributions that result in social anxiety, anger, and reinforce negative schema about others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Cognition</td>
<td>Mild tactile sensitivity may affect self-care.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Regulation</td>
<td>Planning and organization deficits make basic independent living tasks overwhelming and reinforce negative schema about self. Cognitive rigidity makes adaptation to change very difficult.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schemas</td>
<td>&quot;I am helpless and powerless.&quot; &quot;I cannot take care of myself.&quot; &quot;I am defective.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self</td>
<td>&quot;Others must take care of me.&quot; &quot;Others must protect me from harm.&quot; &quot;People are usually out for themselves.&quot; &quot;People are not trustworthy.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
Bob was aware that he did not function the same way as other students in elementary school (due to a learning disability). A sense of not fitting in made him feel vulnerable. Peers were unkind to him due to his behavioral differences. He relied on his parents to advocate for him, but in adolescence began resenting them for not protecting him from stressors. The trauma of the diabetes diagnosis strengthened his belief that his parents were failing him, because they could not protect him from the disease.

Small scale—any situation that Bob perceives to include pressure to take care of self, pressure to achieve social success, reminders that parents may not be able to take care of him.

Large-scale precipitants—9/11, which reactivated the traumatic aspects of his diabetes diagnosis 8 years before, triggering beliefs that others should be able to protect him from all bad things and that they have failed him.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavior Antecedents (A)</th>
<th>Consequences (C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Repetitive questioning of family members; seeking reassurance about terrorism. | A—Intermittent exposure to TV or Internet reports about 9/11 and increased anxiety.  
C—reassuring statements by family members, which result in temporary reduction in anxiety. |
| 2. Social withdrawal/passivity | A—social situations where Bob is uncertain about the other people and/or how he should behave; lack of conversation skills.  
C—anxiety reduction results from withdrawal; passivity relieves pressure. |
| 3. Verbally aggressive behavior (sudden outbursts of anger toward others) | A—social situations where Bob attributes negative intent to another person's behavior and escape is not possible; lack of assertiveness skills.  
C—other people act hostile toward Bob and reject him, reinforcing negative schema about others. |

**Strengths and Resiliency Factors**

Bob is bright, articulate, has enjoyed writing and believes he expresses himself best that way, can use humor as a coping strategy, and has formed positive connections with some people. He also was active in a bowling league and frequently played tennis prior to 9/11.

**Potential Obstacles to Treatment:**

1.  
2.  
3.  

**Prevention Strategies for Obstacles:**

1.  
2.  
3.
lessness about the future. He had a history of previous depressive episodes as well as problems with interpersonal relationships and social skills. The referring psychologist had mentioned the possibility that Bob’s developmental history was indicative of an ASD. Although all of the problems were seen as equally important, on the worksheet they were broken down into five categories, prioritized from most acute to the more chronic. The problem list for Bob was:

1. **Obsessions**—intrusive thoughts about terrorist attacks, worrying about impending acts of terrorism
2. **Compulsive behavior**—perseverative questioning of family members about terrorism
3. **Depressed mood** (BDI = 51)—extreme irritability, hopelessness, poor self-worth, recurrent thoughts of death
4. **Avoidance of self-care**—negligence of diabetes regimen, dependence on parents in all activities of daily living
5. **Social isolation**—premorbid social skills deficits

**Diagnosis**

Here the therapist provides the five-axis diagnosis of the presenting problems in DSM-IV-TR terms (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Bob’s diagnosis is outlined below.

**AXIS I**

Bob’s symptom presentation initially suggested PTSD because he indeed experienced the events of 9/11 as traumatic and showed some of the symptoms of PTSD (diminished interest in activities, estrangement from others, sense of foreshortened future, sleep difficulties, irritability, hypervigilance). However, PTSD was ruled out because his intrusive thoughts did not involve a reexperiencing of the events of that day; he was not having intrusive memories or images of the things he saw or heard on 9/11. Rather, he was preoccupied with the possibility that the country was going to be attacked again, and that his personal safety might be threatened. These thoughts met criteria for obsessions in that they were recurrent, intrusive, and recognized by Bob as irrational on some level; he described his awareness that everyone around him was frightened by the events of 9/11 and that it was realistic to have some concern, but he noticed that other people were able to function despite their worries. He believed that his fears were excessive because they were interfering with his ability to function, and he wished he could make the thoughts stop. He attempted to neutralize them by engaging in a questioning ritual with his family members—a behavior that met criteria for a compulsion, the “requesting or demanding reassurance” type, under OCD. His depressed mood qualified as a major depressive episode, accompanied by diminished interest in activities, insomnia, psychomotor retardation, loss of energy, and feelings of worthlessness. He also had recurring thoughts of death, but they were related to the impending terrorist attacks. The current severity (BDI = 51) and his history of at least two previous episodes (at ages 21 and 26) led to the diagnosis of major depressive disorder with severe and chronic specifiers. The third diagnosis given on Axis I, AS, came from a review of his developmental and social history. From at
least preschool age, his parents recalled problems he had in social interaction, such as poor eye contact, lack of social reciprocity, odd facial grimaces, and problems making friends. He also adhered rigidly to routines and would become highly distressed whenever there were changes in the schedule. He had some associated features, such as motor clumsiness, excessive memory for details, tactile sensitivity (would not wear certain clothes), and high pain tolerance. Although these symptoms changed in form through the years, they carried over into his adulthood.

AXIS II

Bob was extremely dependent on his parents in every area of functioning and, at the time of the intake, was not responsible for any aspect of managing himself. This situation could be expected, given the severity of his Axis I symptoms. However, there were indications that he had been overly reliant on his parents’ help even before the current episode of anxiety and depression. The therapist had questions about a possible dependent personality disorder but could not make the diagnosis with confidence because it was difficult to differentiate acute from chronic symptoms, given the complexity of the presenting clinical picture. It was also difficult to judge the severity of his AS for the same reasons. The decision was made to assess personality disorder at a later date if improvement could be achieved for the acute anxiety and depression. This diagnosis was therefore preceded with a “rule out” indicator.

AXIS III

Diabetes, Bob’s only medical problem, was listed here.

AXIS IV

The ongoing media coverage of the terrorist attacks and their implications was intense for several months after 9/11, and the content was fear-inducing for most people. Bob’s intermittent exposure to news stories was a source of stress. Other chronic stressors that had existed in his life before 9/11 were unemployment, family conflict, social isolation, and the demands of caring for diabetes.

AXIS V

Bob’s Global Assessment of Functioning score at intake was 30, which represented his inability to function in almost all areas of his life.

Hypothesis about Causal and Maintenance Factors

All the major categories of factors that could be maintaining mental health problems in adults with AS are listed on the left side of the form: medical, core problems of AS/HFA, schemas, and behavior.

MEDICAL

Medical factors are mentioned first because of the importance of ruling out physical causes of emotional and behavioral problems for any new psychotherapy patient. All medical issues listed on Axis III should be considered in terms of the role they may be playing in the current presenting problems. Of course, nonmedical psychotherapists
will not intervene directly on medical issues, but it is still important to think about the powerful impact these issues can have in terms of perpetuating and maintaining the problems that will be addressed in therapy.

The section devoted to hypotheses about medical factors maintaining Bob’s presenting problems begins with consideration of the role of diabetes in his state of being. At the physical level, mood instability could be exacerbated by blood sugar fluctuations. Psychologically, the daily tasks that must be devoted to caring for the disease were overwhelming to Bob. These included following a diet, exercising, taking blood sugar levels twice a day, and injecting insulin. From a historical perspective, receiving the initial diagnosis of diabetes 8 years before had been traumatic to him, and he had not ever successfully adjusted to it.

CORE PROBLEMS OF AS

Bob has difficulty attending to, and making use of, the nonverbal communication of other people—that is, a problem with social cognition. He often misses important information that others convey nonverbally, and therefore he misattributes their behavior. These misattributions feed his perspective-taking problem, in that he has difficulty imagining what another person may be thinking or feeling. For example, if his mother is in a hurry in the morning because she is running late for her job, Bob interprets her spending less time than usual on his breakfast as a rejection of him. He misses the cues she gives about her own lateness, such as watching the clock, walking quickly through the house, and frantically searching for her keys, and assumes that she is slighting him for some other reason. This problem impedes his ability to form satisfying relationships, because other people assume he is selfish, and he assumes he is being rejected, even when he is not. There are obvious implications for his depression, as well, which is discussed further in the section on schemas. His parents expressed concerns that, even before 9/11, Bob dressed in a sloppy way and never liked to wear neat clothing. However, tactile sensitivities that were evident since early childhood (seemingly odd clothes preferences) suggested that he only feels comfortable in well-worn cotton garments. Finally, EF deficits, seen in poor planning and organizational ability, cause daily living tasks to be overwhelming for him, especially the diabetes regimen. Cognitive rigidity and difficulty changing mind-sets impede his ability to adjust to changes in his routine or environment.

SCHEMAS

Bob’s presenting problems were being maintained by a number of maladaptive schemas, which he had developed throughout his life and which were influencing his mood, thoughts, and behavior. They are outlined below:

- **Self**: “I am helpless and powerless”; “I cannot take care of myself”; “I am defective.”
- **Others**: “Others must take care of me”; “Others must protect me from harm”; “People are usually out for themselves”; “People are not trustworthy.”
- **World**: “The world is an unsafe place”; “The world gives people what they deserve.”
- **Future**: “The future is full of danger that is unpredictable”; “I will never be normal.”
Origins. As a child with learning and developmental problems, Bob knew he was different from his siblings and peers from an early age. As a special education teacher herself, his mother was his advocate with the school system. Bob saw her efforts as “pushy,” and he often felt that she pressured him to be “normal.” His father went through bouts of depressed mood and made negative and pessimistic comments about many different things, including Bob’s academic and social progress. Bob came to resent the mixed messages he got from both parents. His odd mannerisms and lack of social skills led to victimization and bullying from peers throughout his school years. The diagnosis of diabetes reinforced his belief that his parents have failed him, because they are supposed to protect him from all negative things. The responsibility that it placed in his hands (the rigors of the self-care for diabetes) overwhelmed and angered him, and he blamed his parents for the situation. He avoided adult responsibilities and risks because he was not confident that he could succeed at an independent life, but also because he was afraid that if he did succeed, his parents would be “too happy” and expect too much. In addition, he believed that he could protect himself from any further mistreatment, such as the type he had suffered in school, by acting belligerently toward people who tried to interact with him.

Schema-Activating Events (Stressors). Exposure to the news media was the most immediate schema-activating event, and it triggered thoughts about danger, helplessness, and a need to be taken care of. Small-scale activating events that existed before and after 9/11 included any situation that involved pressure to take care of himself or to achieve social success; these would activate his maladaptive schemas, which all fit a theme of helplessness, dependence on others, and a simultaneous mistrust of others. The large-scale precipitant for the current anxiety and mood episode was the World Trade Center disaster, which reactivated the traumatic aspects of an earlier major event, his diabetes diagnosis. Both events activated a schema that others must protect him from harm, accompanied by his belief that others are not trustworthy, as evidenced by his parents’ failure to protect him from diabetes and “the government’s” failure to protect him from terrorist attacks.

BEHAVIOR

Bob’s immediate behavioral problem—compulsive questioning of family members about terrorism—was being reinforced in a classic cycle of OCD. The antecedent was exposure to news media. His passive approach to consuming news information led to his stumbling on information without having planned it. Bob was only catching “snippets” of information on TV and the Internet, but these were enough to activate the cycle as follows: He would receive a small amount of information from the news media → have repetitive intrusive thoughts about impending terrorist attacks → experience an increase in anxiety → seek out parents for information and reassurance by asking them repeatedly about the likelihood of future attacks → receive various reassuring statements (consequence) from them → experience temporary relief from anxiety (a consequence that negatively reinforced the questioning behavior) → experience a recurrence of intrusive thoughts → experience an increase in anxiety → seek out parents . . . and the cycle would repeat again. His angry outbursts also fit into the cycle; he would scream and curse at his parents if they did not provide the types of reassuring statements he was seeking.
**Strengths and Resiliency Factors**

Bob is bright, articulate, and has enjoyed writing in the past. He even thought of becoming a journalist at one point while he was in college. Bob can use humor as a coping strategy and can form positive connections with some people, as evidenced by the enjoyment he anticipates when he knows he will be visiting his two young nieces. He also has some friends. Before 9/11, he participated on a bowling league and played tennis on a regular basis.

**Summary of Bob's Formulation**

After completing the list of hypotheses, it is always helpful to pause and summarize the conceptualization in a concise statement that represents a more cohesive way of thinking about the case:

Bob’s obsessions about impending acts of terrorism are being triggered by his unplanned, intermittent exposure to news media. His passive approach to consuming news information contributes to his sense of helplessness; instead of seeking out reliable sources of information, the way most adults do, he waits until he overhears or stumbles on bits of information. His belief that his parents should protect him from all bad things leads him to turn to them in order gain reassurance, which he does through a compulsive questioning ritual. When they answer his questions, his anxiety is temporarily alleviated and the questioning compulsion reinforced. When his parents attempt to refrain from answering, he uses angry outbursts and threats to get them to comply—and this compliance reinforces his aggressive approach. His depression is maintained by a sense of helplessness that he feels, not only in the wake of 9/11, but also about having diabetes, being unemployed, and having a long history of social failures.

Bob has had AS-related learning deficits since early childhood, including problems with (1) reading and interpreting the behavior of others, (2) expressive communication, and (3) planning and organizing tasks. These problems have contributed to his struggle to get along with people and make friends and have also made it harder for him to learn to take care of himself as he reached the age at which most people seek independent living. His diabetes diagnosis at age 21 exacerbated these issues, because he viewed himself as incapable of handling it; he could not manage the responsibilities of self-care, nor could he accept that his parents could not protect him from the disease. These factors have contributed to his view of himself as defective and incapable, and his view of others as untrustworthy and “out for themselves.” His belief that people get what they deserve in this world contributes to his blaming himself for being defective, but also blaming his parents for having “produced defective offspring” and then failing to protect their child. In that sense he believes they deserve to be “saddled” with him and to continue attending to his every need. Bob’s passive approach to life ultimately reinforces his belief that he is helpless and perpetuates his frustration about his life not moving forward. His cognitive rigidity makes it more difficult for him than typical people to modify his maladaptive schemas so that he can adapt to change.

**TREATMENT PLAN**

After hypotheses are generated for the possible contributing factors, interventions can be specified. The more care and thought that is put into the formulation, the easier it
should be to choose therapeutic strategies designed to directly target the hypothesized causal factors. The goals should be set at the same time and represent the measurable ways in which the presenting problems are expected to change. The outcomes represent the specific changes that each intervention elicits toward the more global goal attainment.

**A Philosophical Word about Change and AS:**
“Don’t Throw the Baby Out with the Bathwater.”

Before continuing to discuss the use of the treatment formulation worksheet, it is worth spending some time considering the philosophy of change that one may carry through the treatment planning process. It is important for therapists to think about the vision they share with the patient for the quality-of-life improvements that are expected, as well as ideas about what parts of the patient’s lifestyle are worth preserving. Therapy goals address both of these, but they must be consistent with a more global view of the patient’s life. Before the goals can be made realistic, the therapist and patient must together consider the following broad questions: What can be changed? Are those things that should be changed? Which things should not be changed? Which things are not possible to change? How can those things be coped with and accepted?

I have developed a general philosophy about change for adults with AS that is based on a need to decrease distress while preserving and building strengths. Recalling the quote from the mission statement of the Global and Regional Asperger Syndrome Partnership (GRASP) that was offered in the Introduction to this book, adults with AS are expressing their desire to “maximize the talents . . . harness the unique capabilities and celebrate the accomplishments . . . minimize the damage . . . [and] reduce the harm caused when our behavior diverges from non-autistic norms” (GRASP, 2003, p. 3). At the beginning of treatment for any adult with AS, I hope that the symptoms that are causing distress can be reduced, that relationships can become more satisfying, and that any obstacles to the patient’s achievement of personal life goals can be reduced. In order to create a treatment vision, I consider how the person is functioning along three crude dimensions. This is a value-driven idea that is based on my personal experience with these patients.

| Satisfied | Dissatisfied |
| Likable | Unlikable |
| "Normal looking" | "Weird looking" |

The first dimension, satisfaction, refers to the subjective sense of well-being a patient feels about major areas of life functioning, including relationships, occupation, and self-sufficiency. Most patients who come into therapy wish for more satisfaction in at least one of these areas.

The second dimension, which refers to likability, is not always a problem for patients with AS, but most are looking to improve in this area because they have experienced alienation in their pasts. Likability refers to the possession of characteristics that typical people in the patient’s own culture/society would find appealing (this an obvious oversimplification of a complex issue). Examples of appealing characteristics include showing interest in others, attempting to share experiences with others, exhib-
iting talent or intelligence, expressing and responding to humor, and respecting other people’s rights. Conversely, *unlikable* characteristics that others typically find unappealing include ignoring others, saying hurtful or offensive things to others, expressing self-pity, appearing humorless, practicing poor hygiene, or doing anything that infringes on another person’s rights.

The third dimension is deliberately defined in lay terms because it is an estimate of how much typical people would judge the person to be “normal looking” or “weird looking.” This dimension refers to the extent to which a person would blend into a crowd as opposed to exhibiting characteristics that would make him or her stand out. Examples include idiosyncratic habits, mannerisms, unusual voice quality, unusual phrasing, unique wardrobe choices, and uncommon favorite topics or hobbies. Although such behaviors may draw attention, they do not directly affect others in a destructive way. In other words, even at their weirdest, these “quirks” are basically harmless to others. Patients with AS vary quite a bit from one another in terms of where they fall on this dimension (i.e., how obvious their idiosyncrasies are).

When patients come into therapy, they are usually looking to move themselves closer to the left side of all three dimensions: toward satisfaction, likability, and “normalcy.” However, as mentioned previously, they are not all impaired to the same degree. The worst-case scenario would be seen in a person who is dissatisfied with most domains of life, unlikable to most people, and weird looking. Despite the variability among individuals with AS on these dimensions, many people, including patients, assume that the second and third dimensions are the same thing; that being weird means being unlikable, or that being unlikable means being weird. It is important to discuss this issue during the goal-setting stage of therapy, because patients who have self-awareness about their peculiarities may say “If I am weird, than no one will ever like me.” However, I believe that this is an erroneous assumption and have observed that these dimensions work fairly independently. It is true that the behaviors that make someone unlikable may sometimes overlap with the things that make them appear weird, but if a person is likable, his or her weird quirks will be tolerated more easily by others. In fact, the more likable a person is, the more his or her quirks will be seen as charming or endearing. Likewise, if a person is relatively normal looking but not very likable, he or she is less likely to find satisfaction in his or her life.

For these reasons my vision for a patient is usually focused more on the first two dimensions. The quality of life can be improved for a person if he or she can find satisfaction in the interpersonal and work domains of life, and if he or she can change behavior enough to be more likable. I am much less concerned about weirdness if the first two objectives can be achieved. A person who is normal looking and has few quirks but is also nasty, self-absorbed, withdrawn, pessimistic, and humorless, is not going to do as well as a person who is very weird looking, eccentric, and quirky but has an interest in other people, shares their talents and intelligence, seeks to share experiences, has an optimistic attitude, and enjoys humor. I rarely focus on changing eccentricities as long as they do not interfere with life satisfaction and the connections the individual desires to make with others.

I usually share this three-dimensional philosophy with patients who are concerned about their AS diagnosis and what it means for prognosis. In fact, when patients express concern that their weirdness is going to get in their way, I usually say, “We are shooting for life satisfaction and to be likable–weird. If you can learn how to be more
comfortable with people and to help them be comfortable with you, then you can afford be quite weird. Likable–weird is better than unlikable–normal.”

One example is the case of Andrew, a 32-year-old man who was introduced in Chapter 1 and whose therapy is described further in Chapter 6. In the treatment planning stages, he raised a concern about one of his hobbies, which was model railroad building. He was a passionate and talented model railroad builder and was active in several related clubs and organizations, but he was very ashamed of this hobby and would not share this information with anyone he met socially. He believed it was weird and that it would be the basis of rejection if he was with new acquaintances or on a date, and he wondered if therapy should focus on helping him find more “normal” hobbies. He had a long history of being rejected by others and was afraid to have these experiences repeated. I did not agree with his goal because he appeared to be making the wrong attribution for his social failures. I had observed, over several meetings with him, that he almost always had a scowl on his face, never smiled, and made repeated negative remarks that would be perceived as self-pitying by most people (he was ultimately diagnosed with dysthymia). Although he was correct in his assessment of model railroad building as an unusual hobby, it was probably not the reason he had been rejected in the past; the rejection he experienced was probably because others perceived him to be negative and self-absorbed.

I shared the three-dimensional model with Andrew to prioritize the therapy objectives. I emphasized that, in addition to decreasing depressive symptoms (to become more satisfied), he would also need to learn self-awareness about the impact of his verbal and nonverbal behavior on others (to become more likable), which was more important than the type of hobby he had (weird). It was explained that people are not likely to reject him for being a model railroad hobbyist if he projects a pleasant disposition and shows genuine interest in others; people would rather spend time with a pleasant but eccentric model railroad builder than a sour, negative person with a conventional hobby. If anything, his model railroad activity needed to be preserved and encouraged, because it had the potential to serve as an important bridge to more social success for two reasons: The first was that his talent was very impressive (he showed me pictures of his work) and would likely bring admiration from others; the second is that his involvement was a great source of enjoyment for him and provided natural mood enhancement—and a better mood would make it easier for him to practice the new social skills he would be learning in therapy.

In sum, intervention planning and goal setting should be preceded by some thought about the global philosophy the therapist and patient share about change. Because AS is interwoven with the individual’s personality and brings with it unique qualities and talents, the therapist must be careful not to try to inadvertently eliminate aspects of the person’s lifestyle that are positive and adaptive. This section began with an idiom, “Don’t throw the baby out with the bathwater,” and ends with one as a final word of guidance: “If it ain’t broke, don’t fix it.”

**Using the Worksheet to Choose Interventions and Set Goals**

While keeping in mind the global vision that the therapist and patient share, the next step is to fill in the “Hypothesis-Based Interventions,” which brings attention back to the case formulation worksheet. Each idea the therapist has about what factors might be contributing to the presenting problems and the mechanism by which those factors
are occurring leads to an idea about how to intervene. The “Goals” section should be filled in with the list of overall, quality-of-life improvements that are hoped for by the end of treatment. These are more global statements about change. The “Outcomes” represent the mechanisms by which interventions will lead to the attainment of goals. This in-between step must be specified because each intervention may not be the only one necessary to achieve a goal. Because each problem is often driven by multiple factors, there may be more than one intervention specified in the treatment plan toward one goal. For example, the goal of decreasing depressive symptoms may require activity scheduling for one reason, social skill improvement for another reason, and cognitive restructuring for yet another reason, depending on the individual needs of the patient. Each intervention will work toward the goal through a different mechanism, each of which needs to be monitored.

Figure 4.3 presents Bob’s completed treatment plan. Again, his case is used to provide instructions on how to use the worksheet. Considering the hypotheses outlined for Bob’s problems, I formed a cohesive conceptualization of his problems, which allowed me to build a global vision for change. This vision influenced the goals, intervention design, and expected outcomes. This process is outlined in the following section.

**Goals**

The goals should be listed in priority order in terms of the immediacy of the need for change. Mirroring the problem list, the issues that are most disruptive or pose the greatest threat to health or safety should drive the choice for the highest-priority goals.

In Bob’s case, reducing the obsessions and compulsions were the highest priority. Decreasing depressive symptoms was a close second. Not only were they the most disruptive at the time of intake, but the OCD symptoms were “obscuring the view” for me; I could not get a clear sense of the severity of the other problems (dependency and social difficulties). I was eager to get the OCD symptoms “out of the way” so that a clearer picture of premorbid functioning could come into view. It was assumed that the chronic problems he had had prior to 9/11 had set the stage for the acute and severe OCD and depressive episodes. The third and fourth goals, to increase self-reliance/independence and to increase quality and quantity of relationships, were more important ones to work on in the long run. These would improve overall quality of life for Bob and minimize his risk for future acute episodes of anxiety and depression.

**Hypothesis-Based Interventions and Outcomes**

The hypotheses about the factors causing or maintaining problems should drive the choices for interventions. Whenever possible, the literature for evidence-based therapies should serve as a resource for the therapist. By breaking down the problems into causal components, the therapist is led to more options about how to help. In Bob’s case, like all of the cases discussed in this book, labeling him as “an adult with AS” is not that helpful for treatment planning. However, based on the multiple causal factors that are thought to be contributing to his dysfunction, one can find evidence-based strategies in the literature to use for people who are suffering from OCD, have maladaptive schemas maintaining depressive symptoms, or have social skill deficits that contribute to social anxiety.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem List:</th>
<th>Diagnosis:</th>
<th>Goals:</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Obsessions—intrusive thoughts about terrorist attacks, worrying about</td>
<td>Axis I: Obsessive–compulsive disorder</td>
<td>1. Reduce frequency of obsessions and compulsions</td>
<td>Increased sense of independence and control over diabetes, decreased stress (2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>impending acts of terrorism</td>
<td>Major depressive disorder—severe, chronic</td>
<td>2. Decrease depressive symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Compulsive behavior—perseverative questioning of family members about</td>
<td>Asperger syndrome</td>
<td>3. Increase self-reliance and independence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>terrorism</td>
<td>Axis II: R/O dependent personality disorder</td>
<td>4. Increase quality and quantity of relationships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Depressed mood (BDI = 51)—extreme irritability, hopelessness, poor</td>
<td>Axis III: Diabetes</td>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>self-worth, recurrent thoughts of death</td>
<td>Axis IV: Post-9/11 media coverage, unemployment, family conflict, social</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parents in all activities of independent living</td>
<td>Axis V: 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Social isolation—premorbid social skill deficits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Causal or Maintenance Factors</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
<th>Hypothesis-Based Interventions</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medical</td>
<td>Unstable blood sugar levels could contribute to mood instability. The</td>
<td>Teach self-monitoring strategies for compliance with regimen and taking more active role on</td>
<td>Increased sense of independence and control over diabetes, decreased stress (2, 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rigors of caring for diabetes are chronic stressors. Original diagnosis</td>
<td>endocrinology appointments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with the disease at age 21 was traumatic.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Problems of ASHFA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Cognition</td>
<td>Difficulty attending to nonverbal communication of other people, difficulty</td>
<td>Teach perspective-taking skills/reading nonverbal cues of others. Teach assertive communication.</td>
<td>Increased competence and confidence in social situations, less anger (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>with perspective taking, flat affect and poor expression of own mental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>states and needs. All deficits contribute to misattributions that result</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in social anxiety, anger, and reinforce negative schema about others.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SelfRegulation</td>
<td>Mild tactile sensitivity may also affect self-care.</td>
<td>Reassess if self-care problems persist after depressive symptoms improve.</td>
<td>Increased number of tasks completed without help, decreased stress (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Function</td>
<td>Planning and organization deficits make basic independent living tasks</td>
<td>Design task management systems that are suited to Bob’s learning style.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overwhelming and reinforce negative schema about self. Cognitive rigidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>makes adaptation to change very difficult.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Schemas**

**Self**
- "I am helpless and powerless." "I cannot take care of myself." "I am defective."
- "Others must take care of me." "Others must protect me from harm." "People are not trustworthy."
- "The world is an unsafe place." "The world gives people what they deserve."
- "The future is full of danger that is unpredictable." "I will never be normal."

**Others**
Bob was aware that he did not function the same way as other students in elementary school (due to a learning disability). A sense of not fitting in made him feel vulnerable. Peers were unkind to him due to his behavioral differences. He relied on his parents to advocate for him, but in adolescence began resenting them for not protecting him from stressors. The trauma of the diabetes diagnosis strengthened his belief that his parents were failing him, because they could not protect him from the disease.

**World**
- "Small-scale—any situation that Bob perceives to include pressure to take care of self, pressure to achieve social success, reminders that parents may not be able to take care of him.
- "Large-scale precipitants—9/11, which reactivated the traumatic aspects of his diabetes diagnosis 8 years before, triggering beliefs that others should be able to protect him from all bad things and that they have failed him."

**Future**
- "Increased ability to challenge maladaptive automatic thoughts (2, 3, 4)"
- "Expression of new beliefs about self—"I am capable and competent"; and about others—"Others do not have to take care of me." (2, 3, 4)"

**Origins**
- "Teach cognitive restructuring to modify maladaptive schemas."
- "Use successes in other areas of treatment plan (e.g., successful completion of daily living tasks without help) as evidence that is counter to negative views."
- "Teach cognitive restructuring to reappraise stressful situations."
- "Increased ability to challenge maladaptive automatic thoughts (2, 3, 4)"

**Activating Events**
- "Small-scale—any situation that Bob perceives to include pressure to take care of self, pressure to achieve social success, reminders that parents may not be able to take care of him."
- "Large-scale precipitants—9/11, which reactivated the traumatic aspects of his diabetes diagnosis 8 years before, triggering beliefs that others should be able to protect him from all bad things and that they have failed him."

**Behavior**
1. Repetitive questioning of family members; seeking reassurance about terrorism.
   - A—Intermittent exposure to TV or Internet reports about 9/11 and increased anxiety.
   - C—Reassuring statements by family members, which result in temporary reduction in anxiety.

**Animal**
1. Exposure + response prevention:
   - Assign Bob task of exposing self to news media in a scheduled, structured, and systematic way.
   - Have Bob monitor SUDS.
   - Instruct family to implement response prevention; provide them with script for Bob's questions.

**Consequences**
- Increased ability to challenge maladaptive automatic thoughts (2, 3, 4)
2. Social withdrawal/passivity  
   A—social situations where Bob is uncertain about the other people and/or how he should behave; lack of conversation skills.  
   C—anxiety reduction results from withdrawal; passivity relieves pressure.

3. Verbally aggressive behavior (sudden outbursts of anger toward others)  
   A—social situations where Bob attributes negative intent to another person’s behavior and escape is not possible; lack of assertiveness skills.  
   C—other people act hostile toward Bob and reject him, reinforcing negative schema about others.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths and Resiliency Factors</th>
<th>Activity Scheduling</th>
<th>Prevention Strategies for Obstacles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob is bright, articulate, has enjoyed writing and believes he expresses himself best that way, can use humor as a coping strategy, and has formed positive connections with some people. He also was active in a bowling league and frequently played tennis prior to 9/11.</td>
<td>Increased expression of talent and frequency of enjoyable activities (2, 3, 4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Assume a slow pace; use a lot of reflection and validation in the early sessions.  
2. Break down tasks into small subtasks; accompany verbal instruction with visual aids.  
3. By assigning tasks that are small and manageable (described above), the probability of his willingness to try may be increased.  

**FIGURE 4.3.** (continued)
The process of choosing interventions and specifying expected outcomes is described using Bob’s worksheet, starting at the top of the last two columns and working down.

MEDICAL

Bob’s diabetes played a major role in his functioning. Because he was already being treated by an endocrinologist with whom he and his family were satisfied, no referrals were necessary. He did need to take more responsibility over the care of his disease, however. Teaching self-monitoring skills as well as encouraging a more active role in scheduling and participating in his quarterly endocrinology visits would be part of the psychotherapy plan. The outcome was hoped to be an increased sense of control over the disease that he continued to feel so helpless about, even 8 years after being diagnosed. This intervention would be one of several treatment components that would contribute to decreasing depressive symptoms (Goal 2) and increasing independence (Goal 3).

CORE PROBLEMS OF AS/HFA

Bob’s problems with nonverbal communication, which had been evident since childhood and were symptomatic of AS, would be addressed by using published curriculum materials designed to teach perspective taking and nonverbal cue reading (Winner, 2000, 2002). He would also be taught how to express his feelings and needs to others through assertiveness training. It was hoped that these social skill improvements would increase his competence and confidence in social situations. However, this was thought to be a long-term objective and would be included in session agendas only after more acute symptoms of depression and anxiety began to improve. At that point, these strategies were intended to increase the quality and quantity of his relationships (Goal 4).

It was unclear how much of a role his historical tactile sensitivity was playing in his current self-care problems, especially given that his severe depression could have been causing some of the neglect. It was therefore decided to reassess that issue only if self-care continued to be a problem after other parts of the intervention plan proved to be successful.

Bob also needed help in the areas of planning and organization and therefore would be given task management systems that were more suited to his learning style. He had shown more responsiveness to visual, pictorial representations of ideas in sessions, so creating tools for self-direction based on his visual preference became an intervention target. The outcome was hoped to be an increase in the number of tasks of daily living completed without help from others. This outcome would increase his self-reliance and independence (Goal 3).

SCHEMAS

Schema-changing techniques would begin with cognitive restructuring through use of the thought record. It would be important to implement schema-related strategies simultaneous with other parts of the treatment plan, because success in those other areas would provide evidence to Bob that would counter some of his maladaptive
schemas. For example, if he began to show some success in taking care of his diabetes regimen, it would provide evidence against the schema about self that said, in essence, “I am helpless and powerless” and “I cannot take care of myself.” These changes would also help him reappraise situations that he had previously found stressful. The outcome would be increased ability to challenge maladaptive automatic thoughts and increased expression of new beliefs about himself and others, such as “I am capable and competent” and “Others do not have to take care of me.” These changes in his basic belief system would contribute to all of the therapy goals, especially decreasing his depressive symptoms (Goal 2), increasing his self-reliance and independence (Goal 3), and increasing the quality of his relationships (Goal 4).

**BEHAVIOR**

The first task of therapy would be to implement an exposure plus response prevention approach for treating OCD. The conceptualization of his OCD in terms of a vicious cycle would be explained to him. He would be taught to use a 10-point subjective units of distress (SUDs) and told to watch a news program once each day, preferably the same show at the same time each day. He would thereby be exposed to somewhat unpredictable and anxiety-producing news stories, but he would be consuming a more systematic and balanced view of current events. This strategy would also give him more control over how he received such information. He would be asked to rate his SUDs before and after each program and to bring his tally sheets to therapy. He would also be keeping thought records, as mentioned in the section on schema-changing techniques. His family members would be invited to a session for instructions on how to refrain from answering Bob’s questions; they would receive a script dictating how to respond to him if he began his obsessive questioning. Finally, Bob would be instructed to self-monitor the frequency of his questioning of others. As an outcome of exposure plus response prevention it was expected that he would become desensitized to the news (or at least less distressed by it) would take a more active role in consuming current events, thereby needing his parents less in this domain. All of these tactics would help decrease his obsessions and compulsions (Goal 1) as well as increase his self-reliance (Goal 3).

The social skills that were mentioned previously, which would be taught to help Bob compensate for core deficits in social cognition, will also help ameliorate his tendency to withdraw and engage in angry outbursts. If Bob can more easily read others and has a wider repertoire of verbal responses from which to choose, he will feel more competent and confident in initiating social engagement and will be less likely to use hostility and anger as a self-protective strategy. These skills will help decrease depressive symptoms (Goal 2) and improve his relationships (Goal 4).

**STRENGTHS AND RESILIENCY FACTORS**

As part of the task-management approaches that would be taught to Bob to help him plan and organize better (mentioned previously), he will be taught how to use activity scheduling to bring pleasant events back into his life. This is a well-established strategy for decreasing depressive symptoms (Goal 2), but it will also increase his expression of talent, thereby increasing self-sufficiency (Goal 3) and opportunities to practice new social skills toward improving relationships (Goal 4).
Potential Obstacles to Treatment and Prevention Strategies for Obstacles

The final step in treatment planning is to project pitfalls and to make provisions for them. Every therapist knows that treatment always involves unexpected twists and “curveballs” that are thrown by patients or the people in their lives; unfortunately, these cannot be avoided. Nevertheless, the more the therapist can predict, based on known risk factors, the better prepared both therapist and patient can be to cope with obstacles.

In Bob’s case, several factors could work against the therapy process. The first was his difficulty forming relationships with people, which originated with his belief that others are untrustworthy. He would obviously make that assumption about me. His use of hostile and belligerent behavior as self-protection would make it difficult for me to express empathy and validation, the building blocks of trust. Also, his problems in reading nonverbal cues and tendency to misinterpret what is said by others may trigger more angry responses. All of these problems would be addressed by proceeding at a slow pace and using a lot of reflective statements at the beginning. By reflecting and asking for clarification, I would be less likely to misinterpret what Bob was saying, and I would also be learning his style of communication. It was hoped that Bob would feel validated and begin to trust me.

A second problematic area was Bob’s planning and organization deficits. I was concerned that the task-oriented nature of CBT would overwhelm Bob, in the same way that his diabetes treatment and other self-care responsibilities did. Again, a slow pace would minimize the likelihood of this undesirable outcome. Extra time would be needed to break down tasks into manageable pieces and to provide ample in-session instruction and practice for the use of homework tools.

Finally, Bob’s schemas about himself as helpless and incapable, paired with his idea that others should take care of him, had the potential to interfere with his willingness to take an active role in therapy. If he were to carry these assumptions into sessions, he may view me as yet another person who should do things for him. He may also avoid doing exercises and homework for the same reason. It was hoped that the slow pace and effort put into making tasks manageable would prevent this problem.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter described a framework for conceptualizing cases and planning treatment. A worksheet was presented as a suggested tool for going through this process with adult patients who have AS. The case of Bob is used to illustrate how to design a treatment plan for patients with multiple comorbid conditions: how to generate hypotheses based on empirically supported theories about the presenting problem (nomothetic bases) and to individualize them according to the unique set of factors that is influencing the patient in his or her life (idiographic bases). A philosophy of change was presented for adults with AS, which allows sources of distress to be minimized and unique characteristics, talents, and sources of enjoyment to be preserved and encouraged. The next chapter presents more details on how to provide psychoeducation and orient the patient to the treatment process.
Psychoeducation and Orientation to Treatment

The process of orienting a patient to treatment begins with the initial phone contact and continues through the intake, assessment, and treatment planning sessions. The last two chapters addressed some of the issues encountered when establishing rapport and creating a collaborative partnership with the adult patient who has AS. As mentioned, many of the guidelines presented were not original and are likely to be standard practice for most experienced clinicians working with any adult population, whereas others were specific to adults on the autistic spectrum. This chapter presents additional orientation guidelines that are unique to working with patients who have AS. Two areas are covered: One section focuses on how to provide psychoeducation to patients regarding the AS diagnosis itself, and the second section presents ways to inform patients about what to expect from the therapist and the cognitive-behavioral approach.

PSYCHOEDUCATION

Explaining AS

Most patients with AS have questions about the syndrome, whether they were diagnosed recently or not. It is important to spend time at the beginning of therapy helping the patient understand the autistic spectrum, in general, and then figuring out the specific ways in which it is affecting him or her in life. Many patients have had experiences with professionals who misdiagnosed them and therefore may have feelings of resentment or mistrust. Extra time can be spent with those individuals discussing the purpose as well as the limitations of diagnostic labels.

The factual information about AS should be presented to the patient in a modality that matches his or her preferred learning style. The therapist should keep a library of materials in the office to aid in the process. The following list includes the different approaches and tools therapists can use:
• Present DSM-IV-TR (American Psychiatric Association, 2000) criteria and discuss what each symptom means.
• Assign one of the books in the appendix that provides comprehensive yet easy-to-read overviews for “laypeople.”
• Assign a book that contains an autobiographical account of autism or AS. The appendix provides a list of books whose authors are on the autism spectrum.

It is noteworthy that people with AS usually respond positively to this process. As mentioned, one of the only domains in which many of them have been successful is academic, so they are often enthusiastic about learning. Many are bright people who enjoy acquiring new facts. Those who have that attitude will quickly read and understand material the therapist recommends and will come to session with many questions about it. Others will conduct extensive library or Internet research and read beyond the therapy assignments. Those who have EF problems that interfere with follow-through between sessions may still actively participate in discussions about psychoeducational material within sessions.

Positive Reactions to the Diagnosis
At times, involved family members may discourage discussions about AS for fear of adding to the patient’s negative view of him- or herself. However, I have observed that most adults who are receiving the diagnosis and psychoeducation for the first time report a sense of relief. For example, one 46-year-old man said, “I always knew something was wrong and that I did not fit in with other people. I felt like an alien—a freak. Now I understand what the problem is and it all makes sense to me now.” Another man in his mid-50s said, “I have been living my life with an invisible ball and chain attached to my ankle. Now that I can see it, I think I have done pretty damn well, despite it!” Most of these patients have known something “wasn’t right” throughout their lives, and many of them made attributions for their struggles that were much worse than the explanation that comes from an AS diagnosis.

Negative Reactions to the Diagnosis
Even though the initial reaction to the AS diagnosis for most patients involves relief, a subgroup absorbs and assimilates the information over time in an unfavorable way. Contrary to the family fears mentioned above, the diagnosis does not make them feel any worse about themselves than they ever did. However, they may use it to support a preexisting negative self-schema. Those who had schemas about self that involved themes of inferiority and defectiveness, for instance, may distort the facts about AS in order to make it fit with their belief system. Or they may selectively attend to pieces of information that focus on the deficits involved in AS and ignore facts about strengths, potential benefits, or factors contributing to good prognosis.

One strategy that therapists can use to minimize this phenomenon is to ensure that the patient understands what AS is from the beginning of the psychoeducation stage. This can be done by checking in frequently with the patient as he or she consumes the educational material. If a reading assignment is given, then part of the next session should be spent having the patient describe what he or she understood about what was
read and also to interpret what it means to him or her. This strategy gives the therapist the opportunity to clarify any material that was misunderstood and to dispel any myths to which the patient may be subscribing.

Some patients demonstrate that they understand the material during the psychoeducational phase of therapy, but later on in treatment, they show signs of having “drifted” away from the facts and begin to distort what they learned. This shift can be handled in the same way that any cognitive distortion would be handled in CBT. Having the patient generate a list of beliefs about AS and the evidence that either supports or refutes each belief is one example (these strategies are covered in more detail in Chapter 7). The case of Salvador illustrates an approach to this problem, in that he struggled a great deal with negative perceptions of himself and AS.

**Connecting the Patient with Resources**

**Benefits of Network Involvement**

In addition to assigning books as educational material, the therapist can also refer the patient to a wide variety of organizations and associations that are devoted to autism spectrum issues and that offer some combination of annual conferences, regional support meetings, and newsletters. In addition, numerous online news groups, bulletin boards, and support networks have become more prevalent in recent years. A large community of adults with AS keep in touch with each other, mostly through electronic communication, and engage in ongoing and lively dialogues about the latest research and news about AS. Some have developed a strong sense of belongingness through this process, which has had a beneficial effect on their self-image and social support quality. This community has been described by some as a subculture, and they refer to themselves affectionately as “Aspies” or “Spectrumites” and to people not on the spectrum as “neurotypicals.”

The appendix includes a list of organizations and groups that should be considered. Of course, a therapist is wise to investigate any resource before recommending it to a patient, remembering that different patients are going to respond to material in different ways at different times. No matter which organization(s) the patient accesses, it is important for the therapist to check in with the patient from time to time to monitor the ways the involvement is helping and also to watch for warning signs that it is causing distress. I have found that involvement in a group that is well suited to the patient’s style is usually beneficial, but there are some caveats of which therapists should be aware so that they can be minimized. These are discussed below.

**Caveats of Network Involvement**

The first potential problem involves an issue mentioned in the previous section on negative reactions to diagnosis. Some individuals who have negative self-schemas involving themes of defectiveness and inferiority may be prone to misunderstand or distort information they receive from organizations and use it as evidence to support their pre-existing belief. It is important for the therapist to elicit discussions about the information the patient is accessing. The patient should not be discouraged from utilizing these resources; rather, the therapy should be geared toward helping him or her reconceptualize the information and to make more adaptive use out of it.
The second problem that can arise out of a patient’s involvement with networks and groups comes from the fact that interpersonal interaction is inherent in the process. Because AS, by definition, includes problems with social interaction, some of the patients have interpersonal difficulties that are bound to play out there (i.e., online, on the telephone, in person), the same way they would in any setting. For instance, if a patient has a history of overattributing negative intent to others, he or she will eventually have that problem with a peer in an AS resource network. If a patient has a history of cursing loudly in the face of disagreement, that person is likely to do that to someone in an AS support group. As these issues arise, it is again important for the therapist not to discourage involvement in the various associations and support networks. Rather, it is more important to use these real-life examples to build the patient’s interpersonal skills.

Disclosure

During the psychoeducational process, it is very common for patients to ask whether they should tell others about their diagnosis. Disclosure is a highly sensitive issue and has many implications that are very individual to each patient. Some patients may benefit from reading the book *Ask and Tell: Self-Advocacy and Disclosure for People on the Autism Spectrum*, edited by Stephen Shore (2004). The editor and all chapter authors are people on the autism spectrum who address this issue from a number of perspectives and offer many practical suggestions.

I am very reluctant to give patients direct advice about disclosing their diagnosis. However, I do make use of a general list of questions with a patient who is struggling with dilemmas about whether to tell friends, relatives, employers, coworkers, or dating partners. These questions are outlined below.

1. “Why do you want this particular person to know about your diagnosis?” If the patient does not have any answer for this question, it should be explored in session so that he or she can formulate a clear idea about it. Sometimes there may be problems in the relationship that the patient thinks would improve if the information were shared. Other times the patient believes that sharing this information with someone very close to him or her, just as they would any type of personal information, will add to the intimacy of the relationship.

2. “How do you think it will improve your interactions with this person if he or she knows about your AS?” Again, the patient should be able to answer this question before proceeding further. Without a clear idea, the patient is not as likely to achieve the desired effect by disclosing.

3. “Are you prepared to ask this person to support you in a different way because of this new information? If so, can you be specific with this person about how he or she can be more helpful to you?” When a patient is hoping that an interpersonal problem will improve with the disclosure, it is important for him or her to be able to explain how he or she expects things to change to the recipient of the disclosure. This approach requires an ability to be assertive in asking the other person for specific actions or accommodations. Otherwise, the recipient of the information may not know how he or she should use the information. Assertiveness is often a weak ability in these patients, so practice may be necessary in session.
4. “What are the risks of telling this person?” The better the patient knows the person he or she is going to tell, the easier it is to answer this question. However, when the recipient of the disclosure is not someone who is known well (e.g., an employer or coworker), it is harder to predict the reaction. It is therefore important to help the patient anticipate negative reactions so that specific plans can be made (sometimes including behavioral rehearsal) for how to handle them.

5. “If the person is someone with whom you are not very close (e.g., a coworker), are there other ways you could ask for specific types of help and support without telling him or her about your AS?” If the patient believes it would be too uncomfortable or risky telling a boss or a coworker, for example, an alternative plan can be made. It is sometimes possible to ask for accommodations or supports without disclosing the diagnosis. One man with AS who was stressed by various work issues figured out that he would be less stressed if he had a different break schedule. Instead of taking the conventional 1-hour lunch break with two 15-minute breaks, he preferred to take six 15-minute breaks throughout the day. He needed frequent but short trips outside for fresh air in order to maintain good concentration. He decided to ask his boss for this modification without mentioning his AS, deciding to disclose his diagnosis only if his boss did not grant his initial request. Ultimately he was allowed to take the breaks the way he preferred. When presented with the request, the boss only showed momentary mild interest in why it was being made. The patient simply said, “Oh, it just helps my concentration.” The boss said, “It makes no difference to me, as long as your work gets done.” This patient was surprised that he could simply ask for a change and relieved that he did not have to share his personal information with his boss at that time. Granted, things do not always work out so nicely, but it is always worth a try before revealing personal information that some patients find embarrassing.

**ORIENTATION TO TREATMENT**

Once the individual begins to see that there is an explanation for many of the struggles he or she has encountered, the motivation to find out how therapy is going to help usually increases. The orientation to treatment serves to answer that question by educating the patient about the reasonable expectations he or she can have for the therapist and the therapy as well as the responsibilities the patient is expected to hold. In addition, the rationale for CBT and the cognitive model are explained as a foundation for the individualized treatment plan, which has been collaboratively designed by the therapist and patient. Chapter 4 described how the therapist and patient work together to formulate goals; that phase is also part of the orientation process. This section provides additional guidelines for establishing a relationship and explaining the rationale for treatment.

**Establishing and Maintaining a Working Relationship**

It goes without saying that a good working relationship is crucial for any course of psychotherapy to be effective, regardless of the therapist’s orientation or the nature of the patient’s presenting problem. However, because AS involves difficulty with interpersonal interaction, there are some unique factors that therapists working with this popu-
lation must take into consideration. Many of the social-cognitive deficits and behavioral differences that have negatively impacted these patients’ relationships with other people will present themselves in therapy. However, by following the guidelines presented in this section, therapists can minimize the interference of these factors with relationship development. Some of the suggestions made in Chapter 3 regarding the initial interview are presented again here, among strategies to be practiced throughout the life of the relationship. Guidelines are provided on how to set clear expectations, set a realistic pace, use language effectively, validate the patient’s experiences, and provide constructive feedback. Keep in mind that these approaches work most of the time for most patients. However, Chapter 9, which discusses obstacles to treatment, covers more details about what to do when these strategies do not work.

Setting Clear Expectations

One of the difficulties people with AS report is that they often do not know what to expect from others, and/or they do not know what others expect from them. Their uncertainty and confusion about this aspect of social situations contributes to their anxiety. It is therefore important for the therapist to pay special attention to how roles are explained at the onset of treatment.

Most therapists in general practice give patients some type of consent document to sign at the beginning of treatment, which may be called a “therapist–patient agreement,” a “contract,” or a “consent to treatment” form. No matter which format the therapist uses, it applies to all patients, regardless of population, and contains information about roles and expectations. For patients with AS, a discussion about the document after they have read it can serve a role clarification function.

The therapist can start by reviewing the basic information that already appears in the formal intake and consent documents. The therapist should mention each point and ask the patient to summarize what he or she understood about it and if he or she has any questions. These issues would include:

- Confidentiality and its limits
- Cancellation and fee policies
- Therapist accessibility in-between sessions/emergency contact information
- Record-keeping practices

Again, reviewing these issues with new patients is good standard practice for any therapist. However, extra time should be spent ensuring that the adult patient with AS understands it accurately. The literal-mindedness of some can lead to misinterpretation of certain policies, for example. For others, a lack of assertiveness or social language problems may preclude them from initiating questions about areas of the document they do not understand. People with this problem, however, usually ask questions if the therapist directly elicits them during a point-by-point review of the document.

Once the patient understands the procedural consent-to-treatment issues described above, the therapist can present expectations that are based on his or her own style and philosophy of therapy. Of course, these expectations will be individual to each therapist, but the presentation should be based on a general assumption that people with AS process information in unique ways. The objective is to be as clear and
explicit as possible, remembering that patients with AS may not infer some points that other adult patients would readily assume. The formula presented below offers a conceptual framework for setting expectations.

I [therapist] am going to behave in this way and do these things during sessions for these reasons. I will not do these things for these reasons. I will also expect you [patient] to do these things during/between sessions for these reasons. Finally, I will expect that you will not do these things for these reasons.

This is not meant to be a script but rather a tool for ensuring that the therapist remembers to cover each and every point. The therapist should never assume that the patient will infer or generalize any one piece of information from another, no matter how articulate or intelligent he or she may be.

I offer the following role definitions to my patients. That is, these are the phrases that I use to “fill in the blanks” in the formula presented above. Remember that these are just examples coming from one therapist, and that each therapist will have different points to make, depending on his or her style and philosophy. The principle that should not vary from therapist to therapist, however, is that points should be made as explicit as possible to the patient.

THE ROLE OF THE THERAPIST

- “I will be a facilitator in our sessions. This means that I will ask you a lot of questions that require you to think about a lot of different things. I will also ask you to try new things, but they will always be based on ideas that come from you, and I will always give you a rationale. I will not be an authority figure. This means I will not give you orders, commands, or advice that you do not ask for. I am your partner in trying to improve the things you want help with, but I am not your boss who is here to tell you what to do.

- “It is my responsibility to provide information and resources to you. I will share facts about your problems and treatment options that are based on research. I will refer you to the sources of that material. I will be honest with you when I do not know the answer to one of your questions, and will do my best to either find the answer for you or point you in the direction of finding it yourself. I will not make decisions for you. I can help you evaluate information you receive or options you are considering, but will not try to tell you what to think or do. If I share my personal opinion about some information we are discussing, I will clearly identify it as such, by saying, ‘This is my personal view on this issue,’ or ‘This is my bias—other professionals may disagree with me about this.’

- “I will provide you with direct feedback about the impact your actions or words have on me. I assume that the way you relate to me bears some resemblance to how you are relating to others in your life. Because you are coming here to improve your interpersonal skills, it will be important for us to use our relationship as a means of practicing new skills you may work on. People in the real world generally do not give direct feedback in social situations, even if they are forming a negative impression. It may seem unnatural to you, and it may make you feel uncomfortable, but I will some-
times interrupt our conversation to share an observation with you—sort of like a “freeze-frame” of a film or video. I will either tell you that something you did had a positive impact or that something you did had a negative impact. I will not judge or criticize you. My purpose is to provide information, which you may not get from people in real life, about the impression you are making.”

THE ROLE OF THE PATIENT

• “I expect you to be as honest and open as you can be about your thoughts, feelings, and experiences as they relate to our goals, including your impressions about me and the way the therapy is going. I hope you will question things that do not make sense to you or with which you do not agree. I hope that you will not keep ideas or feelings to yourself that relate to our work. Sometimes patients withhold their thoughts or feelings because they do not think it is their place to question things, or they think they should accept unconditionally the things that the therapist says. As I mentioned earlier, we are partners in trying to solve your problems, which means I cannot do a good job without your input and opinions.

• “I expect that you will play an active role in your therapy. This means that you will engage in exercises in session with me and complete homework in between sessions. As mentioned before, I will not assign you anything that does not make sense to you, so again you will need to tell me the truth if you do not understand something. I hope you will not be passive in therapy; that is, I hope you will not sit back and wait for the therapist to “fix” the problems you have. The progress you make will depend on the energy you put into this process. If at any point I think I am working harder than you, I am going to tell you that so we can revisit our goals and expectations.

• “I expect you to become an observer of yourself. This means that you are willing to pay attention to your actions, thoughts, and feelings as if you were an objective bystander and to report your observations to me. This may sound odd to you now, or you may have learned to do this already throughout your life. If you do not know how to do this yet, I will teach you as we go along. If you do know how to do it, I will rely heavily on your skill in this area in order to help you. What goes along with this is a willingness to critique yourself. This means that you are willing to think about things from a new perspective, to explore alternative ways of handling things that are different from how you have handled them in the past, and to accept feedback from others. This does not mean that you are judging yourself or criticizing yourself in a non-productive way. Productive critiquing leads to positive change, whereas nonproductive criticizing leads to shame and avoidance.”

The role expectations outlined may be presented all at once or shared bit by bit across several sessions. They should also be repeatedly reinforced throughout the course of treatment. Helping the patient to take control of important aspects of the therapy is crucial. Many patients with AS do not believe that they have power over many parts of their lives, or they do not have the confidence to initiate more control or decision making. It is hoped that the active role they take in the therapy process will serve as practice for real-life situations. However, if that expectation is not explicitly stated for them at the beginning of treatment, they may be confused or annoyed by the therapist’s attempts to activate them.
**Setting a Realistic Pace**

CBT has the potential to offer typical people with anxiety and mood disorders a short-term treatment option. However, therapists who have years of experience practicing CBT (but not necessarily with AS) have learned that change takes place more slowly when patients have complex problems and multiple comorbid conditions. This assumption can be made when offering CBT to patients with AS; the treatment is still time-limited, but not necessarily as short-term as it would be with typical adults. As this book has emphasized throughout, the problems associated with AS are determined by multiple factors that have long histories. The patient must learn skills that represent brand new ways of thinking and behaving, and old maladaptive behaviors are extinguished only when the new skills can replace them. EF problems and cognitive rigidity may limit how much can be accomplished within a session. Change is a very slow process that requires repeated practice and reinforcement. Therapists who are accustomed to working with complex problems will have no trouble making this adjustment. However, novice therapists or those who have treated more “neat and clean” cases of anxiety or depression may need to pay special attention to setting realistic time frames for goal attainment when working with patients with AS. There is no formula for determining how long treatment of a patient with AS will take. It depends on the severity of comorbid psychopathology, the patient’s resiliency factors, and the number of changes the patient is hoping to make by the end of treatment. Discounting cases where treatment ended before goals were attained, my shortest-term AS case was 1 year. The longest-term case has been ongoing for 11 years at the time of this writing.

**Using Language Effectively**

All of the points made here were already mentioned in Chapter 3 in the section about intake interviewing. However, it is worth repeating them because the ongoing working relationship is very dependent on the verbal communication between the therapist and the patient. Because of the unique ways in which some people with AS use language, the therapist needs to take extra steps to ensure that he or she understands what the patient means to say. The therapist should make ample use of reflective statements and paraphrasing to check with the patient about his or her intended message. The therapist must be constantly aware that the patient may take words and phrases very literally, so the therapist should also prompt the patient to paraphrase what the therapist said in order to confirm that the intended information has been conveyed. When appropriate, the therapist may adopt some of the patient’s words and phrases for concepts discussed in session in an effort to develop a shared language. This effort conveys the therapist’s willingness to accept the patient’s uniqueness and a desire to understand how he or she sees the world.

**Validating the Patient’s Experience**

Validation strategies are, to some extent, practiced by any effective therapist, regardless of orientation. Therapists who practice CBT strike a balance between providing structure and offering a “listening ear” with validation. The therapist may need to spend more time providing validation to a patient with AS than he or she would other adult patients, however, for two reasons. One is because of some of the language issues pre-
sented above. It may take longer for the therapist to figure out why a patient is in distress, for example, if the patient cannot use expressive language to effectively convey a problem. It is not uncommon to observe a patient coming into session in an agitated state, struggling to find the words to describe why he or she is upset. It might take me the entire session to find out what had triggered the emotional arousal—and only then can I provide the validation that is so crucial. The other reason extra time and attention must be paid to validation is because the patient often has a poor social support network. The long history of struggles and traumatic experiences that is so common to these patients is exacerbated by the fact that they have rarely had anyone to talk to about it. The validation that people typically get from natural supports such as friends and family is not as available to many patients with AS. The therapist cannot replace those supports, and it is hoped that the patient will be able to build a better social network as functioning improves. However, in the meantime, the patient will be more receptive to the structured components of CBT if he or she is also supported by a validating atmosphere in sessions. This need for validation requires that the therapist remain somewhat flexible because sometimes a preplanned agenda has to be put aside if the patient has had a particularly upsetting experience before the session.

Providing Constructive Feedback

Therapists working with adults who have AS must be willing to give them direct and immediate feedback about their behavior at the appropriate time. This guideline may seem to contradict what was just said about validation, as some therapists may perceive this practice as being critical of the patient. However, if the therapist is conveying validation to the patient during times of distress, then the patient is more likely to trust the therapist and to be more open to the direct feedback at other times. Also, it was mentioned in Chapter 3 that the therapist should not confront patients on their socially inappropriate behaviors during the intake. However, once the treatment plan is under way and some degree of rapport has been built, the therapist can use the relationship as a “practice field.” If any of the treatment goals involve improving interpersonal or social skills, then those skills can be taught using the ongoing interaction between the therapist and the patient.

For example, some people with AS have a problem with excessive talking. They may repeat the same point four or five times, even after their communication partner has given nonverbal cues that he or she has heard them. If that behavior turns out to be one of the targets for social skill improvement, then the therapist is obligated to inform the patient when he or she displays that problem in session. People in the natural environment are not as likely to give direct feedback but are likely to convey annoyance or disapproval indirectly, and ultimately to avoid and reject the patient. Here is one way the therapist could phrase feedback about this topic:

“(interrupting the patient) I am sorry, but I am going to interrupt you for a minute. You were beginning to make a point that you just made very clearly, and you did say it twice. Did you notice that you were beginning to say it for a third time?”

The patient may answer this question in a number of different ways, depending on his or her particular problem. Not only does this intervention provide in vivo feed-
back that would not commonly be found in the patient’s natural environment, but it opens up a dialogue that helps both patient and therapist understand better what is maintaining this behavior. Here is another way the therapist could facilitate this process:

“Did you notice that when you were presenting your point the first two times, that I was nodding my head up and down and saying ‘umm hmm’? What do you think I was trying to tell you by doing that?”

Because the therapist must go against his or her own societal norms of courtesy, it may feel like he or she is being “rude” to the patient when this intervention is practiced. However, using a nonjudgmental tone in conjunction with a previously established trusting relationship with the patient will minimize the discomfort the patient may feel. In fact, some patients have expressed gratitude for this feedback because, though they have had an awareness that they were “missing something,” no one ever told them what it was. One patient said, “When I am around a group of people, I always feel like I have come into a room where everyone is playing a game that they already know, but I don’t. I want to enter the game, but nobody will stop and tell me what the rules are.” Through most of their lives, in an ironic twist of conventional courtesy, they have been rejected without being given any clues about why. It is therefore an important part of the therapist’s job to step out of role of being “polite” in order to give the patient the feedback that is so crucial to learning and changing.

**Providing a Rationale for Treatment**

The treatment plan provides the rationale for each proposed intervention because the hypotheses about problem behaviors have led the therapist to choose the strategies. As mentioned several times before, this plan should be designed with the help of the patient, and the information on the case formulation worksheet should be familiar to the patient by the time treatment is under way. During the psychoeducational phase described earlier in this chapter, the patient receives information about the core deficits of AS, how they are affecting him or her, and what interventions will help improve those problems. However, additional time is usually warranted to help the patient understand the rationale behind CBT, in general, and the way in which the traditional cognitive model applies to his or her specific case.

**Describing CBT**

The therapist should briefly explain what CBT is, starting with an overview of its history and assumptions. I use a large dry-wipe board or easel pad when presenting this information to patients, because a combination of verbal descriptions with pictures can ensure understanding. Borrowing some concepts from Dobson and Block (1988), I usually explain the model this way:

CBT refers to a collection of therapeutic techniques that have been developed by mental health professionals over the past 40 years to help people with depression, anxiety, and stress, but NOT specifically for AS. The assumptions behind CBT are:
1. Cognitive activity (thoughts, images, and perceptions) affects mood and behavior.
2. Cognitive activity can be dysfunctional at times. Sometimes people make errors in their thinking or distort their perceptions of things. All people do this sometimes for different reasons. Some people do it a lot, which can lead to ongoing problems with mood and behavior.
3. Cognitive activity can be monitored and altered.
4. Desired behavior change can be brought about through cognitive change.
5. People are active learners, not just passive recipients of environmental events; they create their own learning environment.
6. CBT treatment goals center on creating new adaptive learning opportunities to overcome cognitive dysfunction.

The therapist should ask the patient to explain what he or she understood each point to mean before moving on. Using pictures and diagrams can help illustrate points with which the patient seems to be struggling. For example, using the approach suggested by Carol Gray (1994), I draw a cartoon “thought bubble” above a stick figure to illustrate cognitive activity and a cartoon speech bubble right next to it, while saying, “In here are all of the thoughts, images, or perceptions that we have inside our heads, which may not be the same as the things we say out loud” (see Figure 7.4).

**Explaining the Cognitive Model**

By continuing to use drawings and pictorial representations of the ideas being presented, the therapist can introduce Beck’s cognitive model of mental health problems. I usually draw the diagram that is presented in Chapter 2, Figure 2.3, in order to introduce the concept of how schemas (core beliefs) influence mood, thoughts, and behaviors. I then fill in the diagram with specific information about the patient, to help illustrate how the cognitive model explains some of his or her problems. Chapter 7 provides a case example of this process. I have observed that patients with AS seem to grasp the cognitive model quickly, once it is presented in a modality that suits their learning style (e.g., pictures for a visual learner). Its logical, cause-and-effect quality seems to appeal to the need, experienced by so many of these patients, to understand and follow rules. In this sense, patients with AS are ideal candidates for CBT.

**CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

This chapter began with guidelines for helping patients understand their AS diagnosis, with precautionary suggestions for preventing dysfunctional use of the information. Strategies for orienting the patient to treatment came next. The unique issues faced by therapists while they build a working relationship with patients who have interpersonal difficulties were addressed. Finally, an approach for introducing the rationale for CBT and helping the patient begin conceptualizing his or her problems using the cognitive model was described. The next chapter discusses the actual implementation of CBT with patients who have AS.
This chapter presents options for interventions that can be offered to adult patients with AS. Chapter 2 introduced a general framework, or nomothetic formulation, for understanding the way AS affects adults and the factors that can lead to mental health problems (illustrated in Figure 2.4). Chapter 4 described a case formulation approach that allows a therapist to apply the nomothetic formulation to conceptualizing an individual adult patient, thereby producing an idiographic hypothesis-based treatment plan. The interventions that are chosen by the therapist should be based on both the nomothetic and idiographic formulations explaining the patient’s presenting problems. The two chapters ahead are meant to provide ideas and resources to therapists in order to ease this decision-making process. Guidance on how to carry out the techniques is offered through the use of case examples. This chapter focuses on strategies for teaching social and coping skills that address the core problems of AS. Chapter 7 explores the use of a traditional cognitive therapy approach to treat comorbid mental health problems.

**REVIEW OF NOMOTHETIC FORMULATION**

A brief review of Figure 2.4, the nomothetic formulation of mental health problems in AS, serves as an introduction to the interventions that are discussed ahead. Each set of factors in this model represents a possible point of intervention for a therapist. It is assumed that when a person with AS presents with an anxiety or mood disorder, it is an outcome of many variables that have interacted with each other throughout his or her history up to the point of intake. The idea that the mental health problem has resulted from this process is illustrated by placing anxiety and depression at the bottom,
or end, of the diagram. Through the assessment and case formulation process, however, the therapist must “look back” in the patient’s life in order to conceptualize the developmental processes that led up to the current issues. This diagram “tells the story” by mapping out this course. The core problems of AS, grouped as problems with processing information about others, information about self, and nonsocial information, appear at the top or beginning of the diagram, suggesting that they have been present since early life and have affected the way the individual has learned, behaved, and interpreted his or her world. This process is represented in the middle of the diagram, where behavioral differences and associated social consequences, as well as self-management difficulties and associated daily living consequences, have led the person to experience poor social support and chronic stress. Beck’s theory regarding the role of schemas in the development and maintenance of mental health problems in the general population can be used to describe the mechanism by which the problems inherent in AS could lead to anxiety and mood disorders. The diamonds representing schemas about self, others, world, and future are placed at the points in this developmental process where the individual learns and maintains maladaptive beliefs.

When a therapist is designing a plan for an adult with AS, considering the nomothetic model, there are generally two types of objectives that lead to two categories of interventions. There are those that aim to increase competencies and skills in order to improve relationship and occupational functioning, which have been impaired by the symptoms of AS. These are the issues listed in the top-to-middle region of the Figure 2.4. Then there are those that aim to decrease symptoms of other comorbid Axis I problems, such as anxiety and mood disorders, the issues listed in the middle-to-bottom region of the diagram. This chapter presents the former, and Chapter 7, the latter. This division is made for the sake of conceptual clarity. However, in real practice, an individualized treatment plan that is formulated in the way described in Chapter 4 will almost always have strategies for both skill building and symptom reduction, and the therapist will likely deliver these interventions in coordination with each other. The individualized treatment plan helps the therapist prioritize and integrate these goals within each session.

“HABILITATION” FOR CORE PROBLEMS

Chapter 2 outlined the core deficits that have been shown to be present in people with AS. The case formulation worksheet described in Chapter 4 should help the therapist generate hypotheses about how these deficits might be contributing to the presenting problems at the point of intake. It is my assumption that these core deficits, for practical purposes, can be viewed as skill deficits. AS is, after all, a developmental disability. By definition, this means that adults with the syndrome have failed to acquire certain skills that others their age have been able to learn by a given point in life. Logically, if someone is missing a skill, that person either needs to be taught that skill or a compensatory strategy.

The idea of building skills that were never learned is often referred to as habilitation in the field of adult developmental disabilities—that is, within the network of professionals who provide services to adults with mental retardation, autism, and cerebral
palsy. This model assumes that people with disabilities can learn new skills throughout the lifespan. The objective is to ensure that these individuals become as self-sustaining as possible by giving them a multitude of learning opportunities. This philosophy is similar to the rehabilitation approach that may be found among professionals who treat head injury or stroke victims, with the only difference being that in rehabilitation, a patient is trying to regain lost skills that he or she previously possessed. In habilitation, on the other hand, a patient is trying to learn brand-new skills that he or she never before possessed.

I emphasize this point here because any therapist who is working with adults with AS should not assume that a skill not yet learned cannot be learned. It is better to start off assuming that a patient’s missing skill was not acquired because the individual could not learn it in a typical way, but that he or she may be able to learn it in an atypical way. The opportunities that were not provided by the natural environment can be provided in the psychotherapy setting. Sadly, several patients have reported being told either directly or indirectly by professionals that it is too late in life for them to do anything about their AS and that early intervention (i.e., aggressively teaching skills to children between infancy and preschool years) is the only way to change the course of the syndrome. There is no question that early intervention has the greatest impact on a person and will do so in the shortest amount of time. However, I have a lifespan developmental perspective on AS: Even one new skill acquired at one point in an adult life can improve the way that a person experiences his or her world. In that sense, the intervention affects the course of development from that point on, no matter how old the person might be.

This chapter presents intervention choices for addressing the skill-building needs of adults with AS. These skill-related needs are divided into two broad categories that encompass the most common needs seen in this population: social skills and coping skills. Referring back to Figure 2.4, these intervention categories are meant to address the problems listed in the middle boxes. Teaching social skills addresses the behavioral differences with their social consequences. Likewise, teaching coping skills addresses the self-management difficulties with their daily living consequences. Because these issues are considered to be part of the pathway to the development of mental health problems, building skills in these areas serves as a prevention strategy. These skills would help any person with AS, even if there were no comorbid mental health problems present. Including these skills in the treatment plan of a person who does have comorbid anxiety or depression can be considered part of a relapse prevention approach. In fact, many of the strategies described in this chapter will likely be recognized by the reader as behavioral components of traditional CBT.

There is one important consideration to keep in mind as the following skill-building strategies are described. Many of these techniques were not designed for the psychotherapy setting, but were developed by professionals from other disciplines for use by rehabilitation specialists (speech therapists, occupational therapists) or special education teachers. Nevertheless, they lend themselves well to CBT, because teaching is a crucial role for a therapist practicing with a traditional cognitive-behavioral orientation. This is not to say that a psychotherapist can be a substitute for the other disciplines mentioned, however. If a patient is in need of a comprehensive social language intervention plan or sensory–motor skill plan, a referral to a qualified speech or occupational therapist is necessary for a more specialized approach to these issues.
INCREASING SOCIAL SKILLS

Of course, all patients with AS have some difficulty with social interaction, as it is a crucial component of the diagnostic criteria. In most psychotherapy cases, all involved parties (patient, supporters, referral sources) will agree that the individual is in need of “social skills training.” However, the interventions that are warranted for a particular patient may not be clear; the term social skills can mean many different things to different people and vary by stage of development. Adaptive expressions of sexuality and the development of healthy intimate relationships are key facets of social skill development in adults that may not be named by a referral source, for instance. Adults with AS vary greatly among each other in terms of the severity and quality of the interaction problems they have. Some may make gross errors with the most basic rules of courtesy (e.g., speaking too loudly in a restaurant, cutting to the front of a ticket line, repeatedly interrupting a conversation partner), whereas others have mastered simple etiquette but may struggle with subtler social rules, such as how to initiate a friendship with a coworker, how to respond to the criticism of a college professor, or how to maintain a healthy sexual relationship.

Gutstein and Sheely (2002) offer a very useful way of defining the social difficulties seen in AS and HFA. They divide social skills into two categories. Instrumental skills are a set of specific behaviors, such as making eye contact, greeting with a handshake, smiling, turn taking, or starting a conversation—what laypeople would call “being polite.” These behaviors are considered instrumental because they are used by one person to get another person to provide something; one is using another person as an instrument for getting a need met or as a means to a specific end. Relationship skills, on the other hand, are those that involve observing the social environment, rapidly processing emotional information, and then using it as a reference point for determining actions, all toward nurturing an ongoing relationship with another. These processes were described in Chapter 2 under social cognition. Gutstein and Sheely emphasize that instrumental skills are necessary but not sufficient for making and maintaining friendships. Only through the more dynamic relationship skills can a person learn to share genuine joy, collaborate to solve novel problems, cooperate in joint creative effort, or reach shared goals with another. Although instrumental skills can be taught, rehearsed, and memorized as discrete behaviors, relationship skills can only be achieved by learning to process social information in a flexible and dynamic way. For adults, these skills are not only important in pursuing friendships, but also for the development of healthy sexual relationships.

When setting goals for psychotherapy, I find it useful to conceptualize instrumental and relationship skills separately. However, I break them down further into three categories of skills that can be specifically targeted in therapy with adults. Listed in order of most basic to most complex, they are: (1) increasing instrumental skills, (2) increasing the fund of knowledge about social norms, and (3) increasing dynamic “people-reading” skills, or improving social cognition. Similar to Gutstein and Sheely’s (2002) philosophy, each level represents an accumulation of previous levels of skill.

Increasing Instrumental Skills

Surprisingly, many adult patients with AS demonstrate a repertoire of socially appropriate behaviors that are evident upon first meeting them. However, some may need to
spend time building a larger base of these while learning more complex interaction skills, such as the ones required in a romantic or sexual relationship, for instance. The types of skills that fall into the instrumental category are discrete behaviors that can be scripted, rehearsed, and memorized. They include smiling, eye contact, polite phrases (including “please” and “thank you”), greetings, conversation starters, and telephone skills. Also included might be “scripts” for how to complete a transaction with a bank teller, postal worker, store clerk, doctor’s office staff, or service/repair professionals (e.g., plumber, electrician). They allow the person to get things accomplished that involve other people (as long as nothing unexpected occurs). These skills make the individual generally likable to people when dealing with them on a superficial level.

I have observed a trend in my caseload regarding instrumental skills. Roughly speaking, it seems that the older a patient is, the more likely it is that he or she has learned many instrumental behaviors and can recognize the right time and place to use them. Some have actually reported that they have used their logic or memorization to gradually build a large collection of polite things to say and do. For example, one woman in her mid-50s told me that, some time in her 30s, she had noticed that her coworkers tended to smile and talk a lot when making reference to their own children. This observation led her to conclude that asking people about their children was something that made them willing to talk. Because she wanted to converse with people at lunchtime, she added questions about children to her repertoire of conversation starters.

I rarely teach these skills in isolation but do so within the context of teaching social norms or social inference, issues covered in the next two sections. Nevertheless, an example is provided below to illustrate how an instrumental skill can be targeted as the therapist is giving a patient a strategy for using eye contact in a more adaptive way. Notice that the rationale for building the skill is presented first, and then the strategy is provided.

Bob’s comprehensive treatment plan was presented in Chapter 4 and appears in Figure 4.3. He had multiple presenting problems, only one of which involved social skills (Problem 5). His plan involved many interventions; his acute anxiety and depression were addressed in his earlier sessions, leaving social skills as a lower priority. The following discussion took place in a session where social skills were just beginning to be addressed, after his acute anxiety and depression symptoms had improved.

First the rationale was explored.

PATIENT: People tell me I have poor eye contact. My parents are always telling me that people will like me if I make better eye contact. It’s gotten to the point that it’s all I can think about when I meet someone new. I become obsessed with the fact that I am not making eye contact.

THERAPIST: Why do you think that it is important?

PATIENT: I guess people think you are weird if you don’t make eye contact. That’s what my parents keep telling me.

THERAPIST: I noticed that you do make some eye contact with me. Is it something that you do sometimes but not others?

PATIENT: Yeah. It just feels strange to me. When I look at someone in the eye, it feels too intense. It almost hurts if I look too long. Like looking into the sun. I have to look away.
THERAPIST: Is it harder to do when you first meet someone?

PATIENT: Oh, definitely. It’s much worse. I guess because I am nervous. If I am not nervous, I can do it a little bit. But still not for long.

THERAPIST: Yeah. I noticed that when you look at me, it is only for brief moments. I think you have good timing, though, and I think we might be able to build on that. I will explain that more in a minute. But first, let’s try to figure out why people think eye contact is so important.

PATIENT: I have no clue. I just know it counts as a point against you if you don’t do it right.

THERAPIST: What if I gave you a hint and told you that it is a tool for communication. You were a communication major in college, so how could you apply your knowledge to this problem?

PATIENT: I do not get that. Are people sending secret messages to each other with their eyes? That is bizarre.

THERAPIST: Well, sort of. When I look at you while you are talking, what am I telling you, even though I am not talking at that moment?

PATIENT: Oh. That you’re listening to me.

THERAPIST: With my eyes? How?

PATIENT: I don’t know. All I know is that I would not think you were listening if you did not look at me. If you looked out the window or something, Then I would be afraid you were not listening.

THERAPIST: Do you think that is important?

PATIENT: Well, yeah.

THERAPIST: Why?

PATIENT: If you did not listen to me, I would think you don’t give a crap about me.

THERAPIST: OK, then. You answered a big part of the question. To use what you just said, people look at each other to signal that they are listening, which also conveys the message, “I do give a crap about you.”

PATIENT: OK. So if I don’t look at a new person I meet, that person thinks that I don’t give a crap about them?

THERAPIST: Bingo.

PATIENT: Hmmm. I thought it just made me look weird. But you’re saying that I am sending people the wrong message.

THERAPIST: Right. Now I am going to ask you another question. How do you know that I am looking at you. You just said that you know I look at you while you are talking. But how did you find that out about me?

PATIENT: Because I look at you sometimes. I can see it. Even out of the corner of my eye I can see that you are looking at me.

THERAPIST: OK. So we already talked about the importance of my looking at you to convey a message to you. Now we are talking about you looking at me in order to pick up that message. What would happen if you did not look at me at all during our sessions? Would you even know where I was looking? How would you know that I wasn’t staring out the window?
PATIENT: Well, I wouldn’t know.

THERAPIST: So then you look at me to gain information.

PATIENT: I never really thought about that. I don’t do that on purpose, but I see what you are saying.

THERAPIST: What is the point? In other words, what is another reason people look at each and make eye contact, if the first reason is to convey a message?

PATIENT: I guess it is to receive a message.

THERAPIST: Right. Can you summarize by listing the two biggest reasons a person will look at another person’s face, or make eye contact, while conversing?

PATIENT: One is to send the message that you are listening, and one is to see if the other person is sending a message—to receive the message.

THERAPIST: Exactly.

PATIENT: But what do I do about my problem that I feel like it is too intense? I told you that when I do look, the eye contact is so intense and I have to look away. How am I going to get over that?

Here the strategy is introduced.

THERAPIST: Think about the two reasons you just gave me for making eye contact. Do you have to stare continuously into someone’s eyes in order to accomplish those two things?

PATIENT: No. I guess not. But what should I do?

THERAPIST: Other patients have told me that they have the same problem as you. It puts them on “sensory overload” to look directly into other people’s eyes. So I can share with you some of the strategies that they have learned that have helped them to feel more comfortable with this aspect of communication.

PATIENT: What, force myself to stare until I get used to it?

THERAPIST: No. I don’t even think that would work—I actually think that would make you look weird!

PATIENT: Well, then what?

THERAPIST: I mentioned to you a few minutes ago that you are using some eye contact with me, and I said that “your timing is good.” You are already practicing a skill that we can build on. I noticed that you use a lot of glances and make momentary eye contact. You often do this to punctuate certain things you are saying while you are talking. You also tend to look at me whenever I accentuate a point or pause between sentences while I am talking.

PATIENT: OK. I guess I do.

THERAPIST: I remember that you said you have enjoyed writing, right?

PATIENT: Yeah. I haven’t done it lately. But I do like it.

THERAPIST: Think about how you use punctuation when you write. Pay special attention to commas and periods. What are they for?

PATIENT: They mark pauses or endings.
THERAPIST: OK. I want you to close your eyes and listen to me say something. Imagine the words being typed on a page and picture in your mind where you would put a comma or a period. (Reads a brief paragraph from a book.) Did you get an image of it?

PATIENT: Yeah, I think so.

THERAPIST: OK. Now open your eyes and listen to me read it again. This time, I want you to give me a brief glance of eye contact at each point where there is a comma or period.

PATIENT: This is so odd. But OK.

THERAPIST: (Reads passage again while keeping patient in line of sight.) That was great. You did it perfectly. How did it feel?

PATIENT: Very awkward.

THERAPIST: That’s because it is awkward. I’m asking you to do something brand-new that will not feel natural at first. But with repeated practice, like anything new you learn, it will get easier. Now let’s do the same thing again, only I want you to say something to me. First close your eyes and say something while imagining the commas and periods. Then open your eyes and say it again, giving me momentary glances at those points.

This exercise was repeated several times across several sessions until the patient reported that he was using it in social situations. This strategy serves to teach the patient an isolated instrumental skill that was not acquired early in life the way it would be for a typical person. This is not a substitute for what early intervention provides to a young child on the autism spectrum because what an adult learns is likely to be qualitatively different from what a toddler learns through skill training. Bob is not likely to ever sustain long continuous eye contact with other people. However, he does not need to, especially because he finds it aversive, in order to succeed in exchanging important messages with other people through the strategy presented here.

**Increasing Fund of Social Knowledge**

Increasing the patient’s fund of social knowledge involves giving him or her information about social norms and codes of conduct that tend to be “unwritten”—that is, are not generally taught through explicit means. Typical people acquire this knowledge through inference as they grow and develop. These inferences are based on observation of other people and the accurate perception of nonverbal feedback that is given when a norm is violated; formal instruction is not usually needed. For example, typical people “just know” how far away to stand from someone while talking in the hallway at work or at a cocktail party, without ever having been given explicit, formal instructions on what the norm is (approximately 18 inches or arm’s length), or “can just sense” when somebody is flirting with them and “just know” how to reciprocate. People with AS, on the other hand, need more explicit instruction on such norms in order to learn them.

Although these skills do overlap with the instrumental skills mentioned earlier, building this fund of knowledge requires more abstract thinking ability. It is through discussion of social norms that the thoughts, beliefs, and expectations of others begin to be explored with the patient. Instrumental skills, as mentioned, can be memorized and
performed successfully without any knowledge of what the other people in the environment are thinking. For example, patients can learn to smile and say “Nice to meet you” when introduced to new people and can repeat the performance flawlessly every time, without having any idea why they are doing it. In order for the skill to be acquired, it is not necessary to know, for example, that people practice this behavior to make each other feel comfortable or to make a positive impression on each other.

Learning about social norms and rules, however, though requiring instrumental skills as a prerequisite, also requires an ability to imagine the expectations of others, which is the beginning of the social inference process. After all, many social rules exist only in the minds of the people in one’s culture and society, as most are not written down anywhere. Of course, there are some written rules within one’s society, including laws, religious rules, or secular codes of conduct that can be found in etiquette books. Beyond that there remain hundreds, maybe thousands, of rules that govern peoples’ behavior that are not explicitly stated by any particular source.

Several commercially available tools for teaching these rules to adults with AS are listed in the appendix. One is a book called The Hidden Curriculum: Practical Solutions for Understanding Unstated Rules in Social Situations, by Myles, Trautman, and Schelvan (2004) and is described below.

**The Hidden Curriculum**

The Hidden Curriculum (Myles et al., 2004) includes a list of more than 400 statements about the social norms and expectations of people in a multitude of social settings. Some are very elementary instrumental skills that might be found in traditional etiquette books, such as this example:

> “Always chew your food with your mouth closed.”

However, many are more obscure norms that typical people know but are less likely to state explicitly. People with AS often miss many pieces of this type of information, even those with high IQs. It may be surprising to the reader to learn that a bright, articulate person would need to be told the following:

> “If you are around people who are not invited to a party you are going to attend, do not discuss the plans in front of them.”

> “When other seats are available in a movie theater, leave a space between yourself and a stranger.”

I continue to be dismayed by the paucity of social information some of these patients possess relative to their intellectual functioning level. Family members and other supporters express frustration over this deficit and often make comments such as, “Come on. How could he *not* know that by now?” I have come to adopt a motto regarding the knowledge of social norms in patients with AS, which I occasionally have to remind myself about as well as members of the patient’s support system. The saying is, “Assume nothing; explain everything.”

An example of one way the Hidden Curriculum can be used is illustrated by the case of Rose, the 37-year-old woman with PDD-NOS who lived in a group home, intro-
duced in Chapter 1. As a brief review, her social behavior was particularly immature, given her age and IQ. This immaturity had caused her to be fired from two different jobs that would have otherwise been quite manageable for her. As part of her treatment plan, the therapist had identified “increase understanding of social norms” as one goal. The *Hidden Curriculum* was introduced to her in one session, which caused her to protest. She glanced at the book and said, “This is for babies. I don’t need this.” The therapist knew that Rose did enjoy making jokes, using humor, and playing games, however. She tried to appeal to those qualities by approaching her again with the book, with the idea that it could be looked at “just for fun.” This is how the discussion went:

**THERAPIST:** How about if we just read a couple of these each week, and you can tell me if each one makes sense or not; if you agree with the rule or not.

**PATIENT:** Don’t I have to agree with all of them?

**THERAPIST:** No. Some of them may seem silly to you. Some of them are too easy and some of them are strange. Some of them will make us laugh. You can tell me which ones seem ridiculous to you, and we can discuss them.

**PATIENT:** OK. Can you read one to me now?

**THERAPIST:** OK, how about this one? (Deliberately picks one that will appeal to Rose’s humor.) “Pajamas should not be worn outdoors.”

**PATIENT:** (laughing) That is ridiculous! Everyone knows that.

**THERAPIST:** Well, someone didn’t know it, or else it would not be in this book.

**PATIENT:** Really? Well I know that! Read another one, please. This is funny.

This activity continued in the weekly sessions. Although other therapy goals were also being worked on, about 10 minutes of each session were spent reading these social rules. Eventually, Rose did not understand some of the rules, and she was willing to engage in a dialogue with the therapist about the rationale behind them. Here is a discussion that took place several weeks after the first one, while reading rules from the book again. Notice that the therapist uses Socratic questioning to get the patient to come up with the rationale behind the rule.

**THERAPIST:** Here is another one. “If you are eating at a friend’s house and you don’t like what is being served, say “Just a little bit please; I’m not very hungry” instead of “I don’t want anything—I don’t like that.”

**PATIENT:** I’m not eating what I don’t like! No way!

**THERAPIST:** You don’t have to eat it. But don’t tell them you don’t like it.

**PATIENT:** Why not? I think I should ask them to get me something I like.

**THERAPIST:** Has your mother ever had people over for dinner while you were there?

**PATIENT:** Yeah. All the time. She cooks a lot.

**THERAPIST:** Have you ever heard a guest tell her they did not like the food?

**PATIENT:** No.

**THERAPIST:** No? Why do you think that is?

**PATIENT:** Because she cooks good food. And she would get pissed if someone said that!
THERAPIST: Why? Let’s go back to what you said you would do if you did not like the food at someone’s house. Don’t you think a guest has the right to ask your mom to give them something they like?

PATIENT: It takes my mother all day to make the food. They should eat it.

THERAPIST: But if someone doesn’t like it . . .

PATIENT: I told you. My mom would get PISSED if someone told her that.

THERAPIST: Because it took her all day to make it?

PATIENT: Yeah.

THERAPIST: Do you think it’s possible that whenever anyone has people over to eat, that they have to put some work into preparing the food?

PATIENT: I guess so.

THERAPIST: Do you think anyone who has put work into preparing food would get pissed if the guests rejected it?

PATIENT: I guess so.

THERAPIST: Could that be the reason this rule is in this book?

PATIENT: Yeah, but I still think people should serve me what I like.

THERAPIST: Of course, we all prefer to eat what we like. When we go to restaurants we get to pick what we like because we are paying for it. But when you are at someone’s house, they are giving you the food for free. That means you don’t get to pick.

PATIENT: Hmmm. Well, don’t you think a good friend makes sure they have everything you like in their house already?

THERAPIST: You tell me. When was the last time your mother had people over?

PATIENT: Saturday.

THERAPIST: How many people were there?

PATIENT: I don’t know. About 10.

THERAPIST: What did she make?

PATIENT: Lasagna. It was really good. Ummmmm.

THERAPIST: Do you think she called them each up beforehand and asked them for a list of the things they like and things they don’t like? Ten people? Ten lists?

PATIENT: No. That’s ridiculous. She doesn’t have time for that.

THERAPIST: OK. You just said that good friends make sure they have all of your preferred foods on hand. Does that mean your mother does not have time to be a good friend? She does not have time to find that out about each of her friend’s food preferences?

PATIENT: She is a good friend because she is cooking all day to give them good food!

THERAPIST: But we’re back to the same question again. What if one person out of the 10 who came over Saturday night happens to dislike lasagna?

PATIENT: They should shut up and eat it!

THERAPIST: Isn’t that what this rule is saying we should all do?
PATIENT: OK, OK. I get the point. I guess I forgot that other people work hard at preparing food before I come over. I never thought about it because I didn’t see them do it. But I guess they do it before I come over. OK. OK. I get it.

Rose demonstrated a problem that many people with AS have, which is generalizing information. Typical people understand this rule because they can naturally generalize an experience they have had personally (e.g., watching Mom work hard on meal preparation) and assume that others must go through the same thing when preparing meals for guests even though it is not directly observed. Rose had never made that connection, however, until she received explicit guidance through that logic process. It would be easy for people to label Rose as selfish and uncaring about others. However, once she made the connection between her mother’s hard work and the work of others, she accepted the rule.

Social Narrative

The social narrative provides a useful tool for moving away from hypothetical situations into using real-life scenarios that may be problematic for a patient. A story is cowritten by the therapist and the patient that integrates new social information with the patient’s current perspective on the situation. A formula for designing such a narrative is found in the Myles et al. (2004) Hidden Curriculum book mentioned above. Instructions can also be found in the Social Stories™ approach offered by Gray (1995, 1998). The latter is a comprehensive methodology for using social narratives with AS and HFA and has been shown to lead to positive behavior change in single-subject studies of adolescents with AS (Bledsoe, Myles, & Simpson, 2003; Rogers & Myles, 2001).

Although the stories vary greatly according to the age, functioning level, and learning style of the patient, all can be used to teach social norms that will be personally relevant to the patient. Most will include a description of the situation that is currently causing a problem, information about the patient’s current subjective experience of the situation, information about how others feel and react, and what prompts the feelings and expectations of others in such situations. The expectations should be explained in a way that fits the information-processing style of the person and should include some direction for what the patient should do in such circumstances, based on the expectations of others. The therapist should avoid using language that is judgmental or critical about the patient’s maladaptive behavior, however. In other words, the story should not be focused on telling the patient that his or her behavior has been wrong or inappropriate. Generally, the patient has already been told that numerous times, but has not been told why. The assumption behind this intervention is that the patient needs to be told why his or her strategy is not working or else behavior change will not occur.

This approach is hard to grasp without an example, so one is described here. Ted was a 19-year-old man who had been repeatedly asked to leave college classrooms and had been fired from several jobs because of his overtly intrusive behavior, which involved violating other people’s “invisible boundaries.” The most frequent complaint of others was that he would ask people he did not know very well if they had any candy or gum to give him. He would persist with his question whenever someone denied having any to give. Although it was a relatively harmless behavior on one level, it annoyed people to the point of avoiding him or directly criticizing him in an unkind
way. It also made him appear vulnerable in front of strangers, and I was concerned that he was risking exploitation by predatory members of the community. He had been told repeatedly by supporters (e.g., family members and teachers), that the behavior was inappropriate, but he did not seem affected by that feedback. I took advantage of an opportunity to write a social narrative with him when the location of sessions was to be incidentally changed from one location to another. The original location was a quiet, private, sole practitioner’s office, but the new one was in a busy outpatient medical clinic. I was concerned the he would alienate everyone in the office on the first day there by asking other patients in the waiting room and office staff for gum or candy. Therefore, this scenario was chosen as the center of the story.

The following narrative was written in one session the week before the change in location was to occur. I sat next to the patient at a computer and alternated writing phrases. Whenever I used my words to describe the patient’s thoughts or feelings, I used a hypothesis about what might be the patient’s experience and checked it with him before settling on it. If I made a wrong assumption, the patient would let me know, and the wrong phrase would be erased. I was confident that the patient was able to discriminate between phrases that did match his experience, versus those that did not, as he appeared to put careful thought into each one before endorsing it or not. Whenever I wrote phrases that imparted social information to the patient, I would check to see if he understood what was being said. If he did not understand or he disagreed with a norm, we would stop writing and discuss the rationale in more detail. With this process, the end product, presented below, included a relatively accurate assessment of the patient’s perspective, which was integrated with new information about social norms and direction on what he should do in such situations.

I am going to see Dr. Gaus in a new place next week.
I might get to the clinic early.
I get nervous when I have to wait. I also get bored if I have to wait.
I feel better if I eat a snack or candy.
Sometimes there is candy in waiting rooms.
Candy that is displayed in a dish on the coffee table or counter is for people to take. This is “public food.”
Candy that is not displayed publicly on the coffee table or counter is “private food.”
People keep “private food” in their drawers, cabinets, pockets, or purses.
People feel offended when they are asked to give away their “private food.”
Sometimes when people feel offended, they hide those feelings.
I will bring a book with me. If I have to wait, I can read my book.
I will bring some Lifesavers in my backpack. If I have to wait, I can eat some of my Lifesavers.

Interestingly, when I wrote the phrase “People feel offended when they are asked to give away their ‘private food,’ ” the patient adamantly protested. I paused in the writing process and the following dialogue took place:

PATIENT: That is not true. You are wrong.
THERAPIST: What’s wrong about it?
PATIENT: People don’t feel offended. I have never seen that. You are wrong.

THERAPIST: How do you know they are not offended?

PATIENT: Because they always give me the gum or candy. I don’t think they would give it to me if they were mad at me. Most people share their candy.

THERAPIST: Do you think that it is possible that people could give you the candy even though they are offended? Could people be offended but still give away their candy?

PATIENT: I can tell when people are mad. They usually curse at me or call me names. But those people don’t give me their candy. They’re mean, anyway. They probably have bad candy (laughs).

THERAPIST: Do you think it is possible that there are types of annoyance that people are less dramatic about? I mean, are cursing and name calling the only ways people show that they are offended?

PATIENT: I never noticed anything else. What do you mean?

THERAPIST: I mean that people sometimes feel annoyed inside but don’t show it outside. In our society, it is usually considered rude to ask people to give away their private food. I know this is kind of confusing, but in our society, it is also considered polite to hide annoyed feelings from people whom you don’t know very well. So polite people will usually hide those feelings from you because they don’t want to hurt your feelings. But they are still secretly annoyed as they give you the candy. It may lead some people to think you are rude or strange, but their politeness stops them from telling you that out loud. They will hand you their candy but will not want to be your friend and may even try to avoid you if they see you again on another day.

PATIENT: (pause) Hmmmm. I never really noticed that. People do avoid me sometimes, but I figure it’s just because they are not nice.

THERAPIST: Well, we can certainly talk about that some more, maybe even in some other sessions. For now, though, would you be willing to consider that when people are offended, they sometimes hide those feelings?

PATIENT: Yeah, I guess so. I never thought about that before.

THERAPIST: OK. Then I will add a line to our story about that.

As you can see, this process serves not only as an intervention but also as an ongoing assessment. In this example, I gained more information about the way the patient perceived social situations that I may not have learned through other means.

On the day of the appointment, I called the patient at home and asked him to read this story out loud and to ask questions about any part that was still unclear. When he came to the appointment later that day, I was unable to observe him in the waiting room because I was in session. However, he was asked to evaluate his own performance when his session started, and he proudly reported success; he did not ask one person for gum or candy. His accomplishment was praised, and I was pleased at the effectiveness of the intervention. Nevertheless, after he left, I went around the office and queried staff about his conduct. They all corroborated his self-report. He had not asked anyone for gum or candy. However, he had asked them all for money, as he had
spotted a vending machine in the building on the way in. On one hand this sounds like a humorous punchline to this case example. On the other hand, it serves as a powerful lesson about how literal-minded these patients can be, and how skills taught in one context will not necessarily generalize to another. Needless to say, the next session was spent writing a social narrative about asking people for money!

Improving Social Cognition

Having good instrumental skills and a solid fund of social knowledge is, as previously noted, still not enough for social success. One must know *when to use* these skills; to be able to recognize which social encounters call for which instrumental behaviors and relate to *which social norms*. This type of knowledge is based on the ability to assess the social context of a situation, observe the verbal and nonverbal behavior of other people, infer their mental states, understand what is expected, and then carry it out. This is the most complex set of skills.

I often tell patients that I wish I could have ongoing video footage of all the social encounters they are having from day to day in the natural environment. This hypothetical footage could be used in session for discussions about the verbal and nonverbal communication between each patient and the people he or she encounters. Until I can figure out a way to make that happen, I must rely on other means of teaching patients how to “read” others and then use that information to come up with adaptive responses. For all patients, a combination of the following interventions are used to build these skills.

- Didactic presentation of commercially available materials.
- Retrospective discussions about encounters the patient reports having had between sessions.
- Behavioral rehearsal of planned encounters.
- Incidental feedback on the natural behavior displayed during interactions with the therapist.

No matter how basic or sophisticated the skills being taught are, multimodal teaching strategies will optimize learning. For example, if teaching a patient how to apply a new social norm that requires reading the nonverbal cues of others, using didactic presentation alone is not as likely to lead to behavior change if not combined with visual aids, rehearsal, and/or incidental feedback.

Several published training packages include useful tools for enhancing social cognition. They are listed and briefly described below. More detailed information about sources can be found in the appendix.

- **Social Stories™** (Gray, 1995, 1998). The author is an educator who developed this social narrative approach in educational settings for adolescents with AS or HFA. It was already introduced in the previous section as a tool for teaching social norms. It is also used to enhance perspective-taking skills and to introduce the idea of inferring mental states of others.
- **Comic Strip Conversations** (Gray, 1994). This workbook comes from the same author who developed Social Stories, and it offers visual materials based on traditional
comic symbols (e.g., bubbles above people’s heads symbolizing thoughts), which can teach various social inference concepts to patients. This approach can be used for analyzing incidents that have already occurred or to prepare for and rehearse planned social encounters.

- **Mind Reading CD-ROM** (Cambridge University, 2004). This interactive CD-ROM, created by a team headed by Simon Baron-Cohen, presents patients with a variety of real-life faces, gestures, body postures, and scenarios on the computer screen in order to teach them to infer mental states.

- **Inside Out: What Makes a Person with Social-Cognitive Deficits Tick?** (Winner, 2000) and **Thinking about You Thinking about Me** (Winner, 2002). The author of both of these workbooks is a speech–language pathologist who has developed an excellent set of strategies that target the **cognitive dysfunction** believed to be responsible for the overt social behavior problems observed in people with AS and related syndromes. She provides a multitude of worksheets and exercises that are well suited to teaching adults about social inference and perspective taking.

- **Relationship Development Intervention with Children, Adolescents and Adults: Social and Emotional Development Activities for Asperger Syndrome, Autism, PDD and NLD** (Gutstein & Sheely, 2002). This is a book that offers a comprehensive set of social-cognition activities and exercises that are based on the authors’ six-stage developmental model of relationship skill development. The book includes a tool, “The Relationship Development Questionnaire,” which can help a therapist learn which of the six stages of relationship development a particular individual is at, which then determines what exercises are needed for that person to advance to a higher level of skill.

- **Asperger’s Syndrome and Sexuality: From Adolescence through Adulthood** (Hénault, 2005). This book is a valuable resource for assessing social-sexual treatment needs and offers a set skill-building program aimed at increasing competency in the sexual domain of functioning.

The individualized treatment plan of a patient will direct which of these intervention strategies are chosen and how they are combined. As mentioned before, these abilities involve dynamic and flexible processes that cannot be taught as static, isolated behaviors. In that sense, the therapist should interweave these concepts into everything that is done in session, no matter what the topic of discussion might be, teaching patients through both direct and indirect means. Even if the therapist is talking to the patient about a financial problem, let’s say, the impact that social-cognition issues may have had on the money problem can be in the back of the therapist’s mind. Also, the way in which the therapist interacts with the patient during the money discussion can be guided by social behavior that the therapist is trying to model for the patient. The following description offers one example of how higher-level social-cognition skills can be taught directly.

**Perspective-Taking Exercises**

The case of Andrew was introduced in Chapter 1 and revisited in Chapter 4. To briefly review again, he is a 32-year-old man who has a degree in culinary arts and works as a manager in a gourmet food shop. He came to therapy looking for help with his lack of social relationships and depressive symptoms. He has a passionate interest and talent
in model railroad building, of which he is ashamed. I had determined that, among
other issues, his extreme self-focus and sour-appearing expressions were probably con-
tributing to his friendship and dating problems. Extremely poor perspective-taking
skills were likely playing a role, as per the model put forth by Winner (2000, 2002).
When I asked him to describe several different scenarios in which he had been uncom-
fortable in social situations (e.g., at a bar with coworkers, “hanging out” with brother’s
friends), I asked him to tell me a little bit about each person with whom he interacted.
He knew almost nothing about any of them (e.g., their interests, family situations, per-
sonality traits). It appeared that he did not know how to focus on others and learn more
about them, which is a basic skill necessary for relationship success. Granted, his
depressive symptoms were also playing a role in this process, and that area was being
addressed in the treatment plan through other means. To address the self-focus, I chose
to use exercises in the Thinking about You Thinking about Me book (Winner, 2002). The
following discussion shows how the idea was introduced to Andrew.

THERAPIST: Andrew, we have been talking quite a bit about how frustrated you are with
your lack of social connections.

PATIENT: Yes. I am totally sick of it. I am sick and tired of being ignored.

THERAPIST: It sounds like you really have been ignored in a lot of the situations you told
me about. It also sounds like you are quite nervous each time you are in a group of
people.

PATIENT: I am. I just don’t know what to do about it. How can I get people to notice me
and see that I am a nice guy?

THERAPIST: Well, Andrew, I have to say that I found it interesting last week in our session
that you were not able to tell me very much about your coworkers or your
brother’s friends. It seems as though in the time you have known them, you have
not learned anything about them.

PATIENT: How can I? They have not given me the time of day!

THERAPIST: Have you given them the time of day?

PATIENT: What do you mean?

THERAPIST: It seems that they are ignoring you. But it also seems that you are ignoring
them.

PATIENT: I don’t understand.

THERAPIST: You want to become friendly with these people, but you have not done one
of the things that people do when they want to get to know someone. They learn
about them. How do you even know if you like them, or which ones you like, if
you don’t know anything about them?

PATIENT: I don’t know.

THERAPIST: (Remembers his culinary arts training.) People are like food and wine. You have
to figure out which kind you like. They are not all the same, and you won’t like all
of them.

PATIENT: Well, I don’t think I can afford to be so picky.

THERAPIST: Well, maybe you won’t be picky yet. But the process of getting to know some-
one is actually going to help you in more than one way. If you practice some strategies that I am going to suggest to you, there are two things that are going to change for you. One is that you will be so distracted by the exercise I am going to give you that you will be less focused on yourself and therefore less nervous. The second is that you will actually appear more appealing to others, because people enjoy the idea that someone else is interested in them. Let me show you this worksheet that will help with this. This is called the “Visual Web of What You Remember about Others.” (Gives the patient the worksheet from Winner, 2002, presented in Figure 6.1.)

This sheet gives you a framework for beginning to get to know someone. Think of one person in one of the groups you hang out with whom you would like to do this worksheet for this week.

PATIENT: How about Paul? I work with him every afternoon.

THERAPIST: OK. Write his name in the middle circle of this sheet. Then for homework, fill in the four boxes with the information requested.

PATIENT: Oh, I already know something for the types of food he likes. He loves Thai food.

THERAPIST: How did you know that?

PATIENT: I heard him tell a customer he was serving one time.

THERAPIST: Perfect. Write that down in the food box. Why do you think that just came to your mind now, and not last week when I was asking what you knew about these people?

PATIENT: I guess I’m in a different mind-set right now. I’m also less nervous. I can’t think of things when I’m nervous.

THERAPIST: Well, that means you are using this sheet correctly already. It is supposed to simultaneously change your mind-set and reduce your anxiety. I think you are going to be good at this. OK, so this week you will finish this sheet. One thing to keep in mind is, do not approach Paul and “interview” him all at once. That may appear awkward. Rather, try to see how much you can learn just by continuing to listen to him talk to customers, for example, like the way you found out about his favorite food. Then occasionally ask him a question if you have free time with him.

PATIENT: So that’s all I have to do this week?

THERAPIST: Yes. I will show you what we are going to do in the near future. (Shows the patient the “Creating Files in Your Brain” worksheet from Winner, 2002, shown in Figure 6.2.) This sheet shows you where we are headed. Each person in your social group will eventually be represented on this sheet—and hopefully in your memory, because the goal is to create a “file” in your head on each person.

Andrew used these sheets for 6 weeks until he was more accepting of the idea that getting to know someone is a mutual process, in which each person is responsible for paying attention to each other.

In summary, this section presented strategies for social skill building in adults with AS. Three levels of skill needs were described separately for the sake of conceptualization: *instrumental skills*, *fund of social knowledge skills*, and *social cognition skills*. However, therapists generally teach all three types of skills in an integrated fashion, depending
Your brain holds all the information for what you think and know. Getting to know someone else means you have to store that information in a file in your brain. You have to work at remembering to put the information into your brain. Then the next time you see that person, you can brainstorm, which means when you think hard about that person, you will be able to open your file about them!

Below, brainstorm what you remember about the different people you have met in this group.

![Worksheet: Creating files in your brain to remember about others.](image)

on the individualized case formulation for a given patient. Materials and resources that have been developed for this purpose were recommended. Although some of these published packages have been designed for different settings and age levels, case examples were used to illustrate how they could be applied in an adult psychotherapy context.

**INCREASING COPING SKILLS**

In addition to the socialization problems discussed above, some symptoms of AS create ongoing stress in an individual’s life. Simple daily tasks and responsibilities are difficult to manage because of EF problems. Sensory input and emotional arousal are not easily modulated, making even the most mundane events overwhelming. Cognitive rigidity makes adaptation to change and unexpected events very challenging. For most patients with AS, a portion of the treatment plan should be devoted to building coping skills so that stress can be managed more effectively (Groden, Baron, & Groden, 2006; Groden et al., 1994). The acquisition of coping skills enhances quality of life, supports the treatment of comorbid mental health problems, and prevents relapse of those comorbid conditions. In the spirit of Meichenbaum’s (1985) stress inoculation model, different patients will need to learn different skills, depending on which factors are making them most vulnerable to stress, and the individualized case formulation will specify those factors for a given patient.

**Rationale for Developing Compensatory Skills**

Despite the fact that an AS diagnosis in adulthood can bring some relief, it also brings many questions to mind about ability versus disability. During the psychoeducational process discussed in Chapter 5, patients often connect the symptoms with the nonsocial problems they have in carrying out the tasks of daily living and performing well at a job. They often ask, “How much of this problem can I change, and how much do I have to accept?” or “Which abilities can I learn, and which ones do I have to live without?”

The therapist does not have easy answers to these questions but can guide the patient through a self-assessment process, with the goal of gaining a better understanding of strengths and deficits. Although I have a strengths-based approach, I also value helping the patient come to a realistic understanding of his or her own limitations. The more clearly defined a deficit is, the easier it is for the patient to come up with a way to get around the inherent obstacles. This circumvention can be very difficult, however, because so many of the deficits are subtle in their presentation. Receptive language processing problems, EF deficits (planning, organization), or sensory sensitivities all make a person function poorly and can easily be misattributed by other people to “character flaws.” Individuals with AS have heard remarks throughout their lives such as, “He [she] doesn’t keep his [her] room clean because he [she] is just plain lazy.” As a result of such misattributions, many have learned to hide their problems from people in order to avoid criticism—but through strategies that are maladaptive. Andrew’s case, which is mentioned again under time management skills below, serves as a good example of this point.

Because there can be a tendency to try to hide deficits, talking openly with the therapist about these issues makes some patients feel very uneasy. The therapist can
assure the reluctant patient that this type of self-assessment is something that most typical adults do naturally. As far as tasks of daily living, a normal healthy adult who knows he or she is not good at something (e.g., balancing the checkbook, cooking, fixing leaky pipes) will find somebody else to do it for him or her, either getting a family member or friend to do it, or hiring someone to do it. Occupational choices are also affected by a typical adult’s realistic assessments about his or her own deficits. Part of the process of choosing a profession, for example, involves ruling out things that a person knows he or she would not be good at doing. Like so many things that typical people do without much conscious thought, people with AS need to go through this process with explicit guidance. Normalizing the need to go through this process will often increase the patient’s willingness to find ways to compensate for his or her deficits.

The rest of this section presents the coping skills from which patients with AS most commonly benefit: time management, problem solving, relaxation, and preassertiveness. All can be seen as strategies for compensation in that they help individuals manage their deficits more effectively. Most of the strategies discussed here have a long history of demonstrated efficacy with typical adults. Because they are so thoroughly presented by their original sources, they are not described in detail here. Descriptions are given of any special considerations or modifications the therapist may make for adults with AS, but references to more comprehensive instructions for the techniques are provided for readers who are less familiar with them. There are some cognitive strategies (reappraisal, self-instruction) that, though often considered part of stress management, are addressed in the next chapter when the treatment of comorbid mental health problems is described.

**Time Management Skills**

It is not uncommon for people with AS to have problems creating or following a schedule. Chronic lateness is a complaint for some patients, whereas for others, a sense of “never getting anything done” contributes to stress. The EF deficits described in Chapter 2 likely contribute to some patients’ poor awareness of how they are spending their time, or to their unrealistic ideas about what can be completed in an hour or a day. The following list includes strategies that can help patients manage time more effectively.

- Use a blank daily schedule template to take baseline data on how time is being spent.
- Make a “to-do” list and put items in priority order.
- Set small realistic goals for each day or week.
- Use visual cues to help remind self about tasks.

One of the interventions that was built into Andrew’s treatment plan was time management training. Among his other problems, he had great difficulty completing the tasks for which he was responsible, mostly related to housekeeping. His parents reported that they were often frustrated with him when he lived in their house, as he always had a cluttered room. He never seemed to complete tasks they would ask him to do in the family home. They viewed him as a procrastinator, and when they got angry with him, they would call him lazy. Now that he was living in his own apartment, his whole place was “a cluttered mess.” Andrew told me that he felt over-
whelmed by the tasks, and although he always intended to do them, he had no idea how to start. He had learned to “yea say” his parents, always promising to do things they asked him to, while thinking that he did not know how to get started. He was afraid to discuss this problem with them, and his lack of communication with them only heightened their frustration with what they viewed as procrastination. The discussion he had with me revealed that he believed he did not have enough time to do all of the things he needed to do. This outlook was puzzling because he worked fewer than 40 hours a week and had very few commitments outside of work. After exploring this area more, it seemed that he was distorting time in several ways. One was that he thought he had less time than he really did. The other was that he estimated the amount of time some tasks would take as being longer than they really would. In addition, he seemed unable to choose a task to do at any given moment. If he had some time available, he would ponder all the tasks he could possibly think about, all at once, and get so overwhelmed by this process that he would choose none. Finally, he did not know how to break down a big task into smaller steps.

To address this problem, Andrew was first asked to log his time for one week. He was given a blank activity schedule sheet (from Persons et al., 2000) which shows a week with each day broken down into 1-hour blocks. He was asked to fill in each hour, noting the activity he was doing at that time. He was instructed not to try to modify how he spent his time because the purpose was to get a picture of how he typically spent his time. After he completed this assignment, he was able to use the visual representation to more accurately assess his availability. Then he was asked to make a list of all of the things on which he felt he was behind. When asked to put them in priority order, he had difficulty. He paused and thought about each one but reported that he did not know how to choose which one should go first, because they were all important. An entire session was spent teaching him a prioritization system by categorizing each item as one of the following:

“Urgent”—I must do this ASAP” (neglecting it would cause imminent threat to my health, safety, or financial standing).

“Important”—I would like to do this soon” (nonurgent things that would make me feel good or involve a commitment I have made to someone else).

“Can wait”—I would like this to be done sometime in the next 6–12 months” (I would enjoy seeing this done, but no harm will be done if I do not do it soon).

After the list was categorized, he was shown how to make a to-do list. As mentioned, he had a tendency to think of all the things he had to do all the time. Even after he had made his prioritization list, he seemed to have difficulty mentally filtering out the items that were less important from those that were more important. Therefore, when he made his to-do list for the upcoming week, he was directed to also make a not-to-do list, where all of the things that he had not chosen for the week would be written. He was told that he was not allowed to do the things on the not-to-do list. A self-instructional strategy was suggested; he was told that if he found his mind wandering to the things on the not-to-do list, he was to say to himself, “Andrew, you are not allowed to do those things this week. You are not even allowed to think about them. Look at your to-do list only.” This strategy was meant to alleviate some of the pressure he seemed to be putting on himself to complete too many things in too little time.
Finally, he was shown how to take a large task (e.g., cleaning out the basement) and make it into a list of smaller tasks that did not all need to be done on the same day or in the same week (e.g., pick up garbage from basement floor, sort recycling items, sort items for donation, designate different storage areas for different types of things, buy or repair shelving units). Andrew reported an improvement in his task management after practicing these strategies over several weeks.

**Problem-Solving Skills**

Because of the difficulties experienced by these patients in regulating their emotions and sensory experiences, they are easily overwhelmed by problems that may seem minor to other people. They have difficulty drawing inferences from information (Rumsey & Hamburger, 1988) and are also subject to repeat errors despite feedback that they are incorrect responses (Hoffman & Prior, 1982). In one study of problem-solving ability in adolescents with ASD, subjects were presented with novel problem scenarios. Compared with typical controls, they showed poorer memory for pertinent facts in the scenario, less generation of appropriate solutions, and difficulty choosing optimal solutions (Channon, Charman, Heap, Crawford, & Rios, 2001). Teaching them a traditional problem-solving formula, based on the work of D’Zurilla and colleagues (e.g., D’Zurilla, 1986; D’Zurilla & Goldfried, 1971), can give them one tool for modulating the intense emotional reaction they may have to an unexpected problem by learning to (1) define the problem more objectively, (2) practice generating and choosing viable options, and (3) evaluate their own performance of solutions. The process involved in filling out a worksheet, such as the one shown in Figure 6.3, can help some patients decrease their arousal level in the face of an overwhelming problem. The therapist may need to take more time teaching these skills than might be necessary with a typical adult. For example, Step 6 on the sheet, “Implementation,” may require advance preparation, scripting, and role playing, which a typical adult would not need. Once the patient has learned how to fill out a sheet (while in a calm state, of course), it can later become a self-soothing activity in the face of a significant dilemma. As one patient put it, there is comfort to be found in “having something to do” with the thoughts and feelings that are “wreaking havoc in the mind.” Reading the sheet and writing in answers is incompatible with other maladaptive reactions that many adults with AS have reported in the face of extreme stress (e.g., nonfunctional rumination, screaming, punching walls, banging head on objects), and the structure that is provided by the problem-solving formula is appealing to people with AS.

Figure 6.4 contains an example of a problem that was effectively managed by Salvador, a 33-year-old man with AS who was introduced in Chapter 1. Three full sessions were spent on this exercise. In the first, he described a conflict that had arisen between his work schedule at his new job and his physical therapy appointments, which he needed to help in the healing of a shoulder injury. He had not taken any active steps to solve the problem but was becoming increasingly angry and frustrated each day. His anger was directed toward others in his life and was beginning to affect his interactions with his boss. The sheet was introduced and problem identification was completed. The second session was spent finishing the sheet, and the third was spent following up. The overlap with other therapy components is apparent in Figure 6.4, in that assertiveness skills, reappraisal, self-monitoring, and self-reinforcement were involved. This
1. **Problem identification:** What is the concern?

2. **Goal selection:** What do I want?

3. **Generation of alternatives:** What can I do? (Brainstorming)

4. **Consideration of consequences:** What might happen?

5. **Decision making:** What is my decision?

6. **Implementation:** Now do it and write down how it went.

7. **Evaluation:** Did it work?

**FIGURE 6.3.** Problem-solving worksheet.

From Valerie L. Gaus (2007). Copyright by The Guilford Press. Permission to photocopy this figure is granted to purchasers of this book for personal use only (see copyright page for details).
1. **Problem identification:** What is the concern?
I have to go to physical therapy three times a week. The physical therapy office gave me appointment times that are during my work hours. I asked my boss if I could come in late a few days a week and she said no.

2. **Goal selection:** What do I want?
I want to be able to make my physical therapy appointments.

3. **Generation of alternatives:** What can I do? (Brainstorming)
   a) Ask the physical therapist to change the time.
   b) Avoid physical therapy for a few weeks.
   c) Try to sneak into work late, hoping the boss won’t notice.
   d) Talk to the boss again, explain more about the situation.
   e) Talk to the boss again and offer to work late on the days I come in late.
   f) Approach the boss in a demanding fashion and tell her she is being insensitive.
   g) Quit my job.

4. **Consideration of consequences:** What might happen?
   a) The office sounded firm about these times. It is not likely to work.
   b) I may go backwards in my healing.
   c) It will cause more anxiety and stress trying to avoid being caught.
   d) This may work because I had not gone into detail with her.
   e) She would like the idea of my making up the time.
   f) She would become angry, and the problem would not be solved.
   g) I like my job, and it would be a great loss.

5. **Decision making:** What is my decision?
Considering all of the possibilities, there are three things I could try. I am going to start with the one most likely to work. Alternatives (d) and (e), in combination, stand the best chance. If not that, I will try (a).

6. **Implementation:** Now do it and write down how it went.
I made an appointment with my boss and explained the situation again, offering to work late on the days I come in late. She said that she would allow it, but did not want it to go on indefinitely. She still seemed annoyed, but she agreed.

7. **Evaluation:** Did it work?
At first I felt like I had failed, because she was annoyed with me. Then I realized that I met my goal. I can go to my appointments. I will speak to my physical therapist again and find out how long it will go on so I can bring that information back to my boss. I am proud of myself for handling this problem directly.

FIGURE 6.4. Problem-solving example.
overlap is consistent with D’Zurilla’s suggestion that problem-solving training, when used with other treatment methods, should not be applied as a separate treatment procedure but integrated with the overall treatment plan (D’Zurilla, 1988).

**Relaxation Skills**

People with AS have difficulty modulating affect and reading their own internal cues of distress. Teaching relaxation skills can be a valuable component of the treatment plan. Groden and her colleagues have been providing strategies for relaxation to people with autism for nearly 30 years, since the classic book *Relaxation: A Comprehensive Manual for Adults, Children and Children with Special Needs* was published in 1978 (Cautela & Groden). This book continues to serve as a valuable resource because it presents both pictures and step-by-step instructions for delivering a variety of relaxation inductions to people at a wide range of functioning levels. I find that relaxation can be taught to people with AS in much that same way as it would be to any adult population, so therapists who are well versed in behavior therapy and CBT will find that they can proceed with very few modifications. Therapists who are new to this approach may refer to Bernstein and Borkovec (1973) or Goldfried (1971, 1977) for an overview of the historical theoretical underpinnings and practical application of relaxation training.

**Preassertiveness**

The last skill set addressed in this chapter is assertiveness. This term is usually applied to the strategies people use to ask other people for things they need, and to say no or disagree with unwanted requests from others. Being assertive really is a social skill and can be considered an important part of the social language and pragmatic skills that speech–language pathologists may work on with patients on the autism spectrum. Psychotherapists can also teach these skills through the wide variety of packages that have been published for typical adults. I find the approach offered by Marsha Linehan in the interpersonal effectiveness component of her *Skills Training Manual for Treating Borderline Personality Disorder* (Linehan, 1993) to be most useful.

This section does not describe the assertiveness or interpersonal effectiveness skills that have been described elsewhere, however. Instead, a strategy for addressing a major obstacle patients with AS face when trying to learn these skills—a deficit that is not directly social in its nature—is presented. Linehan emphasizes the importance of a patient knowing why he or she finds it hard to be assertive. The obstacles, or factors reducing interpersonal effectiveness, can be lack of skill, “worry thoughts,” emotions, indecision, or environmental constraints (Linehan, 1993). People with AS suffer from a combination of lack of skill and indecision, but these do not manifest themselves the same way as they might in Linehan’s more socially skilled patients with borderline personality disorder. Patients with AS lack the prerequisite skill of recognizing their own internal mental states, recognizing that their distress can be a signal for a need to initiate a change in the environment, differentiating emotions from needs, and translating them into words.

As described in Chapter 2, there is evidence that adults with HFA have a higher rate of alexithymia (a deficit in the fund or accessibility of words to describe subjective
mental states) than typical adults (Berthoz & Hill, 2005). Though it remains an empirical question, I wonder if these findings are related to my clinical observations of the difficulty my patients have with learning assertiveness skills. Nevertheless, as a prerequisite to assertiveness training, many adults with AS need to learn to connect their internal states with words. An invaluable tool for teaching this skill can be found in Talk Blocks® for Work (Innovative Interactions, 2000). Capitalizing on the strong visualization skills that many of these patients have, the Talk Blocks serve as a visual multiple-choice cue set to help the patient recognize and differentiate his or her feelings and needs in stressful situations. Designed for adults to use for workplace dilemmas, this is a set of six blocks, each with a picture and phrase on each of the six sides. Three blocks are red, to symbolize feelings, and three blocks are blue, to symbolize needs. Each subset of blocks, therefore, gives the patient 18 choices to help him or her access the words that best describe subjective experiences. Table 6.1 shows the lists for each of the block subsets.

As the patient expresses distress over conflict or dilemma, the therapist can present the Talk Blocks. Showing the patient that there is a picture and phrase on each side, the therapist first hands the patient the red ones and says, “Which of these words best describes how you feel? You can pick more than one if you want.” Many patients appear to have a “light bulb” experience as they select the block that matches how they feel. They exclaim something like, “Oh, this is it! ‘Overwhelmed.’ That is how I feel.” But they had not been able to come up with the word on their own. After choosing the feeling word(s), the patient is handed the blue blocks and the therapist says, “OK, you feel overwhelmed at work. Now what do you think you need to help you with that feeling? In other words, which of the phrases on these blue blocks best describes something that you think would help you feel less overwhelmed?”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 6.1. Words and Phrases Presented on Talk Blocks.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red feeling blocks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I FEEL . . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exhausted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Happy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undervalued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motivated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impatient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overwhelmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disappointed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, the patient is asked to string together the words and phrases chosen into a sentence. Figure 6.5 shows the blocks the way they would appear for the following:

I FEEL anxious, therefore I NEED more information.
I FEEL overwhelmed, therefore I NEED to take a break.

The patient is taught several things by practicing this exercise repeatedly through a variety of real-life scenarios. One is the ability to make connections between subjective states and words. Another is the idea that a feeling can actually prompt one to change something in the environment in order to relieve distress. It is my opinion that the multimodal presentation optimizes whatever learning style the patient may have. There is the obvious verbal presentation of the words, but it is associated with nonverbal visual cues (picture symbols and color coding) along with tactile involvement because the patient must hold and rotate each block in his or her hand while processing the choices. Not all of the feeling words are “pure” emotions. However, the purpose of the task is not to teach emotional proficiency but rather to begin building a connection between subjective states, whether they be emotions or beliefs, and words, and the fact

---

**FIGURE 6.5.** Examples of Talk Block sentences. From Innovative Interactions, LLC (2000). Copyright 2000 by Innovative Interactions, LLC. Reprinted by permission.
that those states can prompt a need for change. Also noteworthy is the fact that not all of the solutions found on the “I NEED” blocks involve other people and therefore would not involve assertiveness. However, this exercise is just a prerequisite for assertiveness; its goal is simply getting the patient to realize that he or she can initiate an adaptation when in distress. Assertiveness communication cannot occur if that idea does not come first.

I will share one unexpected event I encountered with a patient to illustrate the power of these blocks. At the beginning of one session, a patient with AS noticed the Talk Blocks sitting on my desk and asked about them. I had not planned to use them with him, but I showed them to him and explained their purpose. He seemed amused as he spent a few minutes looking through them and putting sentences together in what appeared to be a playful way, but he did not seriously connect them to anything in his life. The session turned to the regular agenda and the blocks were put away. The following week, the blocks were not out, and I had forgotten about this patient’s interest in them. He asked to see them again, saying that he wanted to tell a story about something that had happened to him at his job. As I went to look for the blocks, he said, “Oh, never mind. Don’t get them. I remember them.” He proceeded to name off almost all of the 36 words that were on the 6 blocks! I was shocked by this display of uncanny visual memory (which is not uncommon among people on the autism spectrum), as he had only spent a few minutes looking at them the prior week. Needless to say, the blocks were incorporated into his plan because he responded so positively to them. Interestingly, he did end up needing a lot of practice before he could associate these words that he had memorized so easily with actual subjective states he was experiencing in his life.

Once the patient can label his or her feelings and thoughts and connect them to needs or desires for change in the environment, then the standard assertiveness training can begin, such as the interpersonal effectiveness exercises found in Linehan (1993). To summarize this section, strategies for building coping skills in patients with AS were presented, including time management, problem solving, and preassertiveness. In contrast to the previous section on social skills, this section focused on the self-management skills that have less of a social component but are crucial for managing the tasks of daily living and minimizing stress.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter presented intervention guidelines for teaching adults with AS the major skills that their typical adult peers have attained more naturally. The failure to acquire these skills by adulthood is what defines AS as a developmental disability. However, this chapter introduced a habilitation model that assumes that many of these skills can be learned, even though the individual has already reached adulthood. The strategies presented here could benefit any person with AS, even if there is no mental health problem present. The next chapter describes methods for treating the comorbid mental health issues that are usually present in psychotherapy cases, using a traditional cognitive therapy model as the basis.
This chapter presents strategies for treating the comorbid mental health problems that are so often seen in psychotherapy patients with AS. Up to this point in the book, it has been emphasized that individuals with AS have idiosyncratic ways of processing information and communicating that warrant special considerations by psychotherapists. This chapter, in a seeming contrast to that point, promotes the idea that people with AS should be offered the same CBT interventions that would be offered to any adult who is struggling with anxiety, depression, or chronic stress. Previous chapters discussed how the core problems of AS result in different patient presentations than those of typical adults. This chapter “switches gears” to highlight how these patients can benefit from treatment in much the same way as typical adults, once the therapist accounts for their atypical developmental histories.

I have found that the traditional cognitive theory of emotional disorders (Beck, 1976) can be used to explain the anxiety and mood problems that are seen in adults with AS. In Chapter 2, this point was illustrated with Figures 2.4 and 2.5, which noted the developmental processes involved. If one assumes this formulation, CBT can be applied with very few modifications. The presence of an ASD, although warranting thoughtful approaches to assessment and the need to build a working relationship, should not “sidetrack” the cognitive-behavioral therapist away from using the evidence-based tools that have been empirically validated in the treatment of adults who do not have AS (Butler et al., 2006) as well as children with AS (Sofronoff et al., 2005). The therapist can avoid this trap by using the individualized case formulation approach that was described in Chapter 4. Each new patient in an outpatient psychotherapy setting, whether having AS or not, can be viewed as a person with a mental health problem whose symptoms have developed out of a unique combination of factors and who should be offered evidence-based interventions to reduce his or her symptoms.
For example, take two patients who come in for treatment who are both diagnosed with major depressive disorder after the initial assessment. One has AS and the other does not. Both should be offered a traditional CBT package to address their depression because its efficacy has been demonstrated in adult populations. The only difference is that the patient with AS may need additional components in his or her treatment plan, along with the traditional CBT, in order to build skills that the typical depressed patient would already possess, or to optimize the effectiveness of the traditional CBT. These skills were already presented in Chapter 6.

This chapter describes how some of the essential techniques of CBT can be applied with adults who have anxiety or depression co-occurring with AS. The literature presenting the theories, techniques, and empirical evidence supporting CBT is vast and spans nearly 40 years. Because of space limitations, this chapter does not provide a comprehensive description of every protocol that could be used with adults who have AS. It is also not necessary, if the assumption that adults with AS should be offered the same interventions that would be offered to anyone is accepted. The purpose of this chapter is therefore not to review the CBT literature but to stimulate readers to think about adult cases of AS in a way that would lead them to consider drawing from that literature while planning treatment. Readers who are not familiar with the fundamentals of CBT, as per Beck’s cognitive theory, are referred to Beck (1995) and Persons et al. (2000), which both provide excellent and easy-to-understand introductions for the newcomer. The terminology used in this chapter is drawn largely from those two sources. The assumptions behind all of the interventions described in this chapter are as follows.

- **Schemas** are cognitive structures containing deeply held, broad core beliefs that allow a person to make meaning of events. People generally have a set of adaptive schemas along with a set of maladaptive ones. Psychopathology is a response to life events that have activated maladaptive schemas.

- **Intermediate beliefs** are the attitudes, assumptions, and rules about how people, including the self, should behave and be evaluated. These are the vehicles through which the core beliefs are expressed from day to day and can be dysfunctional when a maladaptive schema has been activated.

- **Automatic thoughts** are the more immediate thoughts that occur in the face of a specific situation and reflect the individual’s interpretation of what is happening. Automatic thoughts are driven by associated core and intermediate beliefs and are dysfunctional when a maladaptive schema has been activated.

According to Beck (1995), the intervention should begin with modifying dysfunctional automatic thoughts, the bottom of the list just presented, and work upward. Automatic thoughts are the easiest to access and modify, whereas the core beliefs within schemas are the most difficult to modify and are addressed later in treatment. This chapter is organized in that order to describe CBT implementation for adults with AS. **Introducing the cognitive model** to the patient is discussed first, followed by strategies for identifying and responding to dysfunctional automatic thoughts, then intermediate beliefs, and finally schemas.
INTRODUCING THE COGNITIVE MODEL TO THE PATIENT

At the end of Chapter 5, which was about orienting the patient to treatment, there was brief mention of the need to educate the patient on the cognitive model. Using the diagram from Persons et al. (2000), presented in Figure 2.3, is often helpful in terms of presenting the rationale for treatment. Often preliminary steps must be taken before it can be presented in this fashion, however. One of the useful tools for beginning this process is the thought record. Teaching the patient to use it will not only lead to important data collection, but also to training the individual on the theory behind the interventions that will be implemented.

Using the Thought Record to Define Automatic Thoughts

Introducing the thought record, also referred to in the literature as the dysfunctional thought record (DTR; Beck, 1995) early in treatment is a useful way to explain the assumptions and rationale of CBT to the patient. Slightly different versions can be found in CBT texts, but all ask patients to keep a log of upsetting situations, including the date and a description of the event, and to write down the associated thoughts and emotions that they experienced at the same time.

Some patients with AS may need extra instructions and practice if they do not immediately know what the therapist means by the term thought. Most have an intellectual understanding of the word but may have difficulty accessing their own thoughts while describing an account of a disturbing situation, or understanding that they are examining their own thoughts from an observer’s point of view. They also may be intimidated by the worksheet itself. The therapist can assess for these potential obstacles by asking the patient to come up with an example within the session, based on something that caused distress within the previous week. A discussion that took place with Bob in the third session is used to illustrate this aspect. As mentioned when Bob’s case was introduced in Chapter 1, he was very hostile toward me in the earlier sessions, which is evident in this example.

THERAPIST: This week I am going to ask you to start logging your thoughts and feelings each time you hear some upsetting news on TV or on the radio.

PATIENT: I already told you what I thought. Why do I have to write it down? Didn’t you keep notes on what I said?

THERAPIST: I do keep notes, but they are to help me with the job I have to do in our work together. Those notes will not help you in the job you have to do in our work together. The notes that are going to help you the most are the ones you take yourself.

PATIENT: This is bullshit. I’m sick. Can’t you see that? I won’t remember to write anything down. You should be able to see that.

THERAPIST: I can see that you are sick. And you are suffering quite a lot. And what I am asking you to do is hard. I wish there were a way I could make your symptoms disappear without having to ask you to do something hard. But I can’t. I need you to be the observer of what happens during the week, and the log I am asking you to keep will help me to help you.
PATIENT: Well, who said I am not a hard worker? I can work hard. Are you saying I can’t handle something hard? I can do hard things. But why can’t I just tell you my answers every week? A log sounds complicated.

THERAPIST: I do think you can handle it, but I am going to make sure you get all the instructions you need before you are sent off on your own to do it. Let’s take a look at how the log works. (Draws a chart on poster paper with three columns, labeling them “date,” “situation,” and “thoughts.” The other columns included on a typical thought record—such as behaviors, emotions, and responses—are left off deliberately to avoid overwhelming the patient.) Can you tell me the last time you got some upsetting news from the media?

PATIENT: Last night.

THERAPIST: OK. So I will show you how the sheet would be used. I am going to write yesterday’s date in the first column, under “date.” What did you see or hear on the news?

PATIENT: On TV they were talking about how bad security is at airports. They were saying that it’s still bad, even now. I mean, does that make any sense to you? What are they trying to do, scare everyone? How do they expect people to function after they hear that?

THERAPIST: OK, so I am going to write that under “situation.” I will paraphrase what you said: “Watching a news story about inadequate airport security.” Does that cover it?

PATIENT: I guess. Yeah.

THERAPIST: OK, now, can you tell me what thoughts went through your mind as you were watching that news story?

PATIENT: I just told you! Jesus.

THERAPIST: You did give me some of your reactions, about them trying to scare everyone and how it could get in the way of people functioning, but it sounded like you were asking me what I thought—they were questions. Also, I was not sure those were thoughts you are having right now, in this moment, or whether you were thinking them when you watched the news program last night.

PATIENT: I don’t know what you mean. Thoughts are thoughts.

THERAPIST: Well, to tell you the truth, we are at a disadvantage today because we are trying to get this down a whole day after it really happened. This will be easier for you when you are doing it right after something happens—closer to the moment. But let’s see if we can come up with a way to tell the difference between now and then. We’ll start with now. What are you thinking now about this story?

PATIENT: The news reporters are trying to scare everyone. It’s outrageous.
THERAPIST: OK. Good. Now, do you think that thought was in your mind as you watched the news last night?

PATIENT: No. I was thinking more about the security people, that they are failing at their jobs. They are supposed to protect us. And they are failing. And there’s nothing to stop another terrorist attack. I mean, who is going to stop it? Don’t you worry about this? How can you do your job while this is going on? I don’t see how anybody can concentrate on anything but this!

THERAPIST: All right. It sounds like you were able to name different thoughts you were having last night. I will write in the thought column, “The security people are failing at their jobs. They are supposed to protect us. They are failing. There’s nothing to stop another attack.” Does that about cover the thoughts you were having last night?

PATIENT: Yes. I think that’s it.

THERAPIST: OK. You managed that very well. Like I said, this was extra hard because we were trying to do it after the fact. And you were still able to do it, with that extra challenge. So I think you will find it a little easier when you are doing it at the moment. So I am going to print out a sheet for you that looks just like the one I drew up here, and I am going to ask you to copy what I wrote up here, and that will be your sample for the week. Then, as these types of incidents happen, you will log them in the same way, starting right underneath the sample. Do you have any questions about it?

PATIENT: No. I get it now.

I dealt with three obstacles as I introduced the exercise. One was Bob’s hostility, which I hypothesized was his tactic for avoiding a task by which he was intimidated. The second is that he was having difficulty with the meta-cognitive task of trying to think about thoughts retrospectively. Third, the activity increased his anxiety, as evidenced by his repeated questions to me that were very similar to the ritualistic questioning he engaged in at home with his parents. In response, I had to give him clearer instructions about the worksheet without giving him the impression that I doubted his ability, because his own self-doubt was the suspected root of his intimidation and resultant hostility. I also chose not to answer some of the questions he was asking, so as not to reinforce the ritualistic behavior that was part of his presenting problem. Instead I stayed focused on the task and only responded to his questions about the activity at hand. I gave him a partial thought record as homework, that is, it was missing columns for “emotions,” “behaviors,” and “responses” because it was my judgment that he would not have been able to handle that much new information in this session. As it turned out, he was very compliant with the homework and brought the record to the next session with three different entries. These are presented in Figure 7.1.

In each of the following 2 weeks, another column was added, first “emotions” and then “behaviors,” and only after ensuring proficiency was achieved with the previous task. Figure 7.2 shows a sample entry from a complete record he had brought in later in the process. “Responses” were not filled in yet because the record was being used at this point as a tool for eliciting information, not for intervening. Once he was able to
use a full record, enough data was collected to present the visual model of his symp-
toms, shown in Figure 7.3. This graphic aid was used to explain to Bob how his obses-
sions and compulsions were being maintained and also introduce the idea of his core
beliefs/schemas, which had been extracted from patterns noted in the thought records.
Once he understood that his beliefs contributed to his anxiety, his thoughts about the
need to be protected, and his compulsive questioning, we could then explore how the
cycle was perpetuating anxiety in the long run. This explanation made it easier for him
to accept the rationale for the exposure and response prevention approaches that I
planned to implement with him.

It is not uncommon for patients with AS to have some difficulty initially grasping
the idea of monitoring and recording thoughts. Some are reluctant to accept the idea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Thoughts</th>
<th>Emotions</th>
<th>Behaviors</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/22</td>
<td>Saw a story about how other American buildings could be good targets for terrorists. My parents were not home.</td>
<td>Why aren't they beefing up security if they know this? They're not doing their jobs. How can they tell us about this and then do nothing about it?</td>
<td>Scared, angry</td>
<td>Called my brother to ask him if he heard the story and what he thinks about it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

because they confuse the concept of internal dialogue or self-talk with “voices” that psychotic people hear. They are afraid that if they begin to examine their self-talk, it means that they are “hearing voices” and that the therapist might diagnose them as having schizophrenia. The therapist may have to spend some time normalizing self-talk and differentiating it from the break from reality that occurs in psychosis. For other patients, the concept is too abstract, and they do not initially understand what the therapist is asking of them. Two strategies can be used to enhance the learning, each one working with different patients. One is to use visual aids and the other is to use illustrative metaphors.

**Visual Aids**

As mentioned earlier, I keep a dry-erase board or a large pad of poster paper on hand. Using diagrams and drawings is often helpful with this population, and when presenting the thought record and cognitive model to some patients with AS, pictures can help make abstract concepts more concrete for them. Visual aids such as the ones shown in Figure 7.4 can be used to help those who are having difficulty with metacognition. These are the classic cartoon symbols that are suggested by Gray (1994) for teaching people with AS and HFA that thoughts are a type of speech, the only difference being that we do not say them out loud.
Metaphors

Other patients enjoy and learn from using metaphors when talking about abstract concepts. One was used with Bob, above, when I suggested that he imagine a tape recorder running in his head, recording thoughts as they occur. Another is to suggest to the patient that there is a narrator or news commentator on duty all day inside his or her head, who is constantly making comments and remarks about what is happening. One patient came up with his own metaphor once he began to understand this concept. He said he had a “board of directors” in his head that were dialoging throughout the day on what was happening in his life. Once he described this image, it was easy for the therapist to ask him to write down what “the members of the board” were saying.

IDENTIFYING AND RESPONDING TO DYSFUNCTIONAL AUTOMATIC THOUGHTS

After the patient understands what automatic thoughts are and that they can be monitored and recorded, the therapist can begin to help him or her evaluate them. The idea that thoughts can be dysfunctional is another concept that may be difficult for some patients with AS to accept at first. The thought record can help with that process. After the patient has practiced filling it out repeated times, the therapist can begin asking the key questions involved in an evaluation of the thoughts. As a starting point, I often assign the book Feeling Good (Burns, 1999) to patients to introduce them to the rationale behind cognitive restructuring, which is learning to reconceptualize or reframe problem situations. Even if the book is not assigned (e.g., some patients may have obstacles that would interfere with reading it), at the very least the patient is introduced to the concept of cognitive distortions.

Identifying and Responding to Cognitive Distortions

To begin the process of evaluating dysfunctional automatic thoughts, I always present a list of common cognitive distortions to the patient, as illustrated in Table 7.1, which is
based on Burns (1999), Beck (1995), and Persons et al. (2000). I find the list a useful starting point with this population for several reasons.

- The list format in which the material is presented seems to appeal to the rule-driven learning style that so many patients with AS have.
- The “multiple choice” nature of the material allows patients to rely on recognition of their own patterns without having to access the language to describe them. They can read the items, rule out what does not fit them, and choose what does fit. This method alleviates the difficulty that is inherent in the alexithymic (problems accessing language to describe mental states) tendencies of these patients. I have observed that they tend to be good at recognizing themselves; they choose errors on the list that are consistent with what I have observed in them through other means.
- When they see these errors on a list in published book, it normalizes their experi-

### TABLE 7.1. Common Cognitive Distortions

- **All-or-nothing thinking:** There are only two categories for everything. You see things in terms of “black or white,” “good or bad,” “smart or stupid,” “beautiful or ugly,” etc. It is hard for you to see things on a continuum or in “shades of gray.”
- **Catastrophizing:** You exaggerate the possible negative outcomes of an incident. A minor problem is assumed to have catastrophic implications. For example, “I lost my car keys, so that means I will develop Alzheimer’s” or “My boss reminded me of an upcoming deadline, which means he is getting ready to fire me.”
- **“Should” statements:** You have a set of strict rules about how you or other people are supposed to act or handle things and exaggerate the consequences if a rule is violated. For example, “I should be able to keep my room organized at all times or else I am irresponsible” or “Bank tellers should always be polite or else they should be fired.”
- **Personalization:** You overestimate your role in the actions of other people, including strangers. You assume you are the reason for others’ behavior without considering alternative explanations. For example, “My professor did not call on me when I had my hand up because she thinks I am an idiot” or “A store clerk gave me the wrong change because he knows I am a sucker.”
- **Labeling:** You engage in negative “name calling” by assigning unfavorable labels to yourself or other people without having evidence for your conclusion. “I am a loser because I couldn’t get a date” or “He is a selfish bastard because he would not help me with my project.”
- **Mental filter/disqualifying the positive:** You have a filter in your mind that only allows negative information in; you pay attention only to the negative details about yourself or others and “filter out,” ignore, or disqualify positive information. For example, you focus on one mistake you made at work as a sign of failure, but you ignore the positive feedback you recently got from your boss.
- **Mind reading:** You assume that you know what other people are thinking or what their intentions are, even if you have no evidence for it. For example, “She mentioned her vacation because she knows I don’t have enough money to take a trip and she wanted to hurt my feelings.”
- **Emotional reasoning:** You let your feelings guide your reasoning. You use the logic, “If I feel it, it is true.” For example, “I am afraid to fly, so it must be dangerous.”
- **Overgeneralization:** You make global statements and conclusions about singular events. For example, “I could not get the lawnmower started today—I am terrible with mechanical things” or “My daughter did not clean do her chores this week. She is never going to be a responsible person.”

*Note.* Data from Burns (1999); Beck (1995); and Persons, Davidson, and Tompkins (2000).
ence for them, and they often ask, “You mean other people have these problems, too?”

- The terms that are used on the list can become part of a common language between the patient and the therapist.

**Cognitive Distortions in AS**

It has been well documented through neuropsychological research that people with AS are subject to cognitive rigidity. The findings reviewed in Chapter 2 suggested that they have difficulty shifting attention and mind-sets and also have problems seeing the “big picture” or gist of a collection of information or stimuli (i.e., central coherence). Unfortunately, their information-processing style provides a “hot breeding ground” for the types of cognitive distortions listed in Table 7.1. I have found that people with AS are vulnerable to any of the cognitive distortions, and like the general adult population, each patient makes his or her own unique combination of errors. However, the one that is found in every patient with AS I have met is **all-or-nothing** thinking.

Because of neuropsychological dysfunction, people with AS generally have great difficulty thinking about things on a continuum; there are no “shades of gray.” Information is placed in dichotomous mental categories and held there in an inflexible way. Just a few examples of these categories are found in the way people are evaluated; they are seen as either good or bad, nice or mean, and smart or stupid. Task or work performance is seen as either perfect or terrible, a total success or a total failure, and superior or inferior. Not only do these patients have difficulty quantifying things in gradients, but they also seem to have a very low tolerance for uncertainty. They tend to become highly anxious in situations where an absolute answer is not immediately available.

In Bob’s case, for example, he was having more difficulty than the average American citizen assimilating the new idea that terrorists could attack on American soil. He could not easily modify his previous dichotomous thinking on this issue, which was “Terrorist attacks do occur other places, but they do not occur in my country.” This was connected to, “I will not be killed if I live in a country where there are no terrorist attacks, but I will be killed in a country where terrorist attacks occur.” Lastly, “Authority figures should protect me from harm and I am safe if they are doing their jobs, but if anything bad happens it is because they are not doing their jobs and so I am not safe.” As mentioned, every American was faced with this challenge and found it painful, but most people were able to assimilate the information in a way that allowed them to continue functioning. Bob’s dichotomous thinking style was a major contributing factor to the anxiety that was so disabling to him at the point of intake.

**Eliciting Automatic Thoughts and Identifying Cognitive Distortions**

The thought record is, as mentioned, one way to identify patterns of dysfunctional automatic thoughts and distortions. Some patients, even after demonstrating competence in using the thought record, will lose their ability if they are highly distressed and emotionally aroused by an event. An alternative method, a visual downward arrow technique for helping the patient identify dysfunctional automatic thoughts, is proposed here for those situations.
The downward-arrow technique is a strategy in which a patient is asked to take one automatic thought and try to trace the “chain” of automatic, more superficial thoughts that lead to the deeper core belief that is driving it. It was introduced as a self-help worksheet by Burns (1980), and it directs patients to think about the process as “peeling successive layers of skin off an onion to expose the ones beneath” (p. 264). Beck (1995) later described how a therapist can use a downward arrow interviewing technique to help patients do the same thing in session. A case example, Seth, is used to demonstrate how a modified downward arrow strategy can help a patient whose emotional arousal is interfering with the identification of key automatic thoughts and beliefs.

Seth is another patient who was introduced in Chapter 1. As a quick review, Seth is a 44-year-old single Jewish unemployed man who was referred by his vocational counselor in order to address occupational concerns. He lives with a roommate in an apartment and receives weekly visits from a staff member of an assisted living program for adults with developmental disabilities. He is a part-time college student, pursuing an associate’s degree in computer science. After a comprehensive assessment, he was diagnosed as follows:

- **Axis I:** Asperger syndrome
- **Axis II:** No diagnosis
- **Axis III:** No diagnosis
- **Axis IV:** Unemployment, lack of social support
- **Axis V:** GAF = 50

Despite the fact that he had learned to use the thought record, he arrived in one session in a state of agitation and arousal, unable to articulate what was upsetting him beyond the repeated phrase, “I will be homeless soon.” He was unable to report what was upsetting him, and he had not used the thought record to record the incident. It took a whole session for him to become calm enough to have the following discussion, which took place within the last 5 minutes of the session.

**PATIENT:** This morning my roommate asked me to clean up some crumbs I left while preparing a snack late at night.

**THERAPIST:** And that is why you will be homeless?

**PATIENT:** That’s right. Any day, now.

**THERAPIST:** How does your roommate’s request make you homeless?

**PATIENT:** I just know it. I will be homeless. I can see it coming.

**THERAPIST:** Could you try to get some of your thoughts about this down on a thought record this week?

**PATIENT:** OK.

**THERAPIST:** This is a good example of how some of your automatic thoughts are causing you to be anxious, but I don’t think you had time today to really name all of the thoughts you are having. I suspect there are a lot that are leading you to believe you will be homeless, but we don’t have any more time to work on it today.
PATIENT: OK. I’ll try to write them down.

Seth returned the following week, but he had not used the thought record to document the incident with his roommate. Seth had tended to be compliant with homework in the past, so I assumed that this task was too difficult for him at this time; his emotional arousal seemed to be interfering with his ability to examine his thoughts more objectively. In this session, he continued to repeat that his roommate’s request for him to clean up crumbs was an absolute indicator that he would be homeless soon, but he was unable to link the roommate’s feedback to homelessness in any logical way. Various verbal probes were unsuccessful. I decided to use a version of the downward arrow questioning technique, but do so by incorporating visual aids. First I drew the diagram in Figure 7.5 on poster paper. Knowing that Seth was a computer science major and enjoyed programming, I chose to use flowchart symbols to illustrate the thinking process. It was presented in this way:

“OK. Look at this flowchart. Let’s make believe we are trying to find a bug in a computer program. We will start by drawing the two components we already know about. They are here: ‘My roommate asked me to clean up crumbs from the counter,’ therefore ‘I will be homeless soon.’”

After that, I drew another picture, shown in Figure 7.6 and said the following:

THERAPIST: Now, I made another flowchart here. You can see that I took the same two components we were just looking at and put them on this sheet, but notice that there are a whole bunch of steps in between them. These steps suggest that there are a whole bunch of thoughts you are having, one right after the other, to lead from this point (pointing to the first thought) to this point (pointing to the last thought). The connection is really not as direct as you first thought. Do you think that is possible?
FIGURE 7.6. Seth’s thought flowchart at the beginning.
PATIENT: I guess so. It just happens so fast. I can’t help it.

THERAPIST: You’re right. It does happen fast. When you went from getting feedback from your roommate to being homeless, you were traveling a hundred miles an hour, so everything that happened in between was a blur. We are just going to slow it down now, looking at that road you traveled in slow motion, frame by frame. Now we are going to fill in the steps in between. Think about each one as an “if–then” statement that you use in computer programming. We will go very slowly, and I will give you plenty of time to think about each one. OK?

I had several objectives in this exercise. The first was to help Seth slow down his automatic thoughts so that he could become more aware of the content of each one. This idea was influenced by a worksheet I had seen in Brownell’s (2000) cognitive-behavioral weight management program. Called “The Behavior Chain,” patients who are struggling with episodes of overeating are asked to write down, on a picture of a chain, the series of behaviors, thoughts, and feelings that lead up to the incidents. I believed that Seth needed to go through this process with his thoughts, rather than overt behaviors, and that a visual prompting system would help him to do it more effectively than a purely verbal one. The second objective was to help him use the logical thinking that he demonstrated in other areas of his life (e.g., computer programming) to recognize the erroneous assumption he was making in this situation by linking feedback from a roommate to becoming homeless. Finally, in line with the traditional downward arrow objective, I wanted to uncover the core belief that was operating behind these automatic thoughts. The following dialogue is what led to the outcome presented in Figure 7.7, where all of the steps of the flowchart are filled in with automatic thoughts.

THERAPIST: Start with the first step, again, “My roommate asked me to clean up crumbs.”

Now I am going to say it a little differently, and you pick up where I leave off. Remember, don’t say “I will be homeless” at this point, because that is way over here (pointing to the last step). We are trying to find all of the if–then statements that led up to that, because it really wasn’t a direct connection the way you first experienced it. Ready? “My roommate asked me to clean up crumbs and that means. . . .”

PATIENT: Well, leaving crumbs is a sloppy act.

THERAPIST: OK. I am writing, “Leaving crumbs is a sloppy act.” And that means. . . .

PATIENT: If I can’t clean up some crumbs, I must be a slob.

THERAPIST: “If I can’t clean up some crumbs, I must be a slob,” which means. . . .

PATIENT: A slob pig is not capable of living independently.

THERAPIST: “A slob pig is not capable of living independently,” therefore. . . .

PATIENT: I am not capable and do not deserve the chance to live independently.

THERAPIST: OK, I am writing that. And that means. . . .

PATIENT: I am just another disabled person, a nut.

THERAPIST: Therefore. . . .

PATIENT: Disabled people are a drain on the taxpayer.
FIGURE 7.7. Seth’s completed thought flowchart.
THERAPIST: So . . .
PATIENT: I am not worthy of taking money from the taxpayers . . .
THERAPIST: Which means . . .
PATIENT: Everyone would be better off without me.
THERAPIST: And that means . . .
PATIENT: I deserve to be thrown out of my apartment.
THERAPIST: Therefore . . .
PATIENT: They will probably throw me out within the week.
THERAPIST: And so . . .
PATIENT: I will be homeless soon (chuckles).
THERAPIST: OK. That was great. You really caught on fast to this. Now we have a map that shows how you got from the incident with your roommate to the idea that you would be homeless. There were a total of (counts the boxes on the diagram) 10 thoughts you had that linked the incident to your final conclusion. What is your reaction to this diagram, now that it is all filled in?

PATIENT: I’m surprised. I didn’t know I had so many thoughts. And some of them sound silly to me now. I mean, it seems ridiculous now that I see it on paper, the way I was thinking.

The session continued with a discussion about which boxes he was already beginning to challenge himself by labeling them as “silly” and “ridiculous.” He was asked to identify the cognitive distortions he was exhibiting, and he correctly named all-or-nothing thinking, catastrophizing, and jumping to conclusions. He was also asked to choose which statements were supported by evidence, and which were not. As a precaution, I followed up on the statement “Everyone would be better off without me” to rule out suicidal ideation. Finally, he was asked to pick which statement seemed to be the weakest, and to come up with an alternative statement to replace it. Though the topic was not addressed in this session, two schemas about himself were implicit in the entries on the flowchart, and these schemas ended up being major themes that we addressed in later sessions. They were, “I am incapable” and “I am undeserving of good things.” It is unlikely that any of this information would have been accessible to Seth or me without the stimulation provided by this visual strategy.

**RECOGNIZING AND MODIFYING INTERMEDIATE BELIEFS**

As mentioned, the automatic thoughts are the more superficial things that people say to themselves in the moment as events are occurring; they are the words being uttered by the internal “narrator” or “commentator” who is making remarks about everything that is happening while a person is awake. The cognitive model assumes that these thoughts are generated by more broadly held attitudes, assumptions, and rules—called intermediate beliefs—about how people should behave and be evaluated. These are the links between the deeper and more broadly held core beliefs, which make up schemas, and the superficial automatic thoughts.
Intermediate Beliefs and AS: Rules

People with AS often have a relatively large collection of intermediate beliefs, particularly the type that are formulated as rules. I hypothesize that the development of an elaborate set of rules is a coping strategy. On some level, these individuals have been aware since early childhood that they do not understand a lot of the things that are going on around them, especially in the social domain. The difficulty they have inferring information that is not concretely tangible leaves them with a sense of being lost or disoriented in new situations. They therefore “latch on” to any set of rules that are tangible, and if none is available, they will create their own. Rules allow them to navigate situations that are otherwise overwhelming and even frightening to them. However, because of the problems they have with drawing inferences and getting the “big picture” or gist of a situation, they rely on atypical means of creating these rules. These atypical means make them more vulnerable to maladaptive or erroneous intermediate beliefs.

Dr. Temple Grandin, a scientist, author, and lecturer who has HFA, describes this process from a firsthand account in her book *Thinking in Pictures* (Grandin, 1995). She also gives an example of atypical rule development when she speaks on the topic of autism. She shows photographs of two very different-looking dogs and describes how, when she was a young child, she could not understand what unified all of the creatures that people were calling dogs. After observing many types of dogs, she was finally able to link them together in terms of the shape of their noses. She noted that, without exception, all animals that people labeled dogs had the exact same nose pattern, no matter what their body shape, size, color, or coat type. She also noticed that other animals, such as cats, horses, cows, and sheep, did not have that nose pattern. Therefore, the rule she developed was, “All animals with this particular nose pad are called dogs.” She ends the story by humorously noting, “I would have been in big trouble if I had seen any bears at that time, as I later learned that they have that same nose pad” (Grandin, 2003).

Grandin’s example shows how learning a simple labeling process is laborious for a person with AS or HFA, whereas it comes so naturally and without conscious thought to a typically developing child. Yet, as illustrated in her example, the high intelligence that is common with AS and HFA allows these individuals to apply logic to link together the pieces of information they have gathered in order to arrive at some kind of guiding principle. Social cognition, which is far more complicated than labeling static images of animals, requires a person to gather information from so many different sources, some of which are invisible and most of which are constantly shifting and changing. Unfortunately, given the information-processing deficits found in people with AS, they end up arriving at many erroneous and maladaptive guiding principles as they try to make sense of their own role in their social environment.

Psychotherapy patients with AS must learn to articulate their rules, evaluate them, and replace the maladaptive ones with more useful ones. It is important for the therapist to recognize that a heavy reliance on rules is a crucial and adaptive compensatory strategy for patients with AS, and they should not be discouraged from using it. The goal of the therapy is to teach them how to evaluate their rules in more effective way so that they can better recognize ones that are not working for them and replace them with ones that will.
The following two examples illustrate the process of helping patients with AS verbalize, evaluate, and replace maladaptive intermediate beliefs, which tend to be dominated by rules. The first presents the use of a modified activity schedule with Bob, and the second illustrates a dysfunctional beliefs worksheet with Seth.

Intervening with Maladaptive Rules

Using an Activity Schedule as a Behavioral Experiment

Bob’s case has been described throughout this book, and an example from his thought record was presented earlier in this chapter. One of his treatment goals was to increase his self-reliance and independence, and this example shows how an activity schedule was used to address some of his maladaptive intermediate beliefs regarding self-care.

As mentioned, Bob’s treatment initially focused on decreasing his obsessive-compulsive symptoms surrounding his fear of terrorism after 9/11. Although it is not described here, exposure and response prevention was implemented, and the target symptoms significantly improved across the first 6 months of treatment. After that point, however, he continued to show depressive symptoms, including neglect of self-care. Because the acute fear of terrorism had dissipated, I could more easily explore his anxiety about his diabetes. It was hypothesized that his depression was secondary to the belief that he was helpless in the face of his medical problems. Despite the fact that he had been diagnosed with the illness 8 years before, he had never successfully adapted, either emotionally or behaviorally, to the new role his doctors and parents were advising him to play.

Bob reported that he was continually distressed by thoughts about the negative health consequences he might face, such as losing his eyesight or having his feet amputated, if his diabetes was poorly controlled over the years to come. However, he expressed puzzlement over the fact that these thoughts did not motivate him to follow a proper diet or exercise regularly. Although he had a strong desire to change his eating and exercise habits, he felt thwarted to do so by a frustrating struggle with a series of rules he had developed over the years since his diagnosis. He referred to them as “mental habits” and recognized that they were irrational. However, he did not believe he could change them. After examining data on Bob’s automatic thoughts, the following list of rules, or intermediate beliefs, was created.

- I must follow a strict diet that has none of my favorite foods included.
- If I don’t follow a strict diet, it means I am not capable of caring about my health.
- Eating is my only source of pleasure; I have to binge just before I start my diet because it may be my last time to enjoy food.
- If I can’t even eat right, there is no sense in exercising; it will just be cancelled out by my binges.
- If I can’t even take care of my health, then there is no sense in doing anything else to improve my life; going to day treatment is a waste of time.
- I must start my diet on a Tuesday so I won’t mess it up with weekend binges; because there are some Monday holidays, Tuesdays are always safe.
- If I mess up and eat something bad, it cancels out any healthy eating I have done. I have blown the diet, which proves I am not capable of taking care of my health.
Once I blow it, I shouldn’t bother starting again until Tuesday.

If I don’t get myself into gear soon, I’m going to go blind or lose my feet.

Bob was first asked to examine the advantages and disadvantages of holding these beliefs. In sum, he believed most of the beliefs on the list motivated him to take care of his health and were therefore advantages. The disadvantages were that they made him feel very anxious, because they placed pressure on him, and some of the rules actually contradicted each other, leaving him confused and more anxious. After listing the disadvantages, he was able to recognize that the advantage he had described was erroneous. The rules were, in reality, not motivating him to practice healthy eating at all, but were probably driving him to binge and avoid healthier habits. After acknowledging that these beliefs were based on faulty assumptions and were not helping him toward his goal of a healthy lifestyle, he expressed frustration because he still did not know how to challenge those beliefs when they appeared as automatic thoughts from day to day. He stated that he did not believe that he was capable of changing “the rules” even though he now knew they were dysfunctional.

A behavioral experiment was initiated involving a custom-made activity schedule form. The objective was to help Bob write new replacement rules and to collect data to support the validity of the new rules. Before designing the activity schedule and with the therapist’s guidance, Bob came up with a list of three more functional rules that addressed the main themes seen in his list of maladaptive rules. Though he did not believe them very strongly, he agreed to test out the following new ideas:

- I can practice healthy eating habits on any day and can include some foods I like. Each day is a separate time frame in which I can make eating choices. Each day counts as 1 day. One healthy eating day stands alone and one unhealthy eating day stands alone. An unhealthy eating day does not cancel out previous healthy eating days. I can try to slowly increase the number of healthy eating days I have each month.
- I can work on more than one thing to improve my life, even if I am not doing any of them perfectly. I can go to day treatment more often than before, even if my health is not perfect. I can exercise more often than I was before even if my eating is not perfect.
- I have other sources of pleasure in my life besides eating. They include bowling, tennis, and movies. I can do those things more often.

The language used in these rules, such as “slowly increase” and “more often,” was designed to address Bob’s dichotomous thinking. These rules were then translated into the following measurable goals:

- I will attend two leisure activities outside of my house per week (e.g., bowling, tennis, movies).
- I will increase the number of days of healthy eating each month.
- I will increase the number of days I exercise each week until I reach 4 times/week for 20 minutes.
- I will attend my day treatment program more often each month.
A monthly activity schedule was designed that allowed Bob to monitor and record his progress on each of these goals. A month-long sheet was chosen over the traditional weekly activity schedule sheet because it was thought that Bob would benefit from seeing gradual changes occur across a longer time frame. Figure 7.8 shows a blank copy of the form.

During the first month he used the form, we discussed it every week. He reported that the structure it provided was appealing to him. He looked forward to putting a checkmark in a box when he knew he had completed an activity and, interestingly, he also looked forward to putting an X in a box when he knew he had not achieved a particular goal for a day. He admitted that the X symbol took on a punitive meaning and that he actually took pleasure in “giving an X” to himself. Because of the risk he was at for distorting his interpretation of it, I made sure to probe each week about how Bob was using the data coding system. Sure enough, by the second week, he had begun to give himself Xs when they were not warranted. For example, he’d put an X in the exercise box on a day he had indeed exercised. He reported that he had eaten poorly that day, so he did not think he deserved “credit” for the exercise he’d done, because the unhealthy eating had probably cancelled out the positive effects of the exercise. I asked him to explore the advantages and disadvantages of keeping inaccurate data. He could not name any advantage and quickly recognized that he had drifted into following one of his old, maladaptive rules. I also emphasized the need to look at each little box on the sheet as a separate entity, reflecting only what had happened for that goal on that day. Ironically, I encouraged his dichotomous thinking style in order to facilitate accurate data by saying, “This little box on this day is asking you a ‘yes or no’ question—either you exercised or you didn’t. Which is it?”

Figure 7.9 shows the activity schedule for Bob’s first month of data collection. After converting each goal’s data into percentages, Bob expressed surprise that he had achieved anything at all. Even his most difficult goal, practicing healthy eating, showed a 10% success rate. He acknowledged that without this data sheet, he would have “given a zero” to himself if asked to rate his own progress. After several months of data collection, he could see a trend of improvement in his lifestyle behaviors, and this improvement supported the validity of the new rules he had written.

Creating a Dysfunctional Beliefs Worksheet: Challenging “One-Liners”

Another example of maladaptive intermediate beliefs phrased in the form of rules can be found in Seth. As mentioned, he was diagnosed with obsessive–compulsive disorder along with AS, and he was the subject of the thought flowchart presented earlier in this chapter. Two of Seth’s core beliefs about himself—“I am incapable” and “I am undeserving of good things”—were reflected in some of the automatic thoughts elicited on the thought flowchart worksheet.

When these beliefs were explored more with him, he was able to connect some of them to the ideas that were conveyed to him by his parents while he was growing up. He reported that he had a very vivid auditory memory for the things his mother and father would say to him as they were trying to teach him various lessons; he could virtually hear each parent saying his or her favorite phrases in his head, in the exact voice
Please answer the four questions each night before you go to bed.

### Daily Data Collection

| Date | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Did you attend a leisure activity outside the house today? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Did you practice healthy eating today? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Did you exercise for 20 minutes today? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Did you attend day treatment for the full scheduled time? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

Key: ✓ = completed task  
     ✕ = did not complete  
     N/A = not required on this day

### Month-End Evaluation of Goals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Month-End Tally</th>
<th>Percentage of Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Attend two leisure activities outside per week, or 8 days/month.</td>
<td>/8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increase the number of days per month of healthy eating, or more days than previous month.</td>
<td>/30 or /31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Exercise for 20 minutes 4x/week, or 16 days/month.</td>
<td>/16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Attend day treatment program on all scheduled days, or 12 days/month.</td>
<td>/12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 7.8.** Monthly activity schedule.  
From Valerie L. Gaus (2007). Copyright by The Guilford Press. Permission to photocopy this figure is granted to purchasers of this book for personal use only (see copyright page for details).
Month: **April**  Year: **2007**

Please answer the four questions each night before you go to bed.

**Daily Data Collection**

| Date | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Did you attend a leisure activity outside the house today? | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Did you practice healthy eating today? | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Did you exercise for 20 minutes today? | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| Did you attend day treatment for the full scheduled time? | NA | NA | x | ✓ | NA | ✓ | NA | NA | NA | x | x | NA | x | NA | NA | x | ✓ | NA | x | NA | NA | NA | x | x | NA | x | NA | NA | NA | NA |

**Key:**
- ✓ = completed task
- X = did not complete
- N/A = not required on this day

**Month-End Evaluation of Goals**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Month-End Tally</th>
<th>Percentage of Success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Attend two leisure activities outside per week, or 8 days/month.</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Increase the number of days per month of healthy eating, or more days than previous month.</td>
<td>3/30 or 3/31</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Exercise for 20 minutes 4x/week, or 16 days/month.</td>
<td>7/16</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Attend day treatment program on all scheduled days, or 12 days/month.</td>
<td>3/12</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 7.9.** Bob's completed monthly activity schedule.
and tone used decades before. Even though his parents were alive and active in his present life, they were not saying these things to him anymore. Nevertheless, he tended to apply them as rules in a very literal way in his daily life, and they were contributing to the anxiety that was one of his presenting problems. As they were being examined in session, Seth began calling them “one-liners.” As an exercise, he was asked to list all the one-liners that were most active for him in the present. They were:

- Don’t take what doesn’t belong to you.
- Don’t you lose money.
- You go after breakfast.
- Boys play with boys and girls play with girls.
- Don’t leave your seat until you are finished with your work.
- Pick up your feet when you walk.
- Don’t open an umbrella in the house.
- Don’t ever walk around nude.
- No fun until the work is done.
- If you don’t go to the bathroom every day, you will get CANCER like your uncle.
- Look at him! Why can’t you do things like him? He is better than you are.

Many of these phrases are stereotypical things that some parents said to their children during the era Seth grew up in (1950s and 1960s), and after Seth finished writing them down, he laughed as he read them out loud. When asked why he was laughing, he said, “I guess these don’t make sense anymore.” It was explained to Seth that children understand things in very concrete ways, and parents often oversimplify things to help children understand new concepts. When children grow up, they reshape the rules as their life changes and their responsibilities grow, and they learn to apply the rules more flexibly. If the rules are kept in their child form, then they would be too rigid for an adult to follow, because an adult’s life is more complicated in so many ways. For example, the admonition “No fun until the work is done” may be a way to teach a child to prioritize and begin to take responsibility for small amounts of work. If this rule were taken literally by an adult, however, that person would never have fun because an adult is never really completely finished with all chores and responsibilities.

In order to reinforce the differentiation between the function served by the “one-liners” at one point in his life versus the function they serve in the present, an exercise was assigned. A three-column worksheet was created: In the first column all of the one-liners were listed; the second column was entitled “Function for children,” and the third was “Consequences if applied too literally in adulthood.” Seth was asked to think about each rule, and imagine why a parent would say it to a child or how it might be functional for helping a child understand certain concepts. Then he was asked to list the dysfunction it would create if it were applied too literally by an adult, at an age where the concrete nature of the rule no longer fit. The finished worksheet is presented in Figure 7.10. This process strengthened Seth’s belief that the one-liners were no longer rational or functional for him, and this realization made it easier for him to “write new rules” for himself in later sessions, as his core beliefs about himself were further modified.
### One-liner Function for children Consequences if applied too literally in adulthood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One-liner</th>
<th>Function for children</th>
<th>Consequences if applied too literally in adulthood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don't take what <em>doesn't</em> belong to you.</td>
<td>Teaches kids not to steal—prevents criminal behavior</td>
<td>Impairs functioning by preventing you from even touching anything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't you lose money.</td>
<td>Teaches kids that money is to be valued—prevents wasting</td>
<td>Afraid to take risks—deprive self of pleasure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You go after breakfast.</td>
<td>Enhances good toileting practices—routine</td>
<td>View self very negatively if a day is missed—look at self as failure or something is wrong (e.g., cancer)—impairs functioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boys play with boys and girls play with girls.</td>
<td>Teaches identity and promotes social skills—may prevent sexual improprieties in older children</td>
<td>Limits choices for friends—impairs building friendships—limits choices for professionals—promotes bias and prejudice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't leave your seat until you are finished with your work.</td>
<td>Teaches children to maintain attention to a task—to complete a job</td>
<td>May prevent taking necessary breaks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pick up your feet when you walk.</td>
<td>Teaches children not to shuffle and wear out shoes and make noise</td>
<td>Walk awkwardly as if marching in a parade or in military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't open an umbrella in the house.</td>
<td>Superstition and safety</td>
<td>May prevent air drying a wet umbrella.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't ever walk around nude.</td>
<td>Teaches appropriate appearance in public</td>
<td>May interfere with ability to perform essential ADLs or respond to emergencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No fun until the work is done.</td>
<td>Teaches children priorities and responsibilities.</td>
<td>May never have pleasure as an adult—that can lead to depression, inability to function, and possible hospitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you don't go to the bathroom every day, you will get CANCER like your uncle.</td>
<td>Encourages children to stay regular for health reasons (old wives' tale)</td>
<td>Scheduling problems, heightens fears about medical conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look at him! Why can't you do things like him? He is better than you are.</td>
<td>Trying to teach child through example</td>
<td>Constantly compare self to others—seek out ways to put others above self—damages self-esteem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 7.10. Seth’s one-liner worksheet.**
MODIFYING SCHEMAS

Schemas are the most difficult cognitive constructs to modify. They are made up of the beliefs that, developmentally, came first in a patient’s life and have the longest reinforcement histories—but are addressed last in treatment. Only after patients gain some experience with the process of identifying and modifying automatic thoughts and intermediate beliefs can they begin to challenge the deeper and broader core beliefs. A helpful metaphor that I use with patients is to imagine that the task in CBT is to tear down an old shed that is not useful anymore. The shed is a wooden structure covered in shingles, and it sits on a cement foundation. One would have to remove the components starting from the top and working down. First comes the roof and exterior walls (automatic thoughts), then the framing and floor boards (intermediate beliefs), and finally the cement foundation (schemas). Developmentally, when the shed was built, the concrete foundation was laid first, the framing was based on that foundation, and the exterior laid over that frame. Because the foundation had to hold everything else up, it is deeply rooted, solid, and hard to break apart.

The cognitive rigidity in AS that has been mentioned so often in this book makes it even more difficult than it would be with typical adult patients to modify the core beliefs that comprise schemas. Even with typical adults, Persons et al. (2000) suggest that it is not feasible to modify all of a patient’s maladaptive schemas, and that only one or two core beliefs about the self or others can be targeted in therapy. This idea applies to patients with AS, as well.

Common Core Beliefs in AS

Beck (1995) presents a list of the most common maladaptive core beliefs that theoretically underlie psychopathology and categorizes them as either beliefs about being helpless or beliefs about being unlovable. This list is presented in Table 7.2. Patients with AS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 7.2. Categories of Core Beliefs about Self</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Helpless core beliefs</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am helpless.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am powerless.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am out of control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am weak.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am vulnerable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am needy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am trapped.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am inadequate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am ineffective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am incompetent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am a failure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am disrespected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am defective (i.e., I do not measure up to others).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am not good enough (in terms of achievement).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Unlovable core beliefs**                     |
| I am unlovable.                               |
| I am unlikable.                               |
| I am undesirable.                             |
| I am unattractive.                            |
| I am unwanted.                                |
| I am uncared for.                             |
| I am bad.                                     |
| I am unworthy.                                |
| I am different.                               |
| I am defective (i.e., so others will not love me). |
| I am not good enough (to be loved by others). |
| I am bound to be rejected.                    |
| I am bound to be abandoned.                   |
| I am bound to be alone.                       |

usually have at least one core belief from each category that will become a focus in therapy. The most common of these beliefs I have encountered in adults with AS are about being . . .

- Helpless
- Powerless
- Inadequate
- Defective
- Incompetent
- A failure
- Unattractive
- Unwanted
- Bad
- Unworthy
- Different
- Bound to be alone

The themes of helplessness, incompetence, defectiveness, and unworthiness were apparent in the cases of Bob and Seth, used earlier in the sections on automatic thoughts and intermediate beliefs. Those cases are revisited here, as well as the cases of Andrew and Salvador, to illustrate the application of techniques for modifying schemas. Included below are descriptions of continuum techniques and the core belief worksheet.

**Continuum Techniques**

The dichotomous thinking to which people with AS are prone warrants the use of techniques that have been developed for typical adults who exhibit polarized thinking. Continuum methods are CBT strategies designed to help patients develop a new mental representation of an idea that was previously seen in all-or-nothing terms (Beck, 1995; Padesky, 1994; Persons et al., 2000). It works by having a patient take a negative statement (usually about self) that is global and break it down into more specific criteria. The specific criteria are then turned into some type of rating scale that allows the person to evaluate him- or herself along a continuum. Those ratings are then used to challenge the original global statement, which usually reflects a maladaptive core belief. The cases of Andrew and Bob are used to illustrate.

Andrew’s case was used in Chapter 6 to illustrate perspective-taking skills and time management. Again, he is a 32-year-old man who has a degree in culinary arts, works as a manager in a gourmet food shop, and engages in model railroad building as a hobby. His presenting problems were depressive symptoms and lack of social relationships. After his assessment, he was diagnosed as follows:

**Axis I:** Dysthymic disorder, early onset  
Asperger syndrome  

**Axis II:** Avoidant personality disorder  

**Axis III:** No diagnosis  

**Axis IV:** Inadequate friendships and dating experiences, employment dissatisfaction  

**Axis V:** GAF = 60  

Andrew’s core beliefs about himself were “I am a failure” and “I am unwanted.” Although he was chronically dysphoric (hence the dysthymia diagnosis), his mood would become more depressed and irritable around times he perceived to be life’s
“mile markers”—mainly his birthday and New Year’s Day. In one late December session, he came in reporting a high level of anger, mostly toward himself. He stated that as the new year approached, he could think about only how he is failing to move forward in his life. Despite some progress that had been made in therapy, in this session he appeared to be regressing in that he was repeating many of the maladaptive automatic thoughts that had been addressed many months before. They all had the theme of “I am a failure.”

I chose to use a cognitive continuum intervention to address the activation of Andrew’s core belief of failure, which had been triggered by the impending new year. One of the statements Andrew made in the session was chosen for this exercise: “The decade is half over already, and I have not succeeded. I have not accomplished anything.” The cognitive continuum intervention is illustrated in the following dialogue.

THERAPIST: Let’s turn that statement into a rating. Imagine a scale from 1 to 10 (draws a horizontal line on poster paper): 1 represents absolutely no success (writes on one end), whereas 10 represents total success (writes on the other end); 5 would be somewhere in between (fills in the rest of numbers between 1 and 10).

PATIENT: OK.

THERAPIST: Now, tell me how you would rate your overall success this year, compared to 5 years ago, since you seemed to focus on the decade being half over.

PATIENT: I’d give myself a 1—no success.

THERAPIST: OK. Now, on the bottom of this paper I’m going to make a list. Tell me all of the things in your life that you think define success. Is success just one thing? Or are there a few things in your life that you would like to be more successful in?

PATIENT: Having a good job. Having enough money to support myself. Having a girlfriend. Having friends. Driving a decent car.

THERAPIST: (after writing list) Anything else?

PATIENT: No, that’s about it.

THERAPIST: OK. I’m going to make two columns next to your five criteria for success. One represents where you were 5 years ago, and the other one represents now. Start with your job. How would you rate yourself, using this 10-point scale, on where you were with the job situation 5 years ago?

PATIENT: Oh, God. It was a 1. I didn’t have a job at all.

THERAPIST: OK. Now let’s do the same thing for the next criterion on your list, having enough money to support yourself. Where were you 5 years ago?

PATIENT: Another disaster. I had no money. I was living with my parents. A 1.

THERAPIST: And now?
PATIENT: My parents still give me money, but at least I am in my own place, and I am paying for most of it myself. That would be a 5, too.

THERAPIST: Next is having a girlfriend. Five years ago?

PATIENT: A 1, of course. And a 1 now, too. It’s never gonna happen.

THERAPIST: OK, 1 for then. Let me ask you something before I write a 1 for now. I know you don’t have a girlfriend now. But do you think you are any closer to it then you were back then? For instance, you have worked hard practicing some of the skills you learned here, and you have been successful in striking up an acquaintance with that one female coworker. I don’t necessarily mean she will become your girlfriend, but I mean you have learned some things that are making you more comfortable talking to women. If you consider that, do you still think you are in exactly the same place you were 5 years ago on this issue?

PATIENT: I guess not. But chatting a few times with my coworker still seems pretty lame. I will have to give myself a 3 on that.

THERAPIST: What about friends?

PATIENT: Five years ago, it was about a 2. I always kept in touch with my one friend from high school. And now, it would be about a 6, I guess. I go out with some guys from work sometimes. And I hang out with my brother’s friends. And I like some of the guys in my model railroad club. So that has gotten better, I guess.

THERAPIST: Last is your car.

PATIENT: (Laughs.) That is pretty clear-cut. My parents bought me a new car 5 years ago. It’s not the greatest, but at least it was new. I would have to say 5 for then. Now it’s an old, not-so-great car. Now it gets a 1!

Andrew was then asked to calculate his average success score for 5 years ago, which turned out to be 2, and for the current year, which was 4. A graph was made as a final step in this exercise, so that Andrew could see visually how his success rate really had gone up according to his more detailed analysis. This process provided data that was inconsistent with his core belief “I am a failure.”

In Bob’s case, the monthly activity schedule that was presented earlier also served as a cognitive continuum exercise. At the end of each month, as mentioned, the percentages of success on each of his goals were calculated. These data were plotted on a graph that was also reviewed each month with him. Bob’s core belief, “I cannot take care of myself,” was challenged whenever this data graph was reviewed. He was “forced” to attribute even small increments in his percentage rates to his own effort.

**Core Belief Worksheet**

Another strategy for modifying schemas (core beliefs) is the Core Belief Worksheet developed by Beck (1995). It is meant to help a patient reinforce cognitive changes that have taken place in earlier sessions. In some ways it can be considered a relapse prevention exercise, in that the changes in automatic thoughts and intermediate beliefs that are learned in therapy are not likely to “stick” if they are not reinforced repeatedly. Only through that reinforcement process can underlying schemas truly change.
The worksheet requires the patient to list a maladaptive core belief on the top of the sheet, then rate, on a scale of 0–100%, how much it is believed right now, the most it was believed over the past week, and the least it was believed over the past week. Then the patient records the new, more adaptive belief and rates how much it is believed right now. The rest of the sheet is comprised of two columns for the patient to use, over the course of a week, to log evidence that contradicts the old belief and supports the new one, and also to log evidence that supports the old belief but is reframed in a new way.

The case of Salvador is used to illustrate how this exercise was implemented, with some modifications. Salvador was introduced in Chapter 1, and his case was used to show problem-solving approaches in Chapter 6. As a brief review, Salvador is 33-year-old Catholic Italian American man, single, who is self-employed as a foreign language instructor. He came to therapy for help with anger control problems and ongoing disappointments with his relationships. He was also ambivalent about his recent diagnosis of AS and his family’s desire for him to apply for various entitlements and services for people with developmental disabilities. He was frustrated because his talent and proficiency in foreign languages were not being used toward a career. After his intake sessions, he was diagnosed as follows:

Axis I: Dysthymic disorder, atypical features (mood reactivity)
Asperger syndrome
Axis II: Dependent personality disorder
Axis III: No diagnosis
Axis IV: Interpersonal conflicts, career dissatisfaction,
stressors associated with being diagnosed with a disability
Axis V: GAF = 55

The goals for Salvador were to decrease the frequency of angry outbursts (involving loud cursing and/or punching inanimate objects), to increase his understanding and acceptance of his disability, and to increase independent decision making.

Salvador’s core beliefs about himself were “I am defective,” “I am not good enough,” and “I am bound to be rejected.” His automatic thoughts and intermediate beliefs were dominated by all-or-nothing thinking, and he had a strong tendency to place himself and other people into narrowly defined categories. All people were labeled as good or bad according to only two sets of criteria. They were either disabled (bad) or normal (good) and American-born (bad) or foreign (good). He believed that his lifelong learning problems had come from a disability (making him bad), but that the social rejection he had faced in his life was due to “American ignorance” (making Americans bad). His gift for foreign languages had led him to socialize frequently with foreigners, and their warmth and welcoming behavior toward him at organized cultural events led him to believe that foreigners are less ignorant and more accepting (good). He believed that his only escape from his dissatisfying life was to immerse himself in a foreign culture, where he could feel like a “normal” (good) person. He fantasized about moving to another country, where he believed he would fit in and be accepted. His need to apply for disability services tied him to this country and highlighted his status as a disabled person, which made him feel angry. He did not believe he had any choice in the matter, as he deferred to his parents and sister to make life
decisions for him, and they believed that he needed the services that were offered to people with disabilities.

In the first several months of treatment, psychoeducation about AS was provided to Salvador, and he was eager to learn what it was and how it would affect him. During this period he was engaged in the process of applying for Social Security Disability benefits as well as employment and residential services for adults with disabilities. He continually expressed shame about being “associated with disabled people,” however. In the clinic where he came to sessions, he often reported feelings of disgust after observing various forms of disability in the other patients in the waiting room. On several occasions, I pointed out the contradiction between his pursuit of disability-related services and his rejection of people who had disabilities. Salvador described how he always knew that he had “learning disabilities,” and in some ways he was relieved to find out that the AS diagnosis explained a lot of the obstacles he had faced in his life. However, he also felt a desperate need to “get on with life” and to be “normal,” and labeling himself as “disabled” seemed to go against that goal. In addition, he believed that his love of learning foreign languages and his love of other cultures was misunderstood by his family, given that they discouraged his ideas about traveling or moving to a foreign country.

Salvador’s treatment plan had several components, but only one is described here. The goal of increasing his understanding and acceptance of his disability was a priority, because his beliefs about the meaning of having a disability were contributing to his anger problems. The core belief, “I am defective,” was embedded in his attitudes about disability (intermediate beliefs) and his automatic thoughts (all-or-nothing thinking about disabled people). For example, an attitude he held was “If you are disabled, it means you are crazy.” This attitude drove the automatic thought he had whenever he saw a disabled person in the clinic waiting room, rocking in the chair. His response was, “Look at that crazy disabled person rocking. I hope I don’t look like that.”

One of the exercises that was done with Salvador was a modified core belief worksheet. In order to challenge the belief “I am defective” Salvador had to first gather evidence for and against some of the attitudes he held about disabled people (which were really intermediate beliefs). Salvador learned best when ideas were broken down into concrete steps, so this worksheet was designed to appeal to that style. As a homework assignment one week, he was asked to write down all of the characteristics he believed disabled people held. He came back to the next session with this list:

**Disabled people are:**
- Stupid
- Ill-mannered
- Crazy
- Angry
- Poor
- Useless

Next he was asked to rate how much he believed each one. Then he was asked to produce evidence that supported the statement as well as two forms of evidence to contradict it. Not only was he asked to think of people who were disabled who did not possess the negative trait named, but also to name some nondisabled people who did
possess the trait. Finally, the worksheet required him to rate the strength of his belief after he examined the evidence. Although each individual belief about disability was really an intermediate belief, it was hypothesized that the core belief listed at the top of the sheet was being challenged through each of these steps, and that Salvador would learn best if the process was broken down in this way. Figure 7.11 presents the worksheet after his first use of it. His all-or-nothing thinking style led him to rate the strength of each belief as 100% before examining evidence. This worksheet was assigned to him on a weekly basis thereafter, so that he could gather ongoing evidence in his day-to-day life and repeatedly rate the strength of each belief. The ratings gradually decreased as he gathered more evidence.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, this chapter described how traditional CBT strategies can be applied to the mental health problems seen in patients with AS. With only a few special considerations and modifications, CBT can be offered to adults with AS to alleviate symptoms of anxiety and depression. The cognitive model is very relevant to this population of adults, who are vulnerable to maladaptive schema development, an overreliance on dysfunctional rules, and all-or-nothing thinking. Several case examples were used to illustrate how tools such as the thought record, activity schedule, downward arrow, cognitive continuum, and core belief worksheet can be used with these patients. Due to the complex nature of the problems experienced by adults with AS, the treatment strategies that were detailed in this chapter and Chapter 6 are rarely implemented in isolation, because the patient often has other treatment providers from other disciplines involved. The next chapter describes how adjunctive therapies can be integrated into the overall treatment plan.
Old Core Belief: I am defective because I am disabled.
New Belief: I have a disability, but I am not defective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement about disability</th>
<th>How strongly do you believe this now?</th>
<th>Evidence to support that disabled people have this trait</th>
<th>Evidence to support that some disabled people do not have this trait</th>
<th>Evidence to support that some nondisabled people do have this trait</th>
<th>How strongly do you believe the statement after examining the evidence?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are stupid</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>The people in the waiting room are disabled, and they also look retarded.</td>
<td>My cousin's daughter is in a wheelchair, and she is very smart.</td>
<td>My uncle Joe is not disabled, but he is very stupid.</td>
<td>0–100% 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are ill-mannered</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>I am disabled, and I am rude sometimes.</td>
<td>My cousin's daughter is in a wheelchair, and she is also very polite and nice.</td>
<td>My uncle Ron is not disabled, and he is very rude all of the time.</td>
<td>0–100% 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are crazy</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>The people in the waiting room who rock look like they are disabled and crazy.</td>
<td>Christopher Reeve was disabled, but he was not crazy.</td>
<td>My sister is kind of crazy, but she is not disabled.</td>
<td>0–100% 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are angry</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>I am disabled, and I am very angry.</td>
<td>The guy who runs the Asperger support group is disabled, but he is always in a good mood.</td>
<td>I saw a very normal, nicely dressed man screaming at the gas station guy. He was very angry.</td>
<td>0–100% 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are poor</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>All the people in the Social Security office when I go in look disabled and poor.</td>
<td>I read an article about famous people with dyslexia who are disabled and rich!</td>
<td>Both of my uncles are poor, but they’re not disabled.</td>
<td>0–100% 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disabled people are useless</td>
<td>0–100% 100</td>
<td>The government gives disabled people money in Social Security because they are useless.</td>
<td>Christopher Reeve was disabled, but he was not useless. He helped thousands of people.</td>
<td>Lots of people who are not disabled do not want to work because they are lazy.</td>
<td>0–100% 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIGURE 7.11. Salvador's belief worksheet.
As previously described, patients with AS often have multiple treatment needs, some of which cannot be addressed by an individual psychotherapist. After the initial assessment and identification of treatment needs, the patient should be referred for other indicated services. It is likely that these other therapies will enhance the patient’s responsiveness to the CBT. Therapists must be able to communicate effectively with members of diverse professional disciplines. This chapter provides general guidelines for collaboration and integration of treatment, followed by a list and descriptions of adjunctive services.

GUIDELINES FOR REFERRAL AND COLLABORATION WITH OTHER SERVICE PROVIDERS

When to Refer
Generally, an individual therapist refers a patient to another provider to obtain a specialized evaluation or to begin an additional course of treatment different from the individual psychotherapy already provided.

Evaluation
During the intake and assessment process, the therapist asks many questions to determine the various factors contributing to the problems for which the patient is seeking help. Many times additional evaluations, such as those performed by other mental health, medical, or rehabilitation specialists will be necessary to answer those questions. The specialties are described later in this chapter to help the reader consider the different types of assistance that can be offered to these patients.
Initiate Additional Course of Treatment

The answers to the questions raised during the assessment often lead the therapist to treatment goals that can only be met by an outside professional. Sometimes patients are reluctant to accept a referral because they may already feel overwhelmed by the number of appointments they must make each week. Others may question why the service being recommended was never needed before (e.g., speech therapy). It is the therapist’s job to describe in lay terms why these adjunctive services are necessary and how they may improve the patient’s quality of life. The brief descriptions provided later in this chapter can be used as the basis of any discussion with an individual patient.

Collaboration

At the point of intake, many patients are already involved in other therapies. Sometimes it will be one of those other professionals who referred the patient for individual psychotherapy. Whether the patient is continuing with ongoing treatments or will be initiating new ones at the recommendation of the therapist, effective collaboration with other providers is crucial for the treatment plan to be effective. This collaboration involves a balancing act between the need to maintain confidentiality and the need to facilitate ongoing communication so that all involved parties can secure important information.

Maintaining Confidentiality

Every psychotherapist should be well versed in the ethical and legal requirements regarding confidentiality, set forth by the guidelines of his or her discipline and the state in which he or she is practicing. A discussion of those issues is outside the scope of this volume. However, special challenges arise when serving adults with AS because they customarily have multiple providers and caregivers involved in their lives.

The therapist must be mindful of the need to get the patient’s signed authorization for communication with every professional involved. Obtaining this authorization can be a matter of routine during the intake or after a referral is made, but it also may become cumbersome if the patient is involved in a program where there is a lot of staff turnover. For example, if a patient signs an authorization form for the therapist to speak to his or her case manager, and then that case manager is replaced by a new one who calls the therapist up several months later, the therapist will not be able to speak to that person about the patient’s care until a new authorization form has been signed by the patient.

In addition, in terms of the need to preserve confidentiality, caregivers and providers often do not understand how the role of the psychotherapist differs from that of other professionals with whom the patient is involved. The case manager mentioned in the example above may not understand why a spontaneous conversation cannot take place over the phone about the patient, as it can when he calls the patient’s vocational counselor, for instance.

Most people accept the confidentiality constraints once they understand them. Therapists working with adults who have AS should be prepared to explain confidentiali-
ality to patients and other providers more often than they might when working with typical adults.

**Facilitating Regular Communication**

Despite the confidentiality issues, most therapists should have ongoing communication with other providers. It is crucial to set the tone of collaboration from the point of intake with patients by explaining to them the importance of having authorization to contact other involved providers. The frequency of contact with other providers will be determined by the nature of the services, but the main objectives of making regular calls are listed below:

- Discuss assessment findings and diagnosis.
- Inform other provider(s) of treatment goals.
- Become familiar with the treatment goals of the other provider(s).
- Ensure that the treatment goals are compatible with your own.
- Gain information about how the patient presents in other settings.
- Gain information about the patient’s impact on others.
- Provide psychoeducation on AS and mental health diagnoses.

All of the information obtained can be used to reevaluate and modify the psychotherapy goals. By participating in an integrated treatment approach, the effectiveness of the individual psychotherapy is enhanced. This type of information exchange also helps to avoid duplication or gaps in service.

**ADJUNCTIVE SERVICES AND THEIR ROLES**

The following sections describe the other types of treatment in which the patient may be involved during the course of CBT. The benefits of each are described, along with precautionary notes where applicable.

**Adjunctive Psychotherapy, Counseling, and Support**

There are a number of reasons other types of psychotherapy or counseling may be indicated for a patient who is also receiving CBT.

**Individual Therapy with Other Goals**

In general, it is not advisable for a patient to work with two individual therapists concurrently. However, there are times when a patient may have a preexisting, ongoing relationship with a therapist at the time of the intake, and an agreement may be reached between both therapists for that service to continue even during CBT. For instance, a patient may have been receiving supportive counseling from a therapist for many years prior to the AS diagnosis, but that therapist may not offer the skill-building strategies that are warranted. Because patients with AS so often have a paucity of supportive relationships, terminating with the other therapist may put undue
stress on the patient, and the benefits of continuing that relationship can outweigh any risks of duplicating services. Both therapists must communicate regularly to ensure that each is working on different treatment goals. In other cases, the patient may see a specialist with expertise in treating a specific psychological condition (e.g., eating disorders), who lacks an understanding of AS. Acting as an adjunctive therapist for the patient and consultant to the therapists, the therapist with expertise in AS can provide, even on a temporary basis, psychoeducation about AS and related skill-building strategies.

**Family Therapy**

Some patients have difficulty responding to CBT because they are living with family members who engage them in maladaptive interactions. Occasionally it is helpful to invite family members into sessions to address minor issues of communication and support. Obviously the patient must consent to this step. Because the involvement of family members is sporadic and its only purpose is to further the individual work with the patient, it is not true family therapy. When the family relationships appear to be severely dysfunctional, the therapist should refer the entire family, including the patient with AS, to a trained family therapist. In extreme cases, wherever individual treatment would be completely futile, an agreement to provide individual treatment should be contingent upon the family entering outside treatment.

**Marital Therapy**

Some adults with AS, especially those newly diagnosed, want to involve their spouse or partner in their treatment, as they gain a new understanding of their roles in their relationships. The partners are usually motivated to participate, as well, given that they have had to cope with many “quirks” for which they had had no previous explanations. Cognitive-behavioral therapists who are trained in marital therapy may opt to treat the couple rather than the individual as a means to address their social problems, with the accompanying depression and anxiety. In other cases, however, the individual with AS may want individual therapy to address problems not involving the relationship, but may want marital therapy with a different practitioner in addition. Again, this arrangement is feasible, as long as the patient allows the two therapists to communicate with each other.

**Sexuality Education or Therapy**

Patients with AS may present with a wide range of sexual problems, as mentioned earlier. Some can be addressed in CBT along with other goals related to social functioning or anxiety reduction. However, depending on the nature of the problem and the level of expertise of the CBT clinician, a referral can be made to a sexuality expert. The psychosexual assessment and treatment continuum model for adults with developmental disabilities (Matich-Maroney et al., 2005), discussed in Chapter 3, can be useful in determining the type of expert the patient needs to see. The model offers guidelines for deciding among providers of psychoeducation, interpersonal skills training, trauma treatment, sexuality disorders treatment, or forensic treatment.
Collateral Therapy for Family Members

Some patients have parents or siblings who are highly involved in their day-to-day lives, providing varying levels of guidance and support. Others are in a caretaking role themselves as they raise children of their own. Relationships can be strained, either directly or indirectly, by the features of AS, and there are times when family members may be referred for their own individual treatment. Common reasons for making such a recommendation are outlined below.

Unless there is psychopathology or severe family dysfunction present, supporting parents and siblings typically share the individual’s desire for him or her to achieve more independence. Sometimes parents are near or at retirement age and are anxious to enjoy their later years without having to support or worry about an adult child who is not yet self-sufficient. The stress involved in trying to help their son or daughter can be difficult to cope with year after year, and some parents report a sense of being “burned out” and tired of repeating strategies that do not seem to lead to improvement. These individuals can benefit from therapy that is geared toward coping, problem-solving, and sometimes behavior management issues. Individual treatment of the patient with AS is enhanced because the parents’ therapy will reduce stress and tension and the parents will learn strategies that better foster independence in their adult child.

Collateral therapy can also be offered to parents when their adult child with AS does not want to enter therapy at all. Again, providing parents with coping, problem-solving, and behavior management strategies can indirectly help the AS individual who is dependent on them.

Children of a parent on the spectrum may be referred for their own therapy if they appear to be having difficulty coping with any aspect of family life. Depending on the age, cognitive ability, and diagnostic status of the child (with or without AS), psychoeducation about AS may be considered. It is important to note, however, that there is no existing research literature on parents with AS, and therefore no reason to assume that the features of AS necessarily adversely affect the children they are raising. If there are psychosocial stressors challenging the family, such as financial problems or marital discord, then there are obvious pressures on children, as there would be for any patient population. These factors, along with relationship functioning, are examined during a thorough intake. However, if such issues are not present and the patient is not reporting relationship strain with his or her children, the therapist should not assume that the children of a parent on the spectrum are in need of extra support.

The individual therapist must be clear about his or her role with a given family. Once collateral therapy has begun with a family member, that person is the designated patient. If the individual with AS decides to enter therapy at another point, he or she should be referred to a different therapist. If a therapist tries to shift roles between parents and an adult child with AS, for example, the individual with AS may see the therapist as an agent of the parent and will, with reason, have difficulty trusting the relationship. This point must be made clear to parents at the outset because some enter treatment hoping to encourage their son or daughter into coming for treatment later. It should be explained that if they choose to come for individual therapy themselves, their son or daughter would be referred to someone else. Some parents may choose not to start treatment, hoping to get the child into treatment with the therapist at a later point.
**Group Therapy**

Patients with AS can benefit from being involved in group therapy concurrently with individual treatment. Some patients may already be in a group when individual therapy begins. As long as the patient likes the group and authorizes regular communication between therapists, ongoing participation should be encouraged. Unfortunately rare, adult social skills groups for people with AS and HFA can be very helpful, if run by a therapist well versed in autism spectrum issues, and can serve as a useful adjunct to individual CBT.

**Support Groups**

In Chapter 5 the growing number of support and advocacy networks for people on the high end of the autism spectrum was discussed (a list of the well-established organizations can be found in the appendix). The sense of belongingness that can be gained by attending such a group can be a powerful source of support and encouragement for patients with AS. However, therapists must be sensitive to the fact that support groups are very different from therapy groups, and some patients may need to discuss adverse reactions to experiences there in their individual sessions. Support groups are open (anyone can come at any time) and, therefore, less predictable than a therapy group. Facilitators may have varying levels of experience and training, and meetings may not follow an agenda. Because, by definition, the attendees have difficulty with social skills, some patients may be offended or put off by the behavior of others in the group, even though they understand that everyone there has AS or HFA. Other patients, such as Salvador, may not be ready to discuss their AS diagnosis so openly or may dislike being associated with others who are known to have a disability. Overall, I have found support groups to be an invaluable adjunct to the treatment plan. Even negative experiences can become “grist for the mill” in session, because they bring opportunities for further growth.

**Medical and Rehabilitation Services**

Many of the core problems of AS have a neurobiological basis. CBT can be helpful in teaching patients new skills and to manage anxiety and depression, but many areas of impaired functioning must be addressed by medical and rehabilitation professionals.

**Psychiatry**

In my practice, at the time of intake approximately 75% of patients with AS are taking psychotropic medication. Usually the medications are prescribed by a psychiatrist, although on rare occasions, by the primary care physician. The pharmacological treatment of the symptoms of AS and comorbid mental illness is a vast and ever-growing field that falls outside the scope of this volume. The reader is referred to Scahill and Martin (2005) and Ghaziuddin (2005) for recent overviews of psychopharmacology in the treatment of people on the autism spectrum.

The cognitive-behavioral therapist who is not a physician will need to establish a collaborative relationship with the professional who is prescribing psychotropic medi-
cation to the patient. With complex cases, I have found the psychiatrists to be my most important contacts because they not only prescribe medication but also have their own therapy relationship with the patient. When the symptoms are severe and the progress is slow, it is helpful to have the support of another professional. In the most optimal situation, the psychiatrist and therapist benefit from an ongoing “peer supervision” relationship.

At times, a patient who is not on medication may be referred to a psychiatrist for an evaluation. This referral is warranted only if the comorbid Axis I symptoms are so severe that they interfere with the CBT process or cause significant distress in the patient’s day-to-day life and do not improve after CBT is well under way.

**Primary Medical Care**

The intake should include a brief assessment of the patient’s medical status, such as the date of his or her last physical exam and any known physical problems. Sometimes the therapist may recommend a medical consultation to rule out biological causes for the patient’s problems. For example, sleep irregularity is a common problem triggering a referral. Many patients have erratic sleep patterns and complain of a wide range of sleep problems, even in the absence of other mood symptoms. Patients should be strongly encouraged to meet with their primary care physician to discuss this issue so that a referral to a sleep disorders clinic can be considered, if indicated. The anxiety that is so prevalent in these patients can also have physical sequelae warranting medical attention (e.g., gastrointestinal problems, exacerbation of allergies). For patients presenting with depressive symptoms, thyroid function must be evaluated because hypothyroidism is a frequent cause of depression.

**Speech Therapy**

People with AS tend to have many verbal strengths and rarely show problems with speech or the mechanics of language. For this reason, many of them have never been referred for speech therapy before. Yet, speech–language pathologists have the expertise to help individuals who have auditory-processing difficulties as well as problems with social language and pragmatics. Two of the cases presented earlier in this book, Andrew and Salvador, were referred for speech therapy because I suspected auditory-processing problems. Despite the fact that they were well spoken and had never been previously identified as having language difficulties, I noticed the problem in our sessions. For example, they would struggle to answer my questions when I asked for a “recap” of a point we had covered or to explain their understanding of a homework assignment. When probed, they each acknowledged that they were having more difficulty than they wanted to admit understanding what people were saying to them. This area was particularly problematic when teachers or employers gave them multiple-step instructions, or when they tried to interact socially in a group situation. Neither had ever received speech therapy in school while growing up, and both were initially reluctant to go for an evaluation for that reason. Andrew was taught some exercises to help him focus and listen. Salvador was taught similar exercises, along with appropriate ways to ask people to repeat or slow down what they were saying to him. Finding a
speech–language pathologist who works with adults is a crucial resource for any psychotherapist working with adult AS cases.

**Occupational Therapy**

People with AS have sensory–motor functioning deficits that can interfere with self-care and self-management. Sensitivities to light, sound, movement, and touch, though less severe in adulthood, can continue to cause problems for the patient with AS. Problems with motor planning, or *dyspraxia*, can interfere with the initiation or shifting of motor tasks, including grooming and housekeeping responsibilities. Organizational deficits can interfere with budgeting and time management. All of these issues can be improved by an occupational therapist, who can either remediate some of the problems or teach the individual to compensate. Again, finding an occupational therapist who works with adults on the spectrum is a worthwhile pursuit for the psychotherapist.

**Adult Disability Services**

AS is considered a disability by many states and may qualify a patient to receive various types of support and services. In some states it is considered a developmental disability, in others a psychiatric disability, and in some states it is considered neither. The rules and regulations can be very confusing, but it is worthwhile for newly diagnosed patients to investigate the services for which they may be eligible in their state. To help navigate this realm, Asperger Foundation International (2005) researched the varying systems and published a comprehensive listing of support service mechanisms in each of the 50 states, available on their website at [www.aspfi.org](http://www.aspfi.org). This list can be used as a resource guide of the educational, rehabilitative, and therapeutic supports to which individuals may be entitled in their state of residency. The generic categories of support services from which patients may benefit are listed below, with a reminder that the terminology and eligibility criteria will vary from state to state.

**Case Management**

The case manager or “service coordinator” has the responsibility of ensuring that the individual gets all of services he or she needs. Usually there is a requirement to meet monthly, and the case manager will help the patient access financial and therapeutic supports. At the time of intake, the patient may already have a case manager, who will become an important contact for the therapist. Other times, a referral to case management may be made by the therapist. This referral is particularly helpful to parents who have been bearing the burden of doing much of the “legwork” involved in applying to programs and services and who may not know such services (case management) exist.

**Vocational Training/Job Coaching**

Most patients with AS are either unemployed or underemployed, despite achieving high levels of education and talent. The development of employment programs tailored to the adult AS population is in its infancy in the United States (see Gerhardt &
Holmes, 2005, for a review of these issues). A referral to vocational counseling can be made, but this service will be helpful only if the counselor understands AS or is willing to learn about it. Some states supply “job coaches” to adults with disabilities; these are workers assigned to accompany the adult on job interviews and/or on the job site, if necessary. Again, a job coach will be effective only if she or she truly understands the special needs and challenges of an individual with AS. Unfortunately, they are often trained to work with disabilities other than AS (e.g., mental retardation, substance abuse) and may not always have the level of experience necessary to adapt to the unique needs of an adult with AS. Nevertheless, the referral should be made for a patient who is reporting repeated job losses or job dissatisfaction. Sometimes, through collaboration, the therapist can assist in designing appropriate vocational training and supports for the patient. Recommending the book Developing Talents: Careers for Individuals with Asperger Syndrome and High Functioning Autism (Grandin, 2004) to a newly appointed vocational counselor can be a good way to start the relationship.

**Assisted Living**

Most states support supervised residential programs that allow adults with disabilities to live in the community as independently as possible. Again, the types of services and eligibility criteria will vary greatly from state to state. The generic service models are listed below, starting with the lowest level of staff supervision and ending with the highest.

- Housing programs that offer only subsidized rent.
- Counseling service providing a worker who visits the individual in his or her own home or apartment for several hours a week to teach higher-level skills and to monitor the individual’s status.
- Supportive apartment programs in which there are staff nearby at all times, but who may visit the individual only for brief daily meetings.
- Group homes that are located in the community and may be staffed 24 hours/day. The staff-to-resident ratio will vary according to the severity of disability in the residents.

The population of adults with AS and HFA is very heterogeneous in terms of their need for residential support. Some need no support and may have lived on their own for years with spouses or partners, supporting children of their own. At the other end of the spectrum are those who, despite a high level of intelligence, have needed 24-hour supervised group homes, usually because of chronic comorbid psychiatric problems. Most patients, however, are somewhere in between, needing a moderate level of support on a weekly basis.

**Legal Services**

The last type of outside service a patient with AS may need from time to time is legal. There are two types of legal problems to which a person with AS may be prone, and appropriate referrals to attorneys may be necessary in either case. They are service eligibility appeals and criminal defense.
Service Eligibility Appeals

Because AS is a relatively new concept, many state agencies have inconsistent methods for evaluating its eligibility for various supports and services. If patients are denied services to which they believe they are entitled, referrals to an attorney who has expertise in disability law and health care issues may be warranted.

Criminal Defense

Unfortunately, people with AS can be vulnerable to negative encounters with law enforcement, as mentioned earlier in this book. Their unusual social behaviors, poor social judgment, and bizarre reactions to stress may lead people in the community to either provoke them or report them as a threat. Also, their lack of understanding of the importance of certain social norms may lead them to break the law outright. The book *Autism, Advocates, and Law Enforcement Professionals: Recognizing and Reducing Risk Situations for People with Autism Spectrum Disorders* (Debbaudt, 2002) is a useful text for patients and their families when high-risk behavior is present. Despite prevention efforts, some patients may find themselves facing various criminal charges and will need to be referred to a defense attorney who is well versed in disability and/or autism spectrum issues.

CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter highlighted how the cognitive-behavioral therapist usually works collaboratively with other providers in treating the adult patient with AS. Guidelines for preserving confidentiality while encouraging interdisciplinary communication were presented. The options for adjunctive services were identified and the rationale for including each one in the treatment plan was discussed. The next chapter presents strategies for handling obstacles to implementing the treatment plan.
Obstacles to Treatment and How to Address Them

Some of the challenges the therapist faces when treating adults with AS are unique to the population, whereas others may be found in any patient group where problems are complex. The obstacles and their solutions have been interwoven with the topics throughout this book. To clarify and highlight this topic, this chapter summarizes those issues and expands on points that were only briefly mentioned previously.

Due to the complicated nature of this patient population, people with AS may have multiple problems in several areas of their lives. Some of these can interfere with treatment implementation or hinder progress. Throughout the course of treatment, therapists need to address these obstacles through adjustments within the therapy sessions and/or by making the appropriate phone calls and referrals to other practitioners. The problems addressed in this chapter include social interaction problems within session, problems completing homework, low motivation for treatment, family members not supporting treatment, substance abuse, social isolation, financial problems, untreated health problems, and polypharmacy.

SOCIAL INTERACTION DIFFICULTIES AS CHALLENGES IN THE PSYCHOTHERAPY SESSION

As mentioned many times in this book, people with AS have atypical ways of processing information, particularly during social interactions. Therapy sessions are, obviously, one type of social interaction. Special considerations therapists must make for patients who perceive interpersonal exchanges in such a unique way were discussed in Chapter 3. In some cases these problems persist even after precautions have been taken; this section presents examples as well as strategies for minimizing their negative impact.
Behavioral Issues

Patients with AS display behaviors that would be considered odd by most people, including hand gestures, postures, facial grimaces, atypical use of eye contact, or poor hygiene. These are often manifestations of the sensory problems inherent in AS (e.g., sensitivity to light, specific sounds, or movements). These issues also tend to be more pronounced when a person is anxious or in distress and can interfere with therapy sessions if they are not addressed. The therapist should first make every effort to help the individual feel comfortable and relaxed in the room being used for the sessions.

One way to ensure comfort is to have a flexible office environment that can accommodate different sensory issues. If possible, include different seating choices, both incandescent and fluorescent light fixtures, and white noise machines that can be turned on or left off. Remembering that these individuals may not report that a sensory issue is at play, the therapist should pay extra attention to the noises or lighting in the office. Each patient is different in terms of sensitivities, so the therapist may spend more time than he or she would with typical adults asking questions such as “Does this light bother you?” or “Is that noise bothering you?”

The therapist must address any behavior that is interfering with the session and that does not seem to improve when accommodations are made. This intervention should be done in a direct, clear, but nonjudgmental fashion. For example, one patient who preferred to sit in a sprawled posture, almost lying down, put his muddy shoes onto the upholstery. I said to him in a pleasant tone, “You can sit however you want, but I am going to ask that you keep your feet off the upholstery.” In another example, a patient’s sensory problems led him to avoid taking showers. Although this problem had implications that had to be addressed in the overall treatment plan, I needed immediate relief from the odor in the sessions, so I said in a calm and nonjudgmental way, “I cannot sit in a room with you when you have not showered because the smell is aversive to me. I have to ask you to take a shower on the days you are coming to see me.”

By combining strategies to ensure the patient’s comfort with clear feedback about imposing behaviors, therapists can usually conduct successful sessions despite eccentric behavior.

Language and Communication Issues

The language and communication issues described earlier can obviously impede the therapy process. A therapist needs to be aware of these issues so that a lack of progress is not misattributed to other factors.

In the receptive communication domain, patients may have auditory-processing abilities that are discrepant with their expressive skills. For example, a person who appears to be very articulate, using a wide vocabulary and complex sentences, may not necessarily understand that level of language when the therapist is speaking. Many of these individuals interpret language in a very literal way and may miss the meaning of abstract concepts or idioms. The therapist should never assume a patient has comprehended ideas or instructions, even if the person is behaving as if he or she did. Therapists can avoid miscommunication by checking in more often than they might with
other adult patients to see if the information conveyed is being received and asking patients to reiterate or summarize what has been covered. In the case of Andrew, as an example, I had been working with him for several months before I realized he had extensive auditory-processing problems. I had erroneously assumed he understood some simple homework instructions, and when he repeatedly failed to carry them out, I attributed his behavior to a lack of motivation. His academic history and comorbid mental health problems contributed to a sense of shame about his failure to understand others and a reluctance to ask people to repeat or to clarify, and he had “mastered the art” of pretending he was listening and understanding (he made good eye contact and nodded his head at key points). I referred him to a speech–language pathologist, who helped him improve his listening skills and increase his willingness to ask for clarification.

In the expressive communication domain, alexithymia, or lack of access to words describing mental states, can interfere with therapy. These patients may have difficulty reporting important emotional experiences to the therapist, and information about these is crucial to CBT. As suggested in Chapter 3, it is helpful to adopt a common language with the patient, which may include some of his or her unusual word choices. Also, using multimodal communication tools, such as the Talk Blocks® described in Chapter 6, can overcome the obstacles that language problems can create.

Finally, in the interactive communication domain, patients may show problems with the social use of language (pragmatics) that can interfere with the therapy process. Patients’ failure to demonstrate reciprocity in their interactions with the therapist is a common obstacle. Patients may appear to be having a one-sided conversation at times, describing an issue at length and in detail, but not responsive to the therapist’s questions, interjections, or attempts to move on to another topic. They will also tend to miss any nonverbal cues the therapist may be using to communicate.

Sometimes this problem can be rectified by adjusting the pace, as mentioned above. The therapist may need to simply give the patient a bit of extra time to finish making a point and then that person may actually be responsive to the therapist’s contribution to the conversation. However, if that does not work, therapists will need to interrupt more often than they might with typical patients. For some therapists, including me, this may be difficult at first, for fear of being “rude” to the patient. However, it is necessary for certain patients in order to accomplish the tasks of therapy. It is important to participate in “meta-communication” with patients who have this problem; that is, to communicate about the communication issues taking place in the session. For example, if a therapist finds that many interruptions are necessary, it might help to say something like the following:

“I noticed you have a difficult time stopping what you are saying about a topic. At times I want to say something to you about your point, but you tend to talk over me and I don’t get to share with you what I am thinking. From now on, if I have an important question or comment for you, I am going to raise my hand and say, ‘I am going to stop you for a moment.’ I am not doing this to be rude, but only so we can get the most out of what you are saying. Is that acceptable to you?”

Another pragmatics problem is found when the patient fails to notice nonverbal cues and/or the invisible interpersonal boundaries of the therapist. The patient may
not recognize the limits in the relationship with the therapist, such as the need to end a session at a particular time, for the therapist to protect the privacy of him- or herself, or to protect the privacy of other patients. This lack of recognition may be exhibited when the patient asks questions that most people would deem intrusive or personal. Examples include questions about the therapist’s schedule for the rest of the day, other patients encountered in the waiting room, what kind of car the therapist drives, personal financial issues, or personal family matters. I have observed that these questions are usually asked because the person is trying to make “small talk” and does not recognize boundaries, not because he or she has an excessive interest in the therapist’s personal life. I assume this behavior mirrors the way they behave with most people in their social environment, which makes it very important to address. Frank and non-judgmental feedback about the difference between “polite” and “personal” questions can help the patient shape more adaptive ways to “chat.”

Cognitive Issues

The primary cognitive issue that can pose a challenge in therapy for patients with AS is their difficulty with cognitive shifting. As mentioned several times, they have problems shifting attention, perspectives, and ideas, and these problems can interfere during basic CBT interventions. By definition, CBT is aimed at helping people modify their thoughts, so patients who are prone to rigid thinking may not respond as quickly as typical patients to the interventions. In my experience, however, people with AS have shown that they can shift, they just need more time to do it. The guideline about pacing and setting realistic time frames for change applies to this problem.

EXECUTIVE FUNCTION PROBLEMS
INTERFERING WITH HOMEWORK COMPLETION

Patients who have EF problems have difficulty with planning and organization. Those who have mild-to-moderate problems with task organization may actually appreciate the structure provided by homework worksheets and are very compliant for those reasons. However, some patients are so overwhelmed by the simplest tasks of daily living that they cannot focus on standard CBT homework between sessions. The therapist can use several strategies to help these patients. One is to break down the homework into smaller units than what may be assigned in CBT for a typical adult. In Bob’s case, for example, the thought record was introduced to him gradually, in subcomponents, across several weeks. Another strategy is providing the individual with visual cues. For example, Andrew, who tended to let things “pile up” in his apartment, lost his homework sheets several times. Giving him a bright red folder in which to keep his worksheets increased compliance because the folder stood out among his other papers and cued him to remember to do his homework. If modifications do not increase compliance, it may be necessary to do all of the written work in session and assign homework that involves simple observations that the patient can report from memory. As a reminder, if a patient’s EF deficits are severe and pervasive, referring him or her to an occupational therapist can be helpful.
LOW MOTIVATION TO BE IN TREATMENT OR REJECTION OF THE COGNITIVE MODEL

As with any adult population, sometimes patients enter treatment for reasons other than genuine motivation to work toward improvement. The most common reason seen in this population is that they are being urged to come by a third party, either a family member or another professional. Chapter 3 presented the importance of doing a thorough telephone screening to ensure that patients are not being pressured by someone else, and that they have their own reasons for entering treatment. Despite that prevention strategy, patients may show low motivation in therapy for other reasons.

One reason can be found when a patient has unrealistic expectations for the therapy and/or does not understand roles the therapist and patient will play in working toward goals. For example, some patients may think that they can play a passive role in the treatment and that the therapist can make their problems disappear just by talking to them. Others may think that progress can happen faster than it does and will get frustrated if significant change is not seen early in treatment. If the therapist does a thorough orientation to therapy, these problems can be caught and addressed. After roles and expectations are clarified, the patient may or may not choose to continue.

Another obstacle to motivation can be seen when a patient has so many stressors in his or her life that the process of making and keeping appointments is not manageable for him or her. Sometimes incorporating stress management strategies into the treatment early on can help the patient with this area, whereas other times a referral to additional support services may be necessary to help him or her manage the demands of daily living. Therapy may be able to continue with those supports in place or may be suspended until practical constraints are addressed.

Finally, in rare cases, the patient does not accept the cognitive model, once it is introduced and understood. Sometimes this stance leads to termination or a referral to a therapist with a different orientation, but not necessarily. For example, one patient with whom I worked rejected the model because he believed that by accepting that thoughts and beliefs influence mood, he would be accepting blame for his lifelong problems with depression. Despite numerous clarification discussions, he persistently reiterated that idea. I made the decision to continue meeting with him because he was very willing to engage in an ongoing dialogue about this topic. Over the course of several months, he was able to challenge his belief that he was at fault for his depression, leading him to be more accepting of the cognitive model. In another case, the individual rejected the cognitive model but had recently suffered a loss (his mother died) and was in need of supportive counseling. While offering support, I gradually began using the cognitive model to help him understand his thoughts and feelings about losing his mother. He was later more willing to apply the model to address other life problems.

FAMILY ISSUES THAT INTERFERE WITH TREATMENT

Family members are often involved in the lives of adults with AS, especially if they are having difficulties with unemployment and daily living tasks. Many of them live with one or both parents. Those who have achieved independence may have spouses and/or children of their own. In the majority of cases, families are supportive of the therapy
process and many want to be involved, as mentioned earlier. However, several family issues can interfere with the therapy. One is when very dysfunctional interactions between the patient and his or her family members are damaging to the CBT, either directly or indirectly. Some patients can be taught skills to cope with this family conflict, but there are usually too many factors over which the patient has no control. The therapist who faces this problem may urge the patient to bring one or more family members in to discuss the treatment. In a treatment planning session, the patient and therapist can explain to the family member(s) the rationale and goals of treatment and address any interfering behaviors. If this intervention does not lead to improvement, a referral to family therapy must be made.

Another family issue can arise when the patient is a parent. Child care responsibilities can interfere with sessions. A patient’s problems with time management and task organization may be responsible, and sometimes therapy can address these issues. Other times the family obligations may lead to premature termination. This happened in the case of Lorraine, the young woman introduced in Chapter 1, who became pregnant soon after starting treatment. She stopped coming to therapy after her baby was born because she was overwhelmed by her new responsibilities and could not manage to coordinate her schedule to keep therapy appointments. Several problem-solving strategies were attempted, but she finally suspended the sessions indefinitely. She was referred to a case manager with a plan to continue sessions once she had more supports in place.

**SUBSTANCE ABUSE**

Patients with AS are vulnerable to alcohol and drug abuse because of their difficulties with socializing. Some have discovered that they feel more relaxed and less inhibited around other people if they consume alcohol or drugs. Because they present as socially naive, especially young adults, they are easily targeted by peers engaged in illegal activity and persuaded to participate in risky acts (e.g., small-time drug deals). This type of scenario also increases their exposure to substance use. As with the general population, a patient who is actively abusing or dependent on a substance will not benefit very well from CBT. If the patient is forthright about substance use in the intake, the therapist can encourage the individual to either stop or reduce that behavior, explaining how it may interfere with progress. If the patient agrees, it can become a goal of therapy.

I have observed, anecdotally, that alcohol and marijuana seem to have a particularly disorganizing effect on people with AS. For this reason, it is even more important for the therapist to know if the patient is using because the disorganized behavior may be misattributed to other psychiatric issues. As an example, I had a new patient where AS was suspected, but his behavior was particularly bizarre and chaotic, causing a diagnostic dilemma. Thinking he might be experiencing a comorbid psychotic episode, I referred him to a trusted colleague who is a psychiatrist. It was only through this process and collaboration with the psychiatrist that it was revealed that the patient was smoking marijuana daily—a fact he had withheld during my initial intake. When he temporarily stopped, the most extreme bizarre behaviors dissipated, and his symptoms fit a more classic AS profile.
ISOLATION AND LACK OF SUPPORTS

Although all patients with AS report some sense of isolation, some have fewer supports in their lives than others. A weak social support system can contribute to practical constraints. Transportation to sessions could be affected, for example, if a patient has no driver’s license and must find someone to bring him or her. Child care responsibilities could interfere with attendance if a patient has children but no friends or family to babysit.

Extreme isolation is not only a risk factor for depression, but it can also interfere with some aspects of CBT. As a person learns social skills in session, for example, he or she needs real-life situations and relationships within which to practice those new skills. If a patient has almost no contact with others in between sessions, the progress will be slower. A referral to either a therapy group or a support group may be warranted. In addition, case management services may also be considered so that the patient will have further help in connecting to other support services such as appropriate recreational activities.

FINANCIAL PROBLEMS

It has been mentioned numerous times that adults with AS are often unemployed or underemployed. This status has obvious financial implications, such as low income and lack of adequate health insurance coverage, which can be obstacles to psychotherapy. Therapists who serve this population must be prepared to address the same issues they would for any patient group with low income and/or long-term disability.

Ideally a patient’s financial status and ability to pay for psychotherapy is known at intake, and a therapist can make a suitable arrangement or, if necessary, a referral to another provider at that point. Many variables affect the sorts of fee agreements that can be made, including the type of setting in which the therapist is practicing (e.g., hospital, outpatient clinic, private group practice, private sole proprietorship), whether or not the therapist is a provider for the patient’s third-party reimbursement source (e.g., Medicaid, Medicare, private health insurance), whether or not the third-party source will reimburse for out-of-network services, and whether or not the therapist can apply a sliding scale when setting the fee. These are general practice management issues, and a description of each possible arrangement falls beyond the scope of this book. However, common obstacles that arise for patients with AS, which can sometimes interrupt psychotherapy services even after a viable fee agreement was established at intake, should be mentioned. Some example scenarios are outlined below.

Employment Status Changes

Any time a patient loses a job or changes jobs can have significant implications for access to health insurance coverage and ability to pay for therapy. Some patients with AS are not only be underemployed but erratically employed. In some ways, frequent changes in status can be more problematic than stable unemployment when it comes to accessing third-party reimbursement for psychotherapy services.

Take Janine’s case as an example. At the time of her psychotherapy intake she was unemployed, receiving long-term disability benefits, and accessing medical coverage
through Medicaid. This coverage had enabled her to get psychotherapy services at an outpatient clinic that exclusively served people who had disabilities and Medicaid. Several months into treatment, she found a full-time job, which meant she was no longer eligible for Medicaid and therefore unable to continue with her therapist at the clinic. She was referred to a private therapist who would accept the health insurance she would be getting at her new job. Typical for many adults with AS, interpersonal difficulties led to her being fired after 5 months, leaving her with no income, no health insurance, and no Medicaid. She was worse off than she had been before she got the job, facing the all-too-common dilemma of, “Should I try to get another job (and risk another termination) or reapply for government assistance?” Fortunately, to avoid a second interruption in her therapy, her private therapist was able to apply a sliding scale to reduce her fee, while referring her to a case manager to deal with her larger financial and employment service needs.

Janine’s case is very typical and points to the need for therapists to incorporate problem-solving strategies into sessions whenever patients face employment or financial decisions. The services outlined in Chapter 8, including case management and employment counseling, are also important to consider when patients face these issues.

**Life Circumstance Changes**

Some unemployed patients rely on “natural supports” (e.g., material and financial assistance from family members) instead of government assistance to get their daily living needs met. Many rely on parents or siblings for housing, food, and health care costs. Psychotherapy fees for these individuals may be paid by family members, either through direct pay or by the parents’ health insurance company (if coverage is provided to disabled dependents). Although these adults with AS are more fortunate than peers who do not have such resources, their financial security can be lost abruptly when there are major life transitions in the family. They can be significantly affected, for example, if a primary supporter becomes seriously ill, retires, moves residences, or dies.

Brian’s case is one illustration. At the time of his intake, his financial status was stable, and he had no difficulty paying for psychotherapy. He was 56 years old, lived with both parents, and had a full-time job with a health insurance plan that reimbursed him for half of his psychotherapy fees. His salary was relatively low, and he was overqualified for his job (he held a master’s degree in English literature and was working as a shipping clerk). However, the support of his parents, who allowed him to live in their large suburban home for a nominal rent, enabled him to live very comfortably and to save a small amount of money. Within the first 6 months of his treatment, his father passed away. His mother became medically frail soon after and was forced to sell the house and move into an assisted-living facility. Brian used his savings to put a down payment on a condominium, but then had mortgage and maintenance payments that he could barely manage. Suddenly, the half of his therapy fee that he was responsible for was impossible for him to pay. In order to ensure continuation of the therapy that he needed now more than ever, the therapist agreed to accept assignment from his insurance company and to waive his copayment.

Brian represents the higher-functioning segment of the adult AS population, and in that regard, was fortunate to be able to purchase his own home despite his struggles.
Nevertheless, his therapy would have been interrupted without some modifications to his fee agreement. Therapists working with this population must be prepared to encounter these types of changes in financial status and to have various options available to offer patients in order to avoid disruption of treatment.

**Limits Set by Private Insurance**

The restrictions that are placed on mental health services by private insurance companies and HMOs create a large problem that does not just affect patients with AS. Because it is such a broad topic, this discussion focuses only on two specific issues that patients with AS may encounter when seeking reimbursement from a carrier.

One problem can arise when a private insurance company defines AS as a condition not warranting “talk therapy.” For example, one patient was denied reimbursement because her company stated that, “any form of autism is a medical condition warranting treatment from a physician, only.” Psychotherapy from a psychologist was deemed inappropriate by the representative reviewing her case. Although I had included a diagnosis of generalized anxiety disorder in her treatment plan, it had not been listed as primary. This case illustrates the need for therapists to be aware of how a particular insurance company defines ASDs; as a “medical,” “neurobiological,” or “mental health” problem. Unfortunately, these terms have not been standardized to date, and they vary from state to state and even from insurance company to insurance company. The decision by a therapist to list AS as primary or secondary to a comorbid psychiatric disorder should be based on familiarity with these differences.

A second common problem can arise when an insurance company rejects the notion of “skill building” if it appears in a treatment plan. The strengths-based *habilitation* model that this book has emphasized, especially in Chapters 5 and 6, is not necessarily embraced by health insurance companies. In one example, a patient’s sessions were cut off by his HMO because I had emphasized the notion of *skill building* more strongly than *symptom reduction* in the treatment plan, because the patient’s goals were focused on increasing social skills. This problem can be avoided if a therapist, when writing a treatment plan for an insurance company, remains mindful of the illness model to which many companies adhere.

**UNTREATED HEALTH PROBLEMS**

As a standard practice for any patient, AS or not, it is essential to rule out medical causes for presenting problems. Even when special attention is paid to this area during assessment, there are still times when a patient is struggling with a medical problem that has not been properly treated, or for which the individual has been noncompliant with care. The case of Bob and his diabetes is one example. As mentioned before, medical symptoms can simulate mood or anxiety problems and can slow down progress in CBT. Encouraging physician visits is obviously important. If the patient has behavioral and emotional obstacles to complying with physician visits, then goals can be incorporated into the treatment plan to address them.
POLYPHARMACY: MULTIPLE PSYCHIATRIC MEDICATIONS WITHOUT A RATIONALE

Some adults with AS have long histories of mental health treatment that has been carried out by multiple providers. Because AS may not have come to light for them until recently, they may be carrying a collection of different diagnoses that may or may not be accurate. Along with those diagnoses, unfortunately, may come a collection of psychotropic medications that may not be appropriate for the patient. If the therapist is not a physician, then he or she obviously cannot evaluate this area. However, coordinating treatment with the prescribing physician is an important part of the planning process. It is crucial during conversations with the physician to clarify the rationale for each medication for both the therapist and the patient. If the patient has not had a recent psychiatric evaluation because, for instance, the primary care physician is refilling prescriptions, the therapist may want to recommend a referral to a psychiatrist and to discuss this idea with the patient and the primary care physician.

LACK OF COOPERATION FROM OTHER PROVIDERS

Without regular communication between providers about the patient’s problems and treatment goals, progress in therapy can be hindered. Duplication of services can be one result, where two providers are working on the same goal. For example, it would be unnecessary for a psychotherapist to teach assertive communication while a speech-language pathologist is already addressing that need. Without any coordination between these clinicians, it would be a waste of resources and could also send confusing messages to the patient if the two providers use different approaches to achieve the goal. The opposite problem is a gap in service, when each of two providers neglects to attend to an issue, assuming that the other is already addressing it. For example, one patient who lived in a supervised apartment had been gradually gaining weight over several months. The residential staff believed he was overeating as a response to increased stress, and instructed the patient to bring it up to the psychotherapist. The psychotherapist, who had asked the patient about his increased weight, had been told by the patient that he was on a diet and was being assisted by the residential staff. When the gap was discovered during a phone conversation between the staff and the therapist, it was agreed by all, including the patient, that more frequent phone contact was warranted in this case.

Unfortunately there are instances when another provider is unresponsive to the therapist’s attempts to collaborate, or worse, expresses disagreement to the patient about therapy goals without a willingness to discuss it with the therapist. This type of behavior can hinder or even sabotage the CBT process. In rare cases it is necessary to explain to the patient that the treatment cannot be provided when two clinicians are expressing competing views. The patient can be asked to encourage the other provider to participate in coordination of care efforts. If that strategy does not bring about cooperation, the therapist may elect to ask the patient to choose between the two clinicians.
CHAPTER SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This chapter outlined the most common obstacles to progress in CBT for adults with AS. Suggestions for strategies to address each were also made. Some of these issues are not specific to this population, and others have been discussed elsewhere in this book. Nevertheless, the best advice to the therapist is to anticipate and prevent as many obstacles as possible, because doing so usually involves less work than reacting to a problem that is well under way.
This chapter provides guidelines for the various ways a treatment plan can come to a close. Some of the points covered in Chapter 8, about interdisciplinary collaboration, and in Chapter 9, about obstacles, will be mentioned again here, because they both relate to different aspects of terminating therapy. Beyond those special considerations, ending treatment is done in much the same way as it is with a patient from any population with complex problems. Numerous scenarios can lead to the end of a treatment plan, and this chapter divides these scenarios into two categories: situations in which the goals have been met and situations where the goals have not been met at the time of termination.

WHEN THE GOALS OF TREATMENT ARE MET

Naturally, the most gratifying scenario for both patient and therapist is when there has been progress and all of the objectives set forth in the treatment plan have been met. At this point, the relationship can terminate, may continue with new goals, or may continue with an ongoing supportive function.

Therapy Ends

When the goals have been met and the patient reports that the initial presenting problems have dissipated, sessions can stop. The termination process should take place across several sessions and should focus on ensuring that the patient can identify risk factors for recurrence of anxiety or depression symptoms, and can articulate a set of strategies he or she can use to prevent escalation. Some patients may benefit from a gradual reduction in the frequency of appointments so that they can “try out” new skills without the therapist’s help and report back on what it was like. Of course, such a plan would be individualized, but an example of a fading procedure would involve meeting every other week for several months, then once a month for several months, then terminate completely.
A termination that is “nice and neat,” as described here, is seen only when a patient has fewer risk factors for mental illness that were mentioned throughout this book. For example, a patient who has mild symptoms of AS, a good social support system, a generally optimistic attitude about life, and only a single acute episode of a comorbid anxiety or mood disorder is going to be the best candidate for a “clean” termination. Although I have had such cases, they are not the majority.

Therapy Continues with New Goals

The most common scenario that occurs when goals are met is that new ones are established. Adults with AS often have multiple problems, and the initial treatment plan usually focuses on the highest priorities. As improvement occurs in those areas, the patient often identifies other areas in which he or she would like to see improvement. This process continues until the patient and therapist agree that the presenting problems have been adequately addressed. Sometimes symptom remission in one domain uncovers chronic problems that were previously undetected because they were overshadowed by acute crisis. Setting these new goals can be a positive experience because such patients are usually quite motivated by their own success with the initial goals.

One example of this is Bob, the case that was discussed more than any other in this book. At the time of this writing, I have been working with him for more than 5 years. The goals have changed several times since the initial plan was made. Each time he achieves a goal, there is a dual benefit. There is obviously the improvement in the issue named in the goal (e.g., increased frequency of exercise), and the experience serves a schema-changing function. The reader may recall that Bob’s core beliefs about himself are “I am helpless,” “I cannot take care of myself,” “I am powerless,” and “I am defective.” Each time he achieves a therapy goal, it provides evidence that contradicts his self-schema because he is the one who is bringing about the changes in his life.

Sessions Continue for Maintenance of Gains and Ongoing Monitoring

Another common scenario occurs when the goals of therapy are met, but the patient has so many risk factors for chronic anxiety or depression that the regular contact with the therapist is a crucial relapse prevention tool. Usually in such cases, the therapist alternates between periods of active treatment (working on goals) and periods in which sessions focus on support and reinforcement of adaptive skills. The latter would occur when the patient is not in a significant amount of distress, but the therapist has judged that he or she will not be able to identify and respond to triggers in an adaptive way on his or her own. The sessions therefore allow the therapist to be a source of social reinforcement for strengthening skills that have been learned, while also “keeping an eye” on potential triggers for relapse. If a symptom-activating event does occur, the therapist can quickly initiate active treatment and hopefully minimize the severity of the impact.

The case of Seth falls in this category. At the time of this writing, I have been working with him for 11 years. His case does not represent the majority of adult AS cases any more than the “clean terminations” mentioned earlier do. However, his symptoms of AS are severe, he has very few social supports, he has a severe and chronic anxiety disorder, and he continues to have a very low level of trust in his own judgment. By meeting regularly with the therapist, even during periods of relative calm, he is able to
prevent stressful events from becoming catastrophic. Despite the progress he has made over the years, he is not likely to be able to maintain his current level of functioning without this ongoing support.

**WHEN TREATMENT IS INTERRUPTED BEFORE GOALS ARE MET**

Unfortunately, a fair number of cases face unexpected interruptions or endings. The situations described below can arise in any adult psychotherapy practice, but some may be more prevalent in the AS adult population, again because of the complex nature of their problems. Complications may lead to an unplanned suspension from treatment, transfer to another therapist, or premature termination.

**Temporary Suspension of Treatment**

There may be both practical and therapeutic reasons to temporarily suspend treatment, with the intention of both patient and therapist to resume at some point.

**Practical Reasons to Suspend Sessions**

Practical or logistical factors may unexpectedly change, leading to a gap in appointments while the situation is resolved. Examples include:

- A long illness or injury of either the patient or the therapist.
- Transportation problems.
- Temporary third-party payment problems (e.g., patients who rely on Medicaid may face periodic gaps in coverage, during which they may opt not to come until coverage is reinstated).
- Scheduling conflicts (e.g., change in patient’s job schedule).

**Therapeutic Reasons to Suspend Sessions**

Therapeutic reasons to put psychotherapy on hold are usually related to the patient’s participation in some other intensive program or intervention that is going to support the overall treatment plan but which interferes with attendance. Examples are:

- Time-limited job training program.
- Psychiatric day treatment program.
- Summer recreational program (similar to camp).
- Extended travel.

Any of these programs may have a schedule or location that does not allow the patient to come to regular therapy appointments. Even if the schedule would permit continuing sessions, some patients ask to suspend the treatment simply because having too many places to go each week increases anxiety. It is important to keep in mind that these adults do not adapt well to change and are easily overwhelmed by multiple demands. If a patient is joining a new activity that the therapist believes is going to help
him or her move toward their agreed-upon mental health goals, then taking a break from therapy will likely facilitate, rather than hinder, the patient’s progress.

The decision to keep a case open during an absence is a judgment call that a therapist will make on a case-by-case basis, considering many factors. Some of these factors are summarized in the questions listed below:

- Has the patient shown motivation in treatment up to this point?
- Is the absence due to an obstacle that is unavoidable?
- Does the patient seem genuinely motivated to return after the absence?
- Does the absence have a clear time frame defined?
- Is the absence for an activity that will enhance quality of life or support the goals of the therapy treatment plan?

Even after a decision is made to suspend treatment, there are times when a case ultimately has to be closed. Some of those issues are discussed next.

Transfer to Another Therapist

Chapter 8 described the reasons a therapist may refer a patient with AS to adjunctive psychotherapy services. There are other times when a therapist and patient agree that a complete transfer should be made. Listed below are some of the factors that could lead up to that decision:

- The patient does not feel comfortable with the therapist. This discomfort could be due to the therapist’s style, philosophy of therapy, or even something as simple as gender. As in any population, some patients feel more comfortable working with one gender over the other. Of course, the therapist will be able to make the best referral if the patient is able to be specific about his or her reasons for wanting to change, and such a discussion should be encouraged.
- The patient has a comorbid condition with the AS that is outside the therapist’s scope of practice. In some cases, adjunctive therapy for the comorbid condition is not practical (e.g., eating disorder, substance abuse), and a transfer to an expert is warranted.
- The patient experiences a change in his or her personal or work schedule that is not temporary, and the therapist cannot accommodate the new schedule.
- The patient cannot afford the therapy or is reliant on using a health insurance plan in which the therapist does not participate.
- There is a change in obligations to family. In the example of Lorraine, mentioned earlier, she ended treatment after having a baby.
- The patient moves and can no longer commute comfortably to the therapist.

Some of these issues arise early in treatment whereas others can crop up any time. As with any patient population, obtaining the patient’s authorization to speak to the new therapist can be a helpful step in easing the transition.

Premature Termination of Treatment

Unfortunately, there are cases for which a temporary suspension or transfer is not feasible, but treatment ends nonetheless. Sometimes the termination is driven by the
patient, and other times the therapist may decide to end the relationship. Naturally, these are all least desirable scenarios for therapists. Listed below are the typical ways in which premature termination occurs:

- The patient drops out without explaining why and is unresponsive to phone calls.
- The patient suspends treatment for one of the reasons listed above, but does not return despite the original plan.
- The patient is agitated or offended in response to some part of the treatment and terminates abruptly. There are times when a patient cannot tolerate the aspects of CBT that involve self-evaluation and will withdraw from the process, even when the therapist is flexible and tries to minimize pressure.
- The therapist initiates the termination because the patient continually engages in behavior that interferes with therapy and is not amenable to intervention. These problems include, but are not limited to, repeated no-shows, persistent extreme hostility expressed toward therapist, or active substance abuse (e.g., the patient mentioned in the last chapter who was smoking marijuana was never able to completely stop, and the disorganizing effect it had on him grossly limited his ability to focus in sessions).
- The therapist initiates the termination because the patient is not engaging in a collaborative process (e.g., is noncompliant with treatment goals and unwilling to participate in a dialogue to revise the plan). Some patients do not accept or understand the cognitive model, which in and of itself is not a reason to discharge. However, if the patient is not willing to engage in an honest dialogue about it, expressing his or her beliefs and opinions, then no progress can be made.
- The therapist initiates the termination because the patient is noncompliant with recommendations to initiate crucial adjunctive treatment. At times the therapist refers a patient to another professional to address a serious threat to the patient’s health and well-being. If a patient is unwilling to follow up on one of those problems, and the consequence interferes with the treatment plan, it may be necessary for the therapist to at least suspend treatment until the matter is addressed. For example, one patient with whom I worked complained about severe headaches that were interfering with daily functioning. Despite repeated urging by me, she would not go to her physician. I explored the reasons for her reluctance in session (e.g., ruled out fear of doctors, financial constraints), but she would only say that she did not think the problem was medically based. She was otherwise motivated to work on therapy goals. I suspended appointments with the condition that sessions could resume after she was medically evaluated. She ultimately went to a physician, and our sessions resumed. In another case, significant family dysfunction was interfering with a patient’s progress in individual CBT. After several meetings with the family, I decided that CBT would not be effective in addressing the patient’s presenting problems without co-occurring family therapy. The patient and family were unwilling to comply, so I terminated the treatment and referred the patient to a colleague with a different orientation.

Whenever the therapist initiates the termination, it is good practice to discuss the decision with colleagues, a supervisor, and/or a mental health risk management professional (e.g., a lawyer with expertise in the legal and ethical aspects of mental health
practice). It is always a very difficult decision to end a therapy relationship before the goals have been met. However, a therapist is doing a disservice to the patient if he or she continually applies a therapy approach that he or she knows is not going to be effective.

So far, this chapter has outlined the various ways in which therapy comes to an end. Ideally, all therapists hope to end after goals have been achieved, and that was discussed at the beginning of the chapter. More often, however, therapy will continue even after the initial goals have been met because of the complex nature of this population, or will end prematurely, as the examples illustrated. I bring this book to an end by describing my hopes for the future in the science and treatment of AS.

**LOOKING AHEAD FOR ADULTS WITH ASPERGER SYNDROME**

I hope your interest in this book reflects a desire to begin or continue accepting adults with AS into your practice. As increasing numbers of adults are diagnosed with AS, they will continue to seek help for problems related to the syndrome. This book was meant to serve as a framework for conceptualizing adult cases, but it was written at a time when researchers are only beginning to pay attention to the phenomenon of adult AS. If we, as members of a mental health community, are to serve our patients appropriately, we will need to see a growth in both basic and applied research on many subjects. The areas in which I would most like to see growth are highlighted below. My choices are based on the needs I see most frequently in my practice and in the lives of my patients.

**Cognitive Dysfunction in AS**

In my conceptual model of adult AS, I cite the evidence base for core cognitive dysfunction and hypothesize the causal role these cognitive deficits play in the clinical problems seen in psychotherapy cases. Generating these hypotheses has clinical utility while trying to understand an individual case, but the causal connections have not been established through controlled investigations. Key empirical questions that have yet to be answered are:

- Which cognitive deficits cause the maladaptive behavior that differentiates people with AS from typical people? For example, are theory-of-mind deficits truly causing the “social skill deficits” observed in people with AS? Are EF deficits truly causing self-direction problems in practical, everyday life?
- Which behaviors are most associated with which types of social rejection?
- Are people with AS subject to particular types of schemas, as per Beck’s cognitive model?

**Comorbidity**

Clinical descriptions of adult AS cases, in this book and elsewhere, refer to the high risk and incidence of comorbid psychiatric disorders. Epidemiological studies are greatly needed to corroborate these observations.
Stress

The importance of stress factors in the scientific and clinical understanding of AS is just beginning to receive more widespread attention in the autism spectrum literature, thanks to the decades of pioneering work by the Groden Center group with ASDs. Their recent edited book (Baron et al., 2006) should inspire more work in this critical area. As a practitioner, I find it impossible not to consider stress factors when assessing a patient’s presenting problems, so I look forward to seeing more efforts toward a clear definition of stress as well as the development of a larger evidence base on the role of stress in AS.

Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy

This book presented an evidence-based rationale, from an integration of research from multiple sources, for providing CBT to adults with AS. I would like to see more intervention studies using adult AS subjects, including protocols for specific clinical issues. These include:

- Protocols designed to improve the core cognitive deficits of AS (e.g., those targeting social cognition or EFs).
- Protocols for specific comorbid disorders such as OCD, GAD, agoraphobia, PTSD, and major depressive disorder.
- Mechanisms of change in CBT and treatment components most associated with positive outcomes.
- CBT in different modalities, such as couple therapy when one or both members has AS, or group applications of CBT, which have shown promise for children with AS (Sofronoff et al., 2005), which could be a cost-effective alternative for adults who have limited finances.

Gender

Because the prevalence of ASDs is higher in males than in females, most research on these disorders has been done using male subjects, leaving us with very little information about affected females. Recent attention has been paid to gender differences in symptom manifestation of ASDs and a call for more research in this area (Attwood, 2006b; Koenig & Tsatsanis, 2005). Clinicians serving adults with AS need accurate information about the similarities and differences between men and women seeking treatment.

Support Service Models

Adults with AS are grossly underserved by disability service agencies. Many of these adults need specialized training in vocational and independent living skills, but there is a paucity of employment and adult residential services that are appropriate to serve those adults who need them. AS is not viewed as a “legitimate” disability in some states. Even in states where the syndrome does qualify for funding, the individual will be hard pressed to find an employment training or residential program that is designed
to meet his or her unique needs. Existing vocational training centers, for example, are
designed to serve distinct populations, such as those with chronic mental illness, sub-
stance abuse, or mental retardation. A person with AS typically has needs that are very
different from each of those groups and would therefore be ill-served in those settings.
Gerhardt and Holmes (2005) have made specific recommendations for the kinds of
changes that are needed to improve this problem; these changes would involve people
in government, educational systems, adult disability services agencies, as well as
potential employers of these individuals. Adults with AS would have less mental
health needs if they could work in satisfying careers and live independently.

CONCLUDING COMMENTS

Whether you already have patients with AS on your caseload or are looking to begin
treating these adults, I hope you will consider using an individualized case conceptual-
ization approach like the one presented here. If you do, it should lead you to the vast
literature on evidence-based CBT interventions that are readily available for typical
adults, and which can easily be applied to people with AS. I have been continually fas-
cinated with the peculiar workings of the AS mind ever since I met Joe in 1995, and I
wish for you to equally enjoy working with these unusual but delightful patients.
APPENDIX

Therapy Resources

PROFESSIONAL OVERVIEWS


THERAPY TOOLS AND WORKBOOKS

This list includes tools and sources of worksheets that are useful in an adult psychotherapy setting.

AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL AND SELF-HELP BOOKS
BY AUTHORS ON THE AUTISM SPECTRUM

Several hundred books have been written by individuals or family members of individuals on the autism spectrum. This short list is comprised of my preferences for patients who are newly diagnosed or reading about AS for the first time.


WEBSITES OF EDUCATION, ADVOCACY, AND SUPPORT ORGANIZATIONS FOR AS AND HFA

These organizations deal specifically with AS or cover the whole autism spectrum but include information about AS and autism in more capable individuals.

Asperger Syndrome and High Functioning Autism Association
www.ahany.org
Asperger Foundation International
www.aspfi.org
Asperger Syndrome Education Network (ASPEN)
www.aspennj.org
Autism Network International
www.ani.autistics.org
Autism Society of America (ASA)
www.autism-society.org
Autism Speaks
www.autismspeaks.org
Global and Regional Asperger Syndrome Partnership (GRASP)
www.grasp.org
More Advanced Individuals with Autism/Asperger Syndrome and Pervasive Developmental Disorder (MAAP)
www.maapservices.org

National Association for the Dually Diagnosed (DD/mental illness)
www.thenadd.org

The National Autistic Society (United Kingdom)
www.nas.org.uk

Online Asperger Syndrome Information and Support (OASIS)
www.aspergersyndrome.org

Organization for Autism Research (OAR)
www.researchautism.org

PUBLISHERS THAT FOCUS ON AUTISM SPECTRUM TOPICS

Books
Autism Asperger Publishing Company
www.asperger.net

Future Horizons, Inc.
www.FutureHorizons-autism.com

Jessica Kingsley Publishers
www.jkp.com

Magazines
Autism Spectrum Quarterly
c/o Starfish Specialty Press LLC
www.asquartrterly.com

The Autism Perspective (TAP™)
www.TheAutismPerspective.org

Spectrum Magazine
Spectrum Publications Inc.
www.spectrumpublications.com


with Asperger syndrome or high functioning autism, and normal sex differences. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 34, 163–175.


Rogers, M. F., & Myles, B. S. (2001). Using social stories and comic strip conversations to interpret
social situations for an adolescent with Asperger’s syndrome. *Interventions in School and Clinic*, 38, 310–313.
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